ON THE BLASCHKE MATRIX PRODUCT AND AN ANALOGUE OF THE HORWITZ-RUBEL THEOREM FOR THE BLASCHKE MATRIX PRODUCT

G. KHUDAYBERGANOV, J.SH. ABDULLAYEV

Abstract. We study the properties of the Blaschke product in the matrix unit circle $\Re_I(m, m) = \{Z \in \mathbb{C}[m \times m] : ZZ^* < I\}$, and in the matrix upper half-plane $\Im_\sigma = \{Z \in \mathbb{C}[m \times m] : Im Z > -\sigma I\}$. A matrix analogue of the Horwitz-Rubel theorem about the Blaschke product in the complex plane is proved.

Keywords: Blaschke product, matrix unit circle, matrix upper half-plane, Horwitz-Rubel theorem.

1. Introduction and problem statement

The Blaschke product plays an important role in many problems of classical complex analysis. Related with the important applications of the Blaschke product in complex analysis, since the second half of the last century, interest in the study of functions of the Blaschke product type has increased and several of its analogues have been obtained (see, for example, [1], [2], [3], [4], [5], [6], [7], [8]).

We recall the Blaschke product in $\mathbb{C}$. Let $\mathbb{U} = \{t \in \mathbb{C} : |t| < 1\}$ be unit circle. The finite Blaschke product is a function of the form

$$B(t) = e^{i\varphi} \prod_{j=1}^{n} \frac{t - t_j}{1 - \bar{t}_j t}, \ |t_j| < 1.$$

The number n of its zeros is called the degree of the Blaschke product. The Blaschke product of degree 0 is a constant, which module is equal to one.

The Blaschke product has the following properties (see, for example, [9, 10]):

(i) B is continuous up to $\partial\mathbb{U}$;

(ii) $|B| = 1$ to $\partial\mathbb{U}$;

(iii) B has a finite number of zeros in the circle $\mathbb{U}$.

These three properties determine $B(t)$ up to a factor of $e^{i\varphi}$. If a holomorphic function f satisfies (i),(ii) and (iii), and B is a finite Blaschke product with the same zeros, then $|f/B| \leq 1$ and $|B/f| \leq 1$ to $\mathbb{U}$ by the maximum principle, and f/B is constant.

The following theorem holds (see [9]):

Theorem 1. *Let $\{t_n\}$ be a sequence of points on the circle $\mathbb{U}$, such that*

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 - |t_n|) < \infty$$

and m is the number of t_n, which equal to 0. Then the Blaschke product

$$(1) \qquad B(t) = t^m \prod_{|t_n| \neq 0} \frac{-t_n}{|t_n|} \frac{t - t_n}{1 - t_n t}$$

converges in $\mathbb{U}$. The function $B(t)$ belongs to $H^{\infty}(\mathbb{U})^1$, and its zeros are exactly $\{t_n\}$, and each zero has multiplicity equal to the number of its occurrence in the sequence $\{t_n\}$. Moreover, $|B(t)| \leq 1$ and

$$\left| B\left(e^{i\theta}\right) \right| = 1$$

almost everywhere.

By definition, an infinite Blaschke product in $\mathbb{U}$ is a function of the form (1).

The following Horwitz-Rubel's theorem expresses one of the most important properties of the Blaschke product (see [7]).

Theorem 2. *Let*

$$A_1(t) = \prod_{j=1}^{k} \frac{t - a_j}{1 - \bar{a}_j t},$$

$$A_2(t) = \prod_{j=1}^{k} \frac{t - b_j}{1 - \bar{b}_j t}$$

be the Blaschke products of degree k, where $a_j, b_j \in \mathbb{U} = \{t \in \mathbb{C} : |t| < 1\}$. If $A_1(\lambda_j) = A_2(\lambda_j)$ for k distinct points $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, ..., \lambda_k$ from $\mathbb{U}$, then

$$A_1(t) \equiv A_2(t).$$

Note that the specified (canonical) form for $A_1(\lambda_j)$ and $A_2(\lambda_j)$ is essential. Indeed, let $|c| = 1, c \neq 1$ and

$$A(t) = c \prod_{j=1}^{k} \frac{t - a_j}{1 - \bar{a}_j t},$$

$$B(t) = \frac{t - ca_1}{1 - \overline{ca}_1 t} \prod_{j=1}^{k} \frac{t - a_j}{1 - \bar{a}_j t},$$

---

where $a_j \neq 0$ for any j. Then $A(a_j) = B(a_j) = 0$ for $j = 2, 3, ..., k$ and

$$A(0) = B(0) = c \prod_{j=1}^{k} (-1)^j a_j,$$

but $A(t) \not\equiv B(t)$. Hence, $A \not\equiv kB$ for any constant k. Theorem 2 is essentially based on the possibility of representing rational functions $A_1(t)$ and $A_2(t)$ in the form:

$$(2) \qquad A_1(t) = \frac{\alpha_0 + \alpha_1 t + ... + \alpha_{k-1} t^{k-1} + t^k}{1 + \bar{\alpha}_{k-1} t + ... + \bar{\alpha}_1 t^{k-1} + \bar{\alpha}_0 t^k},$$

$$(3) \qquad A_2(t) = \frac{\beta_0 + \beta_1 t + ... + \beta_{k-1} t^{k-1} + t^k}{1 + \bar{\beta}_{k-1} t + ... + \bar{\beta}_1 t^{k-1} + \bar{\beta}_0 t^k},$$

where the coefficients $\alpha_0, \alpha_1, ..., \alpha_{k-1}$ and $\beta_0, \beta_1, ..., \beta_{k-1}$ are expressed by a_j and b_j, $j = 1, 2, ..., k$, as follows:

$$\alpha_0 = (-1)^k a_1 \cdot ... \cdot a_k,$$

$$.............................$$

$$\alpha_{k-2} = a_1 a_2 + a_1 a_3 + ... + a_{k-1} a_k,$$

$$\alpha_{k-1} = -(a_1 + ... + a_k),$$

and

$$\beta_0 = (-1)^k b_1 \cdot ... \cdot b_k,$$

$$.............................$$

$$\beta_{k-2} = b_1 b_2 + b_1 b_3 + ... + b_{k-1} b_k,$$

$$\beta_{k-1} = -(b_1 + ... + b_k).$$

Therefore the functions $A_1(t)$, $A_2(t)$ are defined uniquely by k different parameters $\alpha_0, \alpha_1, ..., \alpha_{k-1}; \beta_0, \beta_1, ..., \beta_{k-1}$. Further, we introduce the Blaschke product in matrix domains, and then we obtain some of its properties and give analogues of the above Theorem 2.

2. Preliminaries

Many fundamental theorems of classical complex analysis of one variable still do not have full-fledged generalizations in multidimensional complex analysis. Many formulas in use today are not complete, although each new obtained formula is stronger and more convenient than the previous one. In the 30s and 60s of the twentieth century such scientists as E. Cartan [11], C.Siegel [12], I.I. Pyatetsky-Shapiro [13] and Hua Luogeng [14] investigated the problems of multivariate complex analysis using a matrix approach. They conducted research mainly in the classical domains[2] and dealt with questions related to the theory of functions in these domains and the geometry of the domains themselves. Further, B.S. Vladimirov [15], [16], A.G. Sergeev [17], [19], [18], Sh. Zhou [20], [21], G. Khenkin [22], S.G.Gindikin [23], Khio-Ming [24], G.Khudayberganov [25], [26], [27], [28] and others continued to study the properties of holomorphic functions in matrix domains. In these scientific studies, the biholomorphic equivalence of these domains with bounded domains was widely used in constructing the theory of holomorphic extensions in unbounded

---

[2]Recall that a bounded domain $D \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ is called classical if the group of its holomorphic automorphisms is a classical Lie group and is transitive on it.

domains. In the course of these investigations significant results were obtained in domains of the space $\mathbb{C}^n$ and in matrix domains. Despite this, many unresolved problems remain in these areas, the solution of which is very important.

Great interest in complex analysis on matrix domains, in recent years, is associated with applications in mathematical physics, the theory of electrical circuits and in solving practical problems using them, including in solving problems of quantum field theory and others, interest in this direction has increased even more complex analysis. About these in the works of V.S. Vladimirov [15, 16], A.G.Sergeyeev [17], [19], Sh. Chou [21]. Also, interest in the pipe of the future has increased due to the discovery of the Penrose transform, which allows us to reduce the study of holomorphic solutions of the most important equations of field theory to the study of the complex structure of the space of R. Penrose twistors (see [29], [30], [31], [32], [33]), A.V. Efimova and V.P. Potapova (see [34]), F. Barbaresco [35, 36], E.Bedford and Y.Dadok [37], S.A. Huggett, K.P.Tod [38].

The selection of classes of biholomorphically equivalent domains is of great importance in multidimensional complex analysis and its applications. It is fairly well known that any two simply connected domains of the same type on the complex plane map conformally onto each other (Riemann's theorem). But in the multidimensional case, the situation is completely different. For example, two simplest domains, a ball and a polydisk from the space $\mathbb{C}^n$ do not map biholomorphically onto each other. Therefore, in multivariable complex analysis it is very important to have a stock of domains that are biholomorphically equivalent to each other. Since the set of biholomorphic automorphisms of the matrix unit circle and the matrix upper half-plane are given by linear-fractional functions of matrices, it is natural to consider Blaschke products (for these matrix domains) as a function of matrices. Such products for the matrix circle and for the matrix upper half-plane were introduced in [39].

3. Matrix unit circle and matrix upper half-plane

Consider the space $\mathbb{C}^{m^2}$, the space of m^2 complex variables. In some questions, it is convenient to represent the point Z of this space as $Z = (z_{ij})_{i,j=1}^m$, i.e., as square $[m \times m]$-matrices. With this point representation, the space $\mathbb{C}^{m^2}$ will be denoted by $\mathbb{C}[m \times m]$. Denote by $\mathbb{C}^n[m \times m]$ the direct product of n instances of $[m \times m]$-matrix spaces $\underbrace{\mathbb{C}[m \times m] \times \cdots \times \mathbb{C}[m \times m]}_{n}$.

Let $Z = (Z_1, ..., Z_n)$ be a vector composed of square matrices Z_j of order m, considered over the field of complex numbers $\mathbb{C}$. Let us write the elements of the vector $Z = (Z_1, ..., Z_n)$ as points z of the space $\mathbb{C}^{nm^2}$:

(4) $\quad z = (z_{11}^{(1)}, ..., z_{1m}^{(1)}, ..., z_{m1}^{(1)}, ..., z_{mm}^{(1)}, ..., z_{11}^{(n)}, ..., z_{1m}^{(n)}, ..., z_{m1}^{(n)}, ..., z_{mm}^{(n)}) \in \mathbb{C}^{nm^2}.$

Hence, we can assume that Z is an element of the space $\mathbb{C}^n[m \times m]$, i.e., we came to the isomorphism $\mathbb{C}^n[m \times m] \cong \mathbb{C}^{nm^2}$.

The matrix unit circle (the classical domain of the first type according to E. Cartan's classification [11]) is defined as the set

$$\Re_I(m, m) = \{Z \in \mathbb{C}[m \times m] : ZZ^* < I\},$$

where $Z^* = \bar{Z}'$ is conjugate and transposed matrix to Z, notation $ZZ^* < I$ ($I = I_m$ is the identity matrix $[m \times m]$) means that the Hermitian matrix $I - ZZ^*$ is

positive definite, thus, all its eigenvalues are positive. It is useful to note that, if $Z \in \mathbb{C}\,[m \times m]$, then

$$\det\left(I - ZZ^*\right) = \det\left(I - Z^*Z\right).$$

In addition, the conditions $I - ZZ^* > 0$ and $I - Z^*Z > 0$ is equivalent. This statement is also true for rectangular matrices (see Theorem 2.1.3 in [14]).

The boundary $\Re_I\,(m,m)$ consists of the set

$$\partial\Re_I\,(m,m) = \left\{Z \in \mathbb{C}[m \times m] : \det(I - ZZ^*) = 0,\, ZZ^* \leq I\right\},$$

i.e., from the set of matrices Z, for which the matrix $I - ZZ^*$ is non-negative definite but not positive definite Hermitian matrix (its eigenvalues are non-negative and at least one of them is equal to zero).

On the border lies the set

$$\Gamma_\Re = \left\{Z \in \mathbb{C}[m \times m] : ZZ^* = I\right\},$$

which is called the skeleton of $\Re_I\,(m,m)$ (note that, $\Gamma_\Re$ is the Shilov boundary for $\Re_I\,(m,m)$). It is clear that, the set $\Gamma_\Re$ is the set of all unitary $[m \times m]$-matrices (the set of unitary matrices of order n is usually denoted by $U\,(n)$). It should be noted that, the set of matrices $\{Z : \det\left(I - ZZ^*\right) = 0\}$ contains a bounded component distinguished by the condition $ZZ^* \leq I$, and unbounded component, for which $ZZ^* \geq I$. These components intersect along the skeleton $\Gamma_\Re$ (see [14]). The following diagram shows the matrix unit circle $\Re_I\,(m,m)$ (see Fig. 1).

Note that, the matrix unit circle is a bounded domain centered at O (O is zero matrix). Indeed, if we denote by $z^j = \left(z_1^j, z_2^j, ..., z_m^j\right)$ of any j-th row of the matrix Z, then the elements of the main diagonal $I - ZZ^*$ will be $1 - \left|z^j\right|^2$, and since this matrix is positive definite, hence they are all positive. Therefore

$$|Z|^2 = \sum_{j=1}^{m}\left|z^j\right|^2 < m$$

and the domain $\Re_I\,(m,m)$ lies in the ball $\mathbb{B} = \{|Z| < \sqrt{m}\}$. Further, from [14] it is known that, for any $Z \in \mathbb{C}\,[m \times m]$ there exist unitary matrices U of order m and

V of order m such that

(5)
$$Z = U \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_2 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & \lambda_m \end{pmatrix} V$$

for some $\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq ... \geq \lambda_m \geq 0$. Hence it follows that

$$\det \left(I^{(m)} - Z\bar{Z}' \right) = \left(1 - \lambda_1^2 \right) ... \left(1 - \lambda_m^2 \right) = \det \left(I^{(m)} - \bar{Z}'Z \right).$$

Therefore, for a given $Z \in \mathbb{C}\,[m \times m]$ the relation $I^{(m)} - Z\bar{Z}' > 0$ holds if and only if $1 - \lambda_s^2 > 0$ or $\lambda_s < 1$, $s = 1, ..., m$. On the other hand, for any $Z \in \mathbb{B}^{m^2}\,(1)$, where

$$\mathbb{B}^{m^2}\,(1) = \left\{ Z = (z_{11}, ..., z_{1m}, z_{21}..., z_{2m}, ..., z_{m1}..., z_{mm}) \in \mathbb{C}^{m^2} : \sum_{s=1}^{m} \sum_{j=1}^{m} |z_{sj}|^2 < 1 \right\}$$

is the ball from space $\mathbb{C}^{m^2}$, we have

$$|Z|^2 = \sum_{s=1}^{m} \sum_{j=1}^{m} |z_{sj}|^2 = \sum_{s=1}^{m} \sum_{j=1}^{m} z_{sj}\bar{z}_{sj} = Sp\left(Z\bar{Z}' \right) = \sum_{s=1}^{m} \lambda_s^2 < 1.$$

Whence $\lambda_s < 1\,(s = 1, ..., m)$ and $Z \in \Re_I\,(m, m)$. Therefore, we have the following relation

$$\mathbb{B}^{m^2}\,(1) \subset \Re_I\,(m, m)\,.$$

For $m = 1$ the domain $\Re_I\,(m, m)$ coincides with the unit circle, and the $\Gamma_{\Re}$ is the unit circle in the complex plane $\mathbb{C}$.

For $m = 2$ the domian τ admits the representation

$$\tau = \left\{ Z \in \mathbb{C}[2 \times 2] : \ \psi(Z) < 0 \right\},$$

where

$$\psi(Z) = \max \left[|z_{11}|^2 + |z_{12}|^2 - 1, \ |z_{21}|^2 + |z_{22}|^2 - 1, \ \psi_0\,(Z) \right],$$

$$\psi_0(Z) = \det ZZ^* + SpZZ^* - 1,$$

and $Sp\,(Z)$ is the trace (hole) of the matrix Z (this representation can be obtained from Sylvestr's criterion for a positive definite matrix).

The matrix upper half-plane is defined as the set of matrices

$$\Im = \left\{ Z \in \mathbb{C}\,[m \times m] : \operatorname{Im} Z > 0 \right\},$$

where $\operatorname{Im} Z = \frac{1}{2i}\,(Z - Z^*)$. It is the usual upper half-plane when $m = 1$.

The boundary $\partial\Im$ of this domain consists of matrices Z, for which $\operatorname{Im} Z$ is a non-negative definite but non-positive definite Hermitian matrix (its eigenvalues are non-negative and at least one of them is equal to zero). Since the vanishing of the eigenvalues of a Hermitian matrix is expressed by a real analytic equality, then $\partial\Im$ consists of pieces of real analytic surfaces of dimension $2m^2 - 1$.

The set

$$S(\Im) = \left\{ Z : \operatorname{Im} Z = 0 \right\}\ ,$$

which lies on $\partial\Im$, is called the skeleton of the upper half-plane $\Im$. It consists of all Hermitian matrices. The Hermitianity condition is expressed by m^2 independent equations, so the real dimension of $S(\Im)$ is equal to m^2.

For $m = 2$ this special case has the form

$$\Im = \left\{ Z \in \mathbb{C} \left[2 \times 2 \right] : \begin{pmatrix} \operatorname{Im} z_{11} & \frac{z_{12} - \bar{z}_{21}}{2i} \\ \frac{z_{21} - \bar{z}_{12}}{2i} & \operatorname{Im} z_{22} \end{pmatrix} > 0 \right\}.$$

This domain is defined by the inequalities

$$\Im = \left\{ \operatorname{Im} z_{11} > 0, \operatorname{Im} z_{11} \operatorname{Im} z_{22} - \frac{1}{4} |z_{12} - \bar{z}_{21}|^2 > 0 \right\},$$

and its boundary by the equation

$$\partial \Im = \left\{ \operatorname{Im} z_{11} \operatorname{Im} z_{22} = \frac{1}{4} |z_{12} - \bar{z}_{21}|^2 \right\},$$

where the skeleton is the real four-dimensional plane

$$S(\Im) = \left\{ \operatorname{Im} z_{11} = \operatorname{Im} z_{22} = 0, z_{12} = \bar{z}_{21} \right\}.$$

Note that, the non-degenerate affine transformation

$$\Phi(Z) = \begin{pmatrix} z_{11} + z_{22} & z_{12} + z_{21} \\ i(z_{21} - z_{12}) & z_{11} - z_{22} \end{pmatrix}$$

maps $\Im$ to the domain defined by the inequalities

$$\tau(2) = \left\{ \operatorname{Im} z_{11} > 0, (\operatorname{Im} z_{11})^2 > (\operatorname{Im} z_{12})^2 + (\operatorname{Im} z_{21})^2 + (\operatorname{Im} z_{22})^2 \right\},$$

which called the pipe of the future (see [16]), i.e. into the tubular domain $T = \mathbb{R}^4(x) + iC$ over the cone

$$C = \left\{ y_{11}^2 - y_{22}^2 - y_{12}^2 - y_{21}^2 > 0 \right\},$$

more precisely, over one cavity C_+ of this cone for which $y_{11} > 0$ (we put $z_{jk} = x_{jk} + iy_{jk}$). Then the boundary $\partial \Im$ maps $\partial C_+ \times \mathbb{R}^4(x)$, and the skeleton becomes into the real subspace $\mathbb{R}^4(x)$, more precisely, into the product of the vertex of the cone C_+ by it. These implementations are given in more detailed in [15], [16].

4. BLASCHKE MATRIX PRODUCT

In this section, using the Blaschke product for the matrix unit circle and the matrix upper half-plane, some results are obtained for one variable.

A finite Blaschke matrix product of degree k in $\Re_I(m, m)$ we will call a function of matrices of the form

$$B(Z) = \prod_{j=1}^{k} (Z - P_j)(I - P_j^* Z)^{-1},$$

where $P_j \in \Re_I(m, m)$, $j = 1, 2, ..., k$.

Theorem 3. *The Blaschke product for the matrix unit circle has the following properties:*
(i) The function $B(Z)$ is continuous up to the boundary $\Re_I(m, m)$;
(ii) $B(Z) B^*(Z) = I$ on $\Gamma_{\Re}$;
(iii) $B(P_i) = O, O \in \Re_I(m, m), j = 1, 2, ..., k$.

Proof. i) follows from the fact that $\det \left(I - P_j^* Z\right) \neq 0$. $P_j \in \Re_I (m, m)$ by condition. Since the conditions $I - ZZ^* > 0$ and $I - Z^* Z > 0$ are equivalent we have $P_j^* \in \Re_I (m, m)$. From the relation

$$I - \left(P_j^* Z\right) \left(P_j^* Z\right)^* = I - P_j^* ZZ^* P_j = \left(I - P_j^* P_j\right) + P_j^* \left(I - ZZ^*\right) P_j > 0$$

follows that $\left(P_j^* Z\right) \in \Re_I (m, m)$. Therefore, all eigenvalues of the matrix $\left(P_j^* Z\right) \in \Re_I (m, m)$ lie inside the unit circle. And so $\det \left(I - P_j^* Z\right) \neq 0$.

ii) According to [14], the automorphism $\Re_I (m, m)$, which maps an arbitrary point $P \in \Re_I (m, m)$ to the origin has the form

(6) $$W = A \left(Z - P\right) \left(I - P^* Z\right) B^{-1},$$

where A, B are $[m \times m]$ -square matrices such that

$$\bar{A} \left(I - \bar{P} P'\right) A' = I, \bar{B} \left(I - P' \bar{P}\right) B' = I.$$

The inverse mapping to (6) has the form

(7) $$Z = \left(I - A^{-1} W B P^*\right)^{-1} \left(A^{-1} W B + P\right).$$

Since for $P = O$, it follows from (7) that $Z = A^{-1} W B$, then the automorphism (6) can be represented as

(8) $$\zeta = (Z - P) \left(I - P^* Z\right)^{-1}.$$

Now

$$B (Z) B^* (Z) = \prod_{j=1}^{k} (Z - P_j) \left(I - P_j^* Z\right)^{-1} \left[\prod_{j=1}^{k} (Z - P_j) \left(I - P_j^* Z\right)^{-1}\right]^* =$$

$$= \left[(Z - P_1) \left(I - P_1^* Z\right)^{-1}\right] \dots \left[(Z - P_k) \left(I - P_k^* Z\right)^{-1}\right] \left[(Z - P_k) \left(I - P_k^* Z\right)^{-1}\right]^* \dots$$

$$\dots \left[(Z - P_1) \left(I - P_1^* Z\right)^{-1}\right]^*.$$

Due to (8) for $Z \in \Gamma_\Re$ we have

$$\left[(Z - P_j) \left(I - P_j^* Z\right)^{-1}\right] \left[(Z - P_j) \left(I - P_j^* Z\right)^{-1}\right]^* = I,$$

for each j $(j = 1, 2, ..., k)$. This implies that $B (Z) B^* (Z) = I$ on $\Gamma_\Re$.

iii) Since the function $B(Z)$ vanishes at the points $Z = P_j$ we get reality of the statement iii.

$\square$

5. The Blaschke product for the matrix upper half-plane

Let $\Im_\sigma = \{Z \in \mathbb{C} [m \times m] : \operatorname{Im} Z > -\sigma I\}$ be the matrix half-plane of square $[m \times m]$ matrix in the space $\mathbb{C} [m \times m]$, where $\sigma > 0$.

The next theorem is true.

Theorem 4. *Transformation*

(9) $$W = (Z - A^* + 2i\sigma I)^{-1} (Z - A),$$

where $A \in \Im_\sigma, A - A^* = cI, c \in \mathbb{C}$, biholomorphically maps $\Im_\sigma$ to the matrix unit circle

$$\Re_I (m, m) = \{W \in \mathbb{C} [m \times m] : WW^* < I\}.$$

Proof. First of all, let us show that the next matrix is invertable

$$(Z - A^* + 2i\sigma I).$$

Let ρ be column vector of length m and

$$(Z - A^* + 2i\sigma I)\rho = 0.$$

Hence

$$(Z + i\sigma I)\rho = (A^* - i\sigma I)\rho,$$
$$\rho^*(Z^* - i\sigma I) = \rho^*(A^* + i\sigma I).$$

Multiplying both sides of the first equality on the left by ρ^*, the second equality on the right by ρ and subtracting the second equation from the first, we get

$$\rho^*(Z - Z^* + 2i\sigma I)\rho = -\rho^*(A - A^* + 2i\sigma I)\rho,$$

Consequently,

$$\rho^*(\operatorname{Im} Z + \sigma I)\rho = -\rho^*(\operatorname{Im} A + \sigma I)\rho.$$

Since

$$\operatorname{Im} Z + i\sigma I > 0,$$
$$\operatorname{Im} A + i\sigma I > 0,$$

then $\rho = 0$. This means that the matrix

$$(Z - A^* + 2i\sigma I)$$

is nondegenerate, i.e. it is invertible and therefore the map (9) is holomorphic in $\Im_\sigma$. Now we need to show that (9) maps $\Im_\sigma$ to $\Re_I(m,m)$. This follows from the following relationship:

$$I - WW^* = I - (Z - A^* + 2i\sigma I)^{-1}(Z - A)(Z^* - A^*)(Z^* - A - 2i\sigma I)^{-1} =$$

$$= (Z - A^* + 2i\sigma I)^{-1}[(Z - A^* + 2i\sigma I)(Z^* - A - 2i\sigma I) - (Z - A)(Z^* - A^*)] \times$$

$$\times \left((Z - A^* + 2i\sigma I)^{-1}\right)^* =$$

$$= G[ZZ^* - A^*Z^* + 2i\sigma Z^* - ZA + A^*A - 2i\sigma AI - 2i\sigma Z + 2iA^*\sigma I + 4\sigma^2 I -$$

$$- ZZ^* + AZ^* + ZA^* - AA^*]G^* = G[2i\left(\frac{A - A^*}{2i} + \sigma\right)Z^* - Z\left(\frac{A - A^*}{2i} + \sigma\right)2i -$$

$$- 2i\left(\frac{A - A^*}{2i} + \sigma\right)2i\sigma]G^* = G[2i\left(\frac{A - A^*}{2i} + \sigma\right) \times \left(\frac{Z - Z^*}{2i} + \sigma\right)(-2i)]G^* =$$

$$= 4G\left(\frac{A - A^*}{2i} + \sigma I\right)\left(\frac{Z - Z^*}{2i} + \sigma I\right)G^* = 4G(\operatorname{Im} A + \sigma I)(\operatorname{Im} Z + \sigma I)G^*,$$

where $G = (Z - A^* + 2i\sigma I)^{-1}$.

It is known that, the Hermitian matrices B and ABA^* are both positive definite if A is nondegenerate. Since $A - A^* = cI, c \in \mathbb{C}$, then

$$B = (\operatorname{Im} A + \sigma I)(\operatorname{Im} Z + \sigma I) = [(\operatorname{Im} A + \sigma I)(\operatorname{Im} Z + \sigma I)]^* = B^*,$$

i.e. B is Hermitian matrix. Therefore, the matrices $I - WW^*$ and $B = (\operatorname{Im} A + \sigma I)(\operatorname{Im} Z + \sigma I) = \theta(\operatorname{Im} Z + \sigma I)$, are simultaneously positive definite where θ is a positive number. This says that (9) maps $\Im_\sigma$ to $\Re_I(m,m)$.

Now from (9) we will find the inverse mapping

$$(10) \qquad Z = [A - (A^* - 2i\sigma I)W](I - W)^{-1}.$$

Since for $W \in \Re_I(m, m)$ all its eigenvalues are less than one, then $\det(I - W) \neq 0$, i.e. the matrix $(I - W)$ is nondegenerate. This implies that the map (10) is holomorphic in $\Re_I(m, m)$. Due to the relation

$$I - WW^* = 4(Z - A^* + 2i\sigma I)^{-1}(\operatorname{Im} A + \sigma I) \times$$

$$\times (\operatorname{Im} Z + \sigma I)\left((Z - A^* + 2i\sigma I)^{-1}\right)^*,$$

it can be seen that for $W \in \Re_I(m, m)$, i.e. $I - WW^* > 0$, the matrix $G = (Z - A^* + 2i\sigma I)^{-1}$, is non degenerate also and hence, $\operatorname{Im} Z + \sigma I > 0$, i.e. (9) maps $\Re_I(m, m)$ to $\Im_\sigma$. The statement is proved. $\square$

In a special case, when $A = iI$ and $\sigma = 0$, the transformation (9) is the well-known Cayley transformation. In addition, (9) transforms the skeleton

$$\Gamma_\sigma = \{Z \in \mathbb{C}[m \times m] : \operatorname{Im} Z = -\sigma I\}$$

of the matrix half-plane $\Im_\sigma$ the set

$$\Gamma_\Re = \{W : WW^* = I\}$$

the skeleton (Shilov boundary) $\Re_I(m, m)$, which consists of unitary $[m \times m]$ matrices.

By a finite Blaschke matrix product of degree k in $\Im_\sigma$ we say the function of matrices (mapping $\mathbb{C}[m \times m] \to \mathbb{C}[m \times m]$ of the form (9))

$$(11) \qquad B_k(Z) = \prod_{j=1}^{k} \left[(Z - A_j^* + 2i\sigma I)^{-1}(Z - A_j)\right]^{q_j},$$

where $A_j \in H_\sigma$, $A_j - A_j^* = c_j I$, $c_j \in \mathbb{C}$, q_j are positive integers, $j = 1, 2, ..., k$.

Based on Theorem 4 for the Blaschke product of the form (11), we have the following

Corollary 1. *The Blaschke product of the form (11) has the following properties:*
i. $B_k(Z)$ is continuous up to the boundary $\Im_\sigma$;
ii. $B_k(Z)B_j^*(Z) = I$ on Γ_σ;
iii. $B_k(A_j) = O$, $O \in \Re_I(m, m)$, $j = 1, 2, ..., k$;
iv. Transformation $B(Z)$ is a map of domain $\Im_\sigma$ to $\overline{\Re}_I(m, m)$.

Proof. The first assertion i) follows from the invertibility of the matrices

$$\left(Z - A_j^* + 2i\sigma I\right)$$

for all $Z, A_j^* \in \Im_\sigma$.

Properties ii) is a consequence of the fact that the mapping

$$W = \left(Z - A_j^* + 2i\sigma I\right)^{-1}(Z - A_j), A_j \in \Im_\sigma,$$

maps $\Im_\sigma$ to $\Re_I(m, m)$. In this case, the skeleton $\Im_\sigma$ maps to the skeleton $\Gamma_\Re$.

iii) It is clear that the function $B_k(Z)$ vanishes at the points $Z = A_j$, which makes the third statement true.

iv) Note that, $Z, W \in \Re_I(m, m)$ implies that $(ZW) \in \Re_I(m, m)$. The validity of this assertion follows from the statement above and from the Theorem 4. $\square$

6. The Horwitz-Rubel theorem for the Blaschke matrix product

In this subsection, we prove an analogue of the Horwitz-Rubel theorem for the Blaschke matrix product. It should be noted that in this case, Blaschke matrix products cannot be represented in the form (2), (3), due to the noncommutativity of matrices.

Let

$$B_1\left(Z\right) = \prod_{j=1}^{k}\left[(Z - P_j)\left(I - P_j^*Z\right)^{-1}\right],$$

$$B_2\left(Z\right) = \prod_{j=1}^{k}\left[(Z - Q_j)\left(I - Q_j^*Z\right)^{-1}\right],$$

where $P_j, Q_j \in \Re_I\left(m, m\right), j = 1, 2, ..., k$, Blaschke matrix products of degree k for the matrix unit disc.

The following theorem is true.

Theorem 5. *Let be* P_j, Q_j *be diagonal matrices. If* $B_1\left(\nu_j\right) = B_2\left(\nu_j\right)$ *for diagonal matrices* ν_j *from* $\Re_I\left(m, m\right)$*, such that* $\det\left(\nu_l - \nu_j\right) \neq 0, l \neq j, l, j = 1, ..., 2$*, then*

$$B_1\left(Z\right) \equiv B_2\left(Z\right).$$

To prove Theorem 5, we first prove the following.

Lemma 1. *If* P_j, Q_j *are diagonal matrices, then for* B_1 *and* B_2 *there exists at least one matrix* $U \in \Gamma_\Re$*, such that*

$$B_1\left(U\right) = B_2\left(U\right).$$

Proof. The equality $B_1\left(Z\right) = B_2\left(Z\right)$ is equivalent to the equality

$$(12) \qquad \prod_{j=1}^{k}\left[(Z - P_j)\left(I - P_j^*Z\right)^{-1}\right] = \prod_{j=1}^{k}\left[(Z - Q_j)\left(I - Q_j^*Z\right)^{-1}\right].$$

For $ZZ^* = I$, i.e. $Z \in \Gamma_\Re$, the matrices $Z - P_j$ and $Z - Q_j$ are nondegenerate, i.e. $\det\left(Z - P_j\right) \neq 0$, $\det\left(Z - Q_j\right) \neq 0, j = 1, 2, ..., k$, so from (12) we get

$$(13) \qquad \prod_{j=1}^{k}\left[(Z - P_j)\left(I - P_j^*Z\right)^{-1}\right]\left[\prod_{j=1}^{k}\left[(Z - Q_j)\left(I - Q_j^*Z\right)^{-1}\right]\right]^{-1} = I.$$

Consider a matrix function of a scalar variable $t \in \mathbb{C}$ of the form

$$\varphi\left(t\right) = \prod_{j=1}^{k}\left[(tI - P_j)\left(I - P_j^*t\right)^{-1}\right]\left[\prod_{j=1}^{k}\left[(tI - Q_j)\left(I - Q_j^*t\right)^{-1}\right]\right]^{-1}.$$

Note that, the elements of $\varphi\left(t\right)$ are holomorphic in the disc $\{|t| < 1\} = \mathbb{U}$ and continuous functions on $\overline{\mathbb{U}}$.

If $t\bar{t} = 1$ then, due to the diagonality of P_j, Q_j, the function $\varphi\left(t\right)$ can be presented in the form

$$\varphi\left(t\right) = \prod_{j=1}^{k}\left[(tI - P_j)\left(tI - Q_j\right)^{-1}\right]\left[\overline{(tI - P_j)\left(tI - Q_j\right)^{-1}}\right]^{-1}.$$

Then the elements of $\varphi\left(t\right)$ are functions of the form

$$\varphi_{ss}\left(t\right)=\prod_{j=1}^{k}\left[\left(tI-P_{j}\right)\left(tI-Q_{j}\right)^{-1}\right]\overline{\left[\left(tI-P_{j}\right)\left(tI-Q_{j}\right)^{-1}\right]_{ss}}^{-1},$$

$s=1,2,...,m.$ Assuming that

$$P_{j}=\left(p_{j}^{ss}\right),Q_{j}=\left(q_{j}^{ss}\right),i=1,2,...,m,j=1,2,...,k,$$

we can rewrite the function $\varphi_{ss}\left(t\right)$ in the form

$$\varphi_{ss}\left(t\right)=\prod_{j=1}^{k}\left[\frac{t-p_{j}^{ss}}{t-q_{j}^{ss}}\middle/\overline{\left(\frac{t-p_{j}^{ss}}{t-q_{j}^{ss}}\right)}^{-1}\right]=\frac{g_{ss}\left(t\right)}{\overline{g_{ss}\left(t\right)}},$$

where

$$g_{ss}\left(t\right)=\prod_{j=1}^{k}\frac{t-p_{j}^{ss}}{t-q_{j}^{ss}}.$$

If we represent $g_{ss}\left(t\right)$ in exponential form, i.e.

$$g_{ss}\left(t\right)=\left|g_{ss}\left(t\right)\right|e^{i\arg g_{ss}(t)},$$

then $\varphi_{ss}\left(t\right)=e^{2i\arg g_{ss}(t)}$ (here we assume that $\arg g_{ss}\left(t\right)$ exists). We are interested in the values $t_{s},\left|t_{s}\right|=1,$ such that $\varphi_{ss}\left(t_{s}\right)=1$ for each fixed s, i.e.

$$\cos\left(2\arg g_{ss}\left(t_{s}\right)\right)+i\sin\left(2\arg g_{ss}\left(t_{s}\right)\right)=1.$$

It follows

$$\cos\left(2\arg g_{ss}\left(t_{s}\right)\right)=1,$$
$$\sin\left(2\arg g_{ss}\left(t_{s}\right)\right)=0.$$

Hence, $\arg g_{ss}\left(t_{i}\right)=\pi n$ for some n. Thus,

$$\varphi_{ss}\left(e^{i\psi_{s}}\right)=1,$$

where

$$e^{i\psi_{s}}=t_{s},0\leq\psi_{s}\leq2\pi.$$

Let us now show that for every fixed s, $s=1,2,...,m$, there exists $\arg g_{ss}\left(t\right)$. Denote

$$\delta=\min_{\substack{t\in\left\{p_{j}^{ss},q_{j}^{ss}\right\}\\\zeta\in\partial\mathbb{U}}}\left|t-\zeta\right|>0.$$

Then the functions $g_{ss}\left(t\right)$ are holomorphic and do not vanish in

$$\mathbb{U}_{\delta}=\left\{t\in\mathbb{C}:\left|t\right|>1-\delta\right\},$$

since $P_{j},Q_{j}\in\Re_{I}\left(m,m\right)$, thats why $p_{j}^{ss},q_{j}^{ss}\in\mathbb{U}=\left\{\left|t\right|<1\right\}.$ Consider the analytic function

$$\ln g_{ss}\left(t\right)=h_{ss}\left(t\right),$$

which admits a holomorphic branch in $\mathbb{U}_{\delta}$, corresponding to the function $g_{ss}\left(t\right)$ on ∞. Since $g_{ss}\left(\infty\right)=1$, then we choose a branch with the condition $h_{ss}\left(\infty\right)=0$. Let $T(t)=\frac{1}{t}$. Then

$$T\left(\mathbb{U}_{\delta}\right)=\left\{t\in\mathbb{C}:\left|t\right|<\frac{1}{1-\delta}\right\}\supset\mathbb{U},T\left(\partial\mathbb{U}\right)=\partial\mathbb{U}$$

and

$$T\left(\infty\right)=0.$$

It's clear that

$$h_{ss}\left(\frac{1}{t}\right) \in \mathcal{O}\left(\left\{t \in \mathbb{C} : |t| < \frac{1}{1-\delta}\right\}\right).$$

Therefore, by virtue of the mean value theorem for harmonic functions (we apply this theorem in $\mathbb{U}$ for harmonic function $\operatorname{Im} h_{ss}\left(\frac{1}{t}\right)$) we get

$$\operatorname{Im} h_{ss}(\infty) = \frac{1}{2\pi}\int\limits_0^{2\pi} \operatorname{Im} h_{ss}\left(e^{i\psi}\right) d\psi.$$

From here

$$0 = \frac{1}{2\pi}\int\limits_0^{2\pi} \operatorname{Im} h_{ss}\left(e^{i\psi}\right) d\psi.$$

Therefore, there exists a value ψ_s, $0 \le \psi_s \le 2\pi$, such that $\operatorname{Im} h_{ss}\left(e^{i\psi_s}\right) = 0$. Since

$$h_{ss}(t) = \ln g_{ss}(t) = \ln|g_{ss}(t)| + i\arg g_{ss}(t) = \operatorname{Re} h_{ss}(t) + i \cdot \operatorname{Im} h_{ss}(t),$$

then

$$h_{ss}\left(e^{i\psi_s}\right) = \operatorname{Re} h_{ss}\left(e^{i\psi_s}\right) + i \cdot \operatorname{Im} h_{ss}\left(e^{i\psi_s}\right) = \ln\left|g_{ss}\left(e^{i\psi_s}\right)\right| = \ln g_{ss}\left(e^{i\psi_s}\right) = 0.$$

Thus $\varphi_{ss}\left(e^{i\psi_s}\right) = 1$, $s = 1, 2, ..., m$. Now, using the Cauchy formula for functions of matrices (see e.g. [43]) for all $Z \in \Re_I(m, m)$, we have

$$(14) \qquad \varphi(Z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i}\int\limits_{\partial\mathbb{U}} \varphi(t)(tI - Z)^{-1} dt.$$

The left side of (14) is the same as the left side of (13) and it is continuous on $\Gamma_{\Re}$. At the points $t_s = e^{i\psi_s}, 0 \le \psi_s \le 2\pi$, the function $\varphi_{ss}(t)$ takes the value 1, hence at the points

$$U = \begin{pmatrix} e^{i\psi_1} & 0 & ... & 0 \\ 0 & e^{i\psi_2} & ... & 0 \\ ... & ... & ... & ... \\ 0 & 0 & ... & e^{i\psi_m} \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma_{\Re}$$

the value of the matrix function $\varphi(z)$ equals to I, i.e.,

$$\varphi(Z) = \prod_{j=1}^k \left[(Z - P_j)\left(I - P_j^* Z\right)^{-1}\right]\left[\prod_{j=1}^k \left[(Z - Q_j)\left(I - Q_j^* Z\right)^{-1}\right]\right]^{-1} = I.$$

This means that

$$B_1(U) = B_2(U).$$

The lemma is proved. $\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\square$

7. Proof of Theorem 5

Let

$$R(Z) = B_1(Z) \cdot B_2^{-1}(Z).$$

Then

$$R(Z) = \prod_{j=1}^k \left[(Z - P_j)\left(I - P_j^* Z\right)^{-1}\right]\left[\prod_{j=1}^k \left[(Z - Q_j)\left(I - Q_j^* Z\right)^{-1}\right]\right]^{-1}.$$

Since $B_1(Z)$ and $B_2(Z)$ are automorphisms of $\Re_I(m,m)$, then

$$R(Z)R^*(Z) = I$$

on $\Gamma_\Re$. Hence

$$R(Z)R^*\left(Z^{*^{-1}}\right) = I$$

on $\Gamma_\Re$. By the condition of the theorem we have $R(\nu_j) = I$. Hence, $R\left(\nu_j^{*^{-1}}\right) = I$ for all $j = 1, 2, ..., k$. Consider the matrix function

$$R(t) = (R_{ss}(t))_1^m, \ t \in \mathbb{C}.$$

Put

$$\nu_j = \begin{pmatrix} (\nu_j)_{11} & 0 & ... & 0 \\ 0 & (\nu_j)_{22} & ... & 0 \\ ... & ... & ... & ... \\ 0 & 0 & ... & (\nu_j)_{mm} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then $R_{ss}\left((\nu_j)_{ss}\right) = 1$ and $R_{ss}\left(\frac{1}{(\overline{\nu_j})_{ss}}\right) = 1$ for all $s = 1, ..., m, j = 1, ..., k$.

By virtue of Lemma 1, we have $R(U) = I$ for some

$$U = \begin{pmatrix} e^{i\psi_1} & 0 & ... & 0 \\ 0 & e^{i\psi_2} & ... & 0 \\ ... & ... & ... & ... \\ 0 & 0 & ... & e^{i\psi_m} \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma_\Re$$

i.e. $R_{ss}\left(e^{i\psi_s}\right) = 1, s = 1, ..., m$. Therefore, for every fixed s, the rational function $R_{ss}(t)$ of degree $2k$ takes the value 1 at $2k+1$ points $e^{i\psi_s}; (\nu_1)_{ss}, ..., (\nu_k)_{ss}; \frac{1}{(\overline{\nu_1})_{ss}}, ..., \frac{1}{(\overline{\nu_k})_{ss}}$. Since $R_{ss}(t) \equiv 1$, we have

$$B_1(Z) \equiv B_2(Z).$$

The Theorem 5 is proved.

8. Some problems of multidimensional complex analysis in matrix domains

Recently, scientists have achieved many significant results in the classical fields, and at the same time, a number of open problems have been formulated. The importance of studying classical domains is that they are not reducible. These domains are, "model"domains of the multidimensional space $\mathbb{C}^n$ in some meaning. Moreover, scientific work are developing in matrix balls, associated with classical domains in $\mathbb{C}^n [m \times m]$. In this section, we present such areas and some unsolved issues of multivariable complex analysis on them.

E. Cartan gave a classification of all bounded symmetric domains (see [11, 14]):

$$\Re_I(m,k) = \left\{ Z \in \mathbb{C}[m \times k] : I^{(m)} - Z\overline{Z}' > 0 \right\},$$

$$\Re_{II}(m) = \left\{ Z \in \mathbb{C}[m \times m] : I^{(m)} - Z\overline{Z} > 0, \forall Z' = Z \right\},$$

$$\Re_{III}(m) = \left\{ Z \in \mathbb{C}[m \times m] : I^{(m)} + Z\overline{Z} > 0, \forall Z' = -Z \right\},$$

$$\Re_{IV}(n) = \left\{ z \in \mathbb{C}^n : |\langle z, z \rangle|^2 - 2|z|^2 + 1 > 0, \ |\langle z, z \rangle| < 1 \right\},$$

where $I^{(m)}$ is the identity matrix of order m, $\overline{Z}'$ is the complex conjugate matrix of the transposed matrix Z'[3]. In the theory of functions of single complex variable, is often studied the theory of functions in the unit circle, since in general, all symmetric domains are equivalent to the unit circle $\mathbb{U} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$, hence the of symmetric classical domains given above play an important role in the theory of functions of several complex variables. All these domains are homogeneous, symmetric, convex, complete, circular domains centered at O (O is zero matrix) and biholomorphically non-equivalent, so the complex analysis for each of is then constructed differently.

In the space $\mathbb{C}^n [m \times m]$ we define the matrix "scalar" product as follows:

$$\langle Z, W \rangle = Z_1 W_1^* + \cdots + Z_n W_n^*,$$

where W_j^* is the conjugated and transpose matrix of the matrix W_j. The matrix balls $\mathbb{B}_{m,n}^{(1)}$, $\mathbb{B}_{m,n}^{(2)}$ and $\mathbb{B}_{m,n}^{(3)}$ of the first, second and third types, respectively, have the form (see [17], [25]):

$$\mathbb{B}_{m,n}^{(1)} = \{(Z_1, ..., Z_n) = Z \in \mathbb{C}^n [m \times m] : I - \langle Z, Z \rangle > 0\},$$

$$\mathbb{B}_{m,n}^{(2)} = \{Z \in \mathbb{C}^n [m \times m] : I - \langle Z, Z \rangle > 0, \quad \forall Z'_\nu = Z_\nu, \ \nu = 1, ..., n\},$$

and

$$\mathbb{B}_{m,n}^{(3)} = \{(Z \in \mathbb{C}^n [m \times m] : I - \langle Z, Z \rangle > 0, \ \forall Z'_\nu = -Z_\nu, \quad \nu = 1, ..., n\}.$$

Skeletons (Shilov boundaries) of matrix balls $\mathbb{B}_{m,n}^{(k)}$, denoted by $\mathbb{X}_{m,n}^{(k)}$, $k = 1, 2, 3$, i.e.,

$$\mathbb{X}_{m,n}^{(1)} = \{Z \in \mathbb{C}^n [m \times m] : \langle Z, Z \rangle = I\},$$

$$\mathbb{X}_{m,n}^{(2)} = \{Z \in \mathbb{C}^n [m \times m] : \langle Z, Z \rangle = I, \quad Z'_\nu = Z_\nu, \ \nu = 1, 2, ..., n\},$$

$$\mathbb{X}_{m,n}^{(3)} = \{Z \in \mathbb{C}^n [m \times m] : \langle Z, Z \rangle = I, \ Z'_\nu = -Z_\nu, \quad \nu = 1, 2, ..., n\}.$$

Note that, $\mathbb{B}_{1,1}^{(1)}$, $\mathbb{B}_{1,1}^{(2)}$ and $\mathbb{B}_{2,1}^{(3)}$ are unit circles, and $\mathbb{X}_{1,1}^{(1)}$, $\mathbb{X}_{1,1}^{(2)}$, and $\mathbb{X}_{2,1}^{(3)}$ are unit circles in the complex plane $\mathbb{C}$.

If $n = 1, m > 1$, then $\mathbb{B}_{m,1}^{(k)}$, $k = 1, 2, 3$ are classical domains of the first, second and third types (according to the classification of E. Cartan, and the skeletons $\mathbb{X}_{m,1}^{(1)}$, $\mathbb{X}_{m,1}^{(2)}$, and $\mathbb{X}_{m,1}^{(3)}$ are unitary, symmetric unitary, and skew-symmetric unitary matrices, respectively.

The first type of matrix ball was considered by A. G. Sergeev [17], G. Khudaiberganov [25], Kosbergenov [44]. Integral formulas for matrix balls of the second and third types were obtained in [45], [46]. In paper [47] the volumes of matrix balls of the second and third types are calculated. The total volumes of these domains are necessary to find holomorphic kernels of integral formulas for these domains (Bergmann, Cauchy–Szegö, Poisson kernels, etc.). Note that the matrix balls of the first, second, and third types are biholomorphically equivalent to the matrix Siegel domain of the second type in the space $\mathbb{C}^n [m \times m]$ (see, for example, [25]). Are the matrix balls $\mathbb{B}_{m,n}^{(1)}$, $\mathbb{B}_{m,n}^{(2)}$ and $\mathbb{B}_{m,n}^{(3)}$ classical domains? This question still remains open. However, in particular, they coincide with the classical domains, and this consideration is reflected in this article. In general, although the matrix domains have not been sufficiently studied, they still remain one of the most relevant areas in which leading scientists conduct their research.

---

[3]Then condition $H > 0$ for a Hermitian matrix H means, as usual, that H is positive definite.

Naturally the question arises, how the Blaschke product will look like in the above classical domains $\Re_I(m,k), \Re_{II}(m), \Re_{II}(m), \Re_{II}(n)$ and in matrix balls $\mathbb{B}_{m,n}^{(1)}$, $\mathbb{B}_{m,n}^{(2)}$, $\mathbb{B}_{m,n}^{(3)}$, and what do the properties of the Blaschke product look like in the areas mentioned in the previous sections of this article? We present some unsolved problems related to classical domains and matrix balls $\mathbb{B}_{m,n}^{(1)}$, $\mathbb{B}_{m,n}^{(2)}$, $\mathbb{B}_{m,n}^{(3)}$ associated with classical domains:

1. *Obtaining a criterion for holomorphic continuation to the matrix ball $\mathbb{B}_{m,n}^{(2)}$ and $\mathbb{B}_{m,n}^{(3)}$ of functions defined on a piece of its skeleton (Shilov's boundary).*

2. *Define the Laplace-Hua Lo-Keng operator for the matrix balls $\mathbb{B}_{m,n}^{(2)}$ and $\mathbb{B}_{m,n}^{(3)}$.*

3. *Introduce the concepts of $A-$ harmonic functions for matrix balls $\mathbb{B}_{m,n}^{(2)}$ and $\mathbb{B}_{m,n}^{(3)}$.*

4. *Solve the Dirichlet problem for the matrix balls $\mathbb{B}_{m,n}^{(2)}$ and $\mathbb{B}_{m,n}^{(3)}$.*

5. *Obtain of the expansion analogues of the Laurent–Hua Lo-Keng series in matrix balls $\mathbb{B}_{m,n}^{(2)}$ and $\mathbb{B}_{m,n}^{(3)}$.*

6. *Describe the convergence domains of Laurent series with respect to matrix balls $\mathbb{B}_{m,n}^{(1)}$, $\mathbb{B}_{m,n}^{(2)}$ and $\mathbb{B}_{m,n}^{(3)}$.*

7. *Find an orthonormal basis for $\mathbb{B}_{m,n}^{(2)}$ and $\mathbb{B}_{m,n}^{(3)}$.*

References

[1] V.G. Mikayelyan, V.F. Hayrapetyan, *Blaschke Products of Given Quantity Index For a Half-Plane*, Armen. J. Math., 12:2 (2020), 1–8.

[2] W. Blaschke, *Eine Erweiterung des Satzes von Vitali uber Folgen analytischer Funktionen*, Berichte Math.-Phys. Kl., Sachs. Gesell. der Wiss. Leipzig , 67 (1915), 194-200.

[3] Siciak J. Pluripolar continuation of graphs of Blaschke products In: Finite or infinite dimensional complex analysis and applications, 305—317, Kyushu University Press, Fukuoka, 2005.

[4] Peter J. Forrester, *Matrix polar decomposition and generalisations of the Blaschke–Petkantschin formula in integral geometry*, Mosc. Math. J., 20:1 (2020), 27–42.

[5] Blaschke products and their applications (Toronto, ON, 2011), Fields Inst. Commun., 65, eds. J. Mashreghi, E. Fricain, Springer, New York; Fields Institute for Research in Mathematical Sciences, Toronto, ON, 2013, x+319 pp

[6] S. R. Garcia, J. Mashreghi, W. T. Ross, *Finite Blaschke products and their connections*, Springer, Cham, 2018, xix+328 pp.

[7] Alan L. Horwitz and Lee A. Rubel. *A uniqueness theorem for monic Blaschke products.* Proc.Amer. Math. Soc. 96 (1986), no. 1, 180-182.

[8] L. A. Aizenberg, G. Khudaiberganov, *Multiple extrapolation of holomorphic functions from matrices and functions that are holomorphic in the product of half-planes*, Izv. Vyssh. Uchebn. Zaved. Mat., 1988, no. 6, 3–9; Russian Math. (Iz. VUZ), 32:6 (1988), 1–8

[9] J. Garnett, *Bounded Analytic Functions* (Academic Press, New York, 1981; Mir, Moscow, 1984).

[10] P. Kusis, *Introduction to Hp Spaces with an Appendix on Wolff's Proof of the Corona Theorem* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge-New York, 1980; Mir, Moscow, 1984).

[11] È. Cartan, *Sur les domaines bornes homogenes de l'espace de n variables complexes,* Abh. Math. Sern. Univ. Hamburg *11*(1935), pp.116-162.

[12] Siegel, Carl L. *Analytic Functions of Several Complex Variables.* Notes by P. T. Bateman. Institute for Advanced Study (IAS), Princeton, N.J., 1950. ii+200 pp.

[13] Pjateckiǐ-Šapiro, I. I. *Geometriya klassicheskikh oblasteǐ i teoriya avtomorfnykh fuiktsiǐ.* (Russian) [Geometry of classical domains and theory of automorphic functions] Sovremennye Problemy Matematiki Gosudarstv. Izdat. Fiz.-Mat. Lit., Moscow 1961 191 pp.

[14] Hua, L. K. *Harmonic analysis of functions of several complex variables in the classical domains.* Translated from the Russian by Leo Ebner and Adam Koranyi American Mathematical Society, Providence, R.I. 1963 iv+164 pp.

[15] V. S. Vladimirov, *The Blaschke product in the "generalized unit disc" and a complete orthonormal system in the future tube.* (Russian) Modern problems of mathematics. Differential equations, mathematical analysis and their applications. Trudy Mat. Inst. Steklov. 166 (1984), 44–51..

[16] V.S. Vladimirov, A.G. Sergeev, *Complex analysis in the future tube*, Several complex variables. II: Function theory in classical domains", Complex potential theory, Encycl. Math. Sci., 8, 1994, 179–253

[17] A.G. Sergeev *On matrix and Reinhardt domains* Preprint, Inst. Mittag–Leffler, Stockholm, 7 pp. (1988).

[18] Sergeev, A.G., Zhou, X. *Invariant domains of holomorphy: Twenty years later.* Proc. Steklov Inst. Math. 285.

[19] Sergeev, A. G.; Chzhou, Shchan-Yuĭ. *The extended future tube conjecture.* (Russian) Tr. Mat. Inst. Steklova 228 (2000), Probl. Sovrem. Mat. Fiz., 32–51; translation in Proc. Steklov Inst. Math. 2000, no. 1(228), 25–42

[20] X. Zhou, *On matrix Reinhardt domains,* Math. Ann. 287, 35–46 (1990).

[21] X. Zhou, *Recent Results in Several Complex Variables and Complex Geometry.* Proc. Steklov Inst. Math. 311, 245–260 (2020).

[22] G.M. Henkin, *The method of integral representations in complex analysis*, Complex analysis-several variables – 1, Itogi Nauki i Tekhniki. Ser. Sovrem. Probl. Mat. Fund. Napr., 7, VINITI, M., 1985, 23–124

[23] S. G. Gindikin, *Analysis in homogeneous domains*, Uspekhi Mat. Nauk, 19:4(118) (1964), 3–92; Russian Math. Surveys, 19:4 (1964), 1–89

[24] Xiao Ming. *Regularity of mappings into classical domains*, Mathematische Annalen, 2020, 378(3-4), pp. 1271-1309.

[25] Khudayberganov G., Kytmanov A. M., Shaimkulov B. A., *Analysis in matrix domains*, Siberian Federal University, Krasnoyarsk, 2017

[26] Khudayberganov G., Khalknazarov A .M., Abdullayev J. Sh., *Laplace and Hua Luogeng operators*, Russian Mathematics, 64:3 (2020), 66–74

[27] G. Khudayberganov, J.Sh. Abdullayev, *Holomorphic continuation into a matrix ball of functions defined on a piece of its skeleton,* Vestn. Udmurtsk. Univ. Mat. Mekh. Komp. Nauki, 31:2 (2021), 296–310.

[28] Gulmirza Kh. Khudayberganov, Jonibek Sh. Abdullayev, *Laurent-Hua Loo-Keng series with respect to the matrix ball from space* $\mathbb{C}^n[m \times m]$, J. Sib. Fed. Univ. Math. Phys., 14:5 (2021), 589–598.

[29] R. Penrose. *Palatial twistor theory and the twistor googly problem.* Phil. Trans. R. Soc. A 373: 20140237.

[30] R. Penrose, W. Rindler, *Spinors and space-time, vol. 1: two-spinor calculus and relativistic fields.* Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press (1984).

[31] R. Penrose R, W.Rindler, *Spinors and space-time, vol. 2: spinor and twistor methods in space-time geometry.* Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press (1986).

[32] R. Penrose. *Twistor quantization and curved space–time.* Int. J. Theor. Phys. 1, 61–99 (1968).

[33] R. Penrose. *Non-linear gravitons and curved twistor theory.* Gen. Rel. Grav. 7, 31–52.

[34] A. V. Efimov, V. P. Potapov, $J-$ *expanding matrix functions and their role in the analytical theory of electrical circuits*, Russian Mathematical Surveys (1973),28(1): 69

[35] F. Barbaresco, *Information geometry of covariance matrix: Cartan-Siegel homogeneous bounded domains, Mostow/Berger fibration and Frechet median.* Matrix Information Geometry Book chapter, Springer Berlin Heidelberg. pp. 199–255 (2013).

[36] F.Barbaresco. *Information geometry manifold of Toeplitz Hermitian positive definite covariance matrices: Mostow/Berger fibration and Berezin quantization of Cartan-Siegel domains,* Int. J. Emerg. Trends Signal Process, vol.1, pp. 1–11 (2013).

[37] E. Bedford , J. Dadok. *Generalized Reinhardt domains.* J. Geom. Anal.1(1991), 1–17.

[38] S.A. Huggett, K.P. Tod. *An introduction to twistor theory.* London Mathematical Society Student Texts 4. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press (1985).

[39] Khudayberganov, G. *The Caratheodory-Fejér problem in* $\mathbf{C}^n$. (Russian) Mat. Zametki 42 (1987), no. 3, 358–368, 475.

[40] L. A. Aizenberg, *Extrapolation of functions holomorphic in the product of half-planes or bands.* Analytic continuation of the spectrum, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR, 290:2 (1986), 265–268.

[41] L. A. Aizenberg , *Carleman formulas in complex analysis*, Science, Novosibirsk, 1990

[42] R. Bellman, *Introduction to Matrix Analysis* (McGraw-Hill, New York, 1970).

[43] P. Lancaster, *Theory of Matrices*, Academic Press, New York, 1969, pp. 45–49.

[44] S. Kosbergenov , *On the Carleman formula for a matrix ball*, Russian Mathematics, 43:1 (1999), 72–75

[45] Khudayberganov G., Rakhmonov U.S. *Carleman Formula for Matrix Ball of the Third Type* // Algebra, Complex Analysis, and Pluripotential Theory. USUZCAMP 2017. Springer Proceedings in Mathematics & Statistics vol. **264**, pp. 101-108, Springer, Cham.(2017).

[46] Khudayberganov G., Rakhmonov U.S., Matyakubov Z.Q. *Integral formulas for some matrix domains* // Contemporary Mathematics, AMS, Volume **662**, pp. 89-95.(2016).

[47] U.S. Rakhmonov, J.Sh. Abdullayev, *On volumes of matrix ball of third type and generalized Lie balls,* Vestn. Udmurtsk. Univ. Mat. Mekh. Komp. Nauki, 29:4 (2019), 548–557.

Gulmirza Khudayberganov
National University of Uzbekistan,
4, University str,
100174, Tashkent, Uzbekistan
E-mail address: gkhudaiberg@mail.ru

Jonibek Shokirovich Abdullayev
Urgench State University,
14, Hamid Olimjon str,
740000, Urgench, Uzbekistan
E-mail address: jonibek-abdullayev@mail.ru