

СИБИРСКИЕ ЭЛЕКТРОННЫЕ МАТЕМАТИЧЕСКИЕ ИЗВЕСТИЯ

Siberian Electronic Mathematical Reports

<http://semr.math.nsc.ru>

Том 19, №1, стр. 332–341 (2022)

УДК 512.66, 517.98

DOI 10.33048/semi.2022.19.028

MSC 18A20, 46M18

LAMBEK INVARIANTS IN A P-SEMI-ABELIAN CATEGORY

YA.A.KOPYLOV

ABSTRACT. We consider the well-known invariants Ker and Im for commutative squares in P-semi-abelian categories. These invariants were introduced by Lambek for groups and then studied in a more general context by Hilton and Nomura. In this paper, P-semi-abelian analogs are proved for Lambek's isomorphism and acyclic sequences that include these invariants are found.

Keywords: P-semi-abelian category, commutative square, Lambek invariants.

1. INTRODUCTION

In 1964, Lambek introduced the following invariants for a commutative square

$$(1) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} C & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & D \\ g \downarrow & S & \downarrow f \\ A & \xrightarrow{\beta} & B \end{array}$$

in the category of groups:

$$\text{Im } S = (\text{Im } \beta \cap \text{Im } f) / \text{Im}(f\alpha), \quad \text{Ker } S = \text{Ker}(f\alpha) / (\text{Ker } \alpha \cdot \text{Ker } g).$$

Since $\text{Ker } \alpha$ and $\text{Ker } g$ are normal subgroups in $\text{Ker } f\alpha$, their product $\text{Ker } \alpha \cdot \text{Ker } g$ is the normal subgroup generated by them, and $\text{Im}(f\alpha) = g \text{Ker } \beta \trianglelefteq g(C) = \text{Im } g$. In [18], Lambek proved the following assertion:

KOPYLOV, YA.A., LAMBEK INVARIANTS IN A P-SEMI-ABELIAN CATEGORY.

© 2022 KOPYLOV YA.A.

The work of the author was carried out in the framework of the State Task to the Sobolev Institute of Mathematics (Project FWNF-2022-0006).

Received November, 18, 2021, published June, 27, 2022.

Given a commutative diagram

$$(2) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} A & \xrightarrow{f} & B & \xrightarrow{g} & C \\ a \downarrow & S & \downarrow b & T & \downarrow c \\ A' & \xrightarrow{f'} & B' & \xrightarrow{g'} & C' \end{array}$$

of groups and group homomorphisms with exact rows, there is a natural isomorphism

$$\Lambda : \text{Im } S \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Ker } T.$$

Later Leicht [19] extended this theorem to the more abstract context of Puppe exact categories. In [22, 23], in a Puppe exact category, Nomura considered the case where the rows in (2) are not exact but only null sequences, constructed a canonical morphism $\Lambda : \text{Im } S \rightarrow \text{Ker } T$, and proved that there is an exact sequence

$$(3) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 \rightarrow H(\text{Ker}(bf) \rightarrow \text{Ker } b \rightarrow \text{Ker } c) & \longrightarrow & \text{Ker}(H \rightarrow H') & \longrightarrow & \text{Im } S & & \\ & & \Lambda & & \longleftarrow & & \\ \text{Ker } T & \longrightarrow & \text{Coker}(H \rightarrow H') & \longrightarrow & H(\text{Coker } a \rightarrow \text{Coker } b \rightarrow \text{Coker}(g'b)) & \rightarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

where the arrows between the kernels and cokernels in parentheses are natural morphisms, $H(\cdot \rightarrow \cdot \rightarrow \cdot)$ stands for the homology of the null sequence in parentheses, $H = H(A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C)$, and $H' = H(A' \rightarrow B' \rightarrow C')$. Later Nomura’s results were extended to the so-called “categorías hofmanianas” by Ubeda Bescansa [30, 31].

In [10], we considered these invariants in a quasi-abelian category and established Lambek’s isomorphism provided that the morphism b in (2) is strict and proved generalizations of some assertions of Nomura. In this paper, we study the Lambek invariants in the weaker class of P-semi-abelian categories, i.e., in categories semi-abelian in the sense of Palamodov.

In contrast to abelian or even quasi-abelian categories, much of the usual homological-algebraic stuff fails in P-semi-abelian categories — for example, it is even impossible to define (co)homology for a general (co)chain complex. However, homological methods are possible and fruitful in this context. For instance, in [24], Palamodov proved some properties of functors from a P-semi-abelian category with enough injectives into an abelian category and showed that the category of spectra corresponding to a P-semi-abelian category is itself P-semi-abelian. In [25], he developed a theory of splitting for complexes in a P-semi-abelian category. Also, the derived category of a P-semi-abelian category (and even of an additive category) can be defined in several different ways (see [5, 7, 21]). Under some conditions, it is possible to derive an exact couple in the sense of Massey and thus move towards constructing the spectral sequence of the couple (see [16]).

In a P-semi-abelian category, Nomura’s morphism $\Lambda : \text{Im } S \rightarrow \text{Ker } T$ is defined only under certain conditions on the morphism b in (2), and Lambek’s theorem holds provided that b is strict and its image or coimage is “semi-stable.”

The structure of the paper is as follows. In Section 2, we recall some definitions and facts about preabelian and P-semi-abelian categories. In Section 3, we define

the left and right homology objects. In Section 4, we deal with the Lambek invariants in P-semi-abelian categories, prove a P-semi-abelian version of Lambek's isomorphism, and generalize some assertions proved by Nomura [22] and Hilton [8] for abelian and Puppe exact categories.

2. P-SEMI-ABELIAN CATEGORIES

A *preabelian* category is an additive category satisfying the following axiom:

Axiom 1. Every morphism has a kernel and a cokernel.

We denote by $\ker \alpha$ ($\operatorname{coker} \alpha$) an arbitrary kernel (cokernel) of α and by $\operatorname{Ker} \alpha$ ($\operatorname{Coker} \alpha$) the corresponding object; the equality $a = \ker b$ ($a = \operatorname{coker} b$) means that a is a kernel of b (a is a cokernel of b).

In a preabelian category, every morphism α admits a canonical decomposition $\alpha = (\operatorname{im} \alpha)\bar{\alpha}(\operatorname{coim} \alpha)$, where $\operatorname{im} \alpha = \ker \operatorname{coker} \alpha$, $\operatorname{coim} \alpha = \operatorname{coker} \ker \alpha$. Below we sometimes use the notation $\tilde{\alpha}$ for $\bar{\alpha}(\operatorname{coim} \alpha)$.

A morphism α is called *strict* if $\bar{\alpha}$ is an isomorphism.

We write $\beta \mid \alpha$ if $\alpha = \ker \beta$ and $\beta = \operatorname{coker} \alpha$.

Lemma 2.1 ([2, 3, 17, 33]). *The following assertions hold in a preabelian category :*

- (i) *Strict monomorphisms = kernels, strict epimorphisms = cokernels.*
- (ii) *α is a kernel $\iff \alpha = \operatorname{im} \alpha$, α is a cokernel $\iff \alpha = \operatorname{coim} \alpha$.*
- (iii) *A morphism α is strict if and only if it is representable in the form $\alpha = \alpha_1 \alpha_0$ with α_0 a cokernel and α_1 a kernel; in every such representation, $\alpha_0 = \operatorname{coim} \alpha$ and $\alpha_1 = \operatorname{im} \alpha$.*
- (iv) *The relations $\ker \alpha = \ker \operatorname{coim} \alpha$ and $\operatorname{coker} \alpha = \operatorname{coker} \operatorname{im} \alpha$ hold for every morphism α .*
- (v) *Suppose that a commutative square*

$$(4) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} C & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & D \\ g \downarrow & & \downarrow f \\ A & \xrightarrow{\beta} & B \end{array}$$

is a pullback. Then $\ker f = \alpha \ker g$. If $f = \ker h$ for some h then $g = \ker(h\beta)$. If f is a monic then g is monic; if f is a kernel then g is a kernel.

Dually, suppose that (4) is a pushout. Then $\operatorname{coker} g = (\operatorname{coker} f)\beta$. If $g = \operatorname{coker} e$ for some e then $f = \operatorname{coker}(\alpha e)$. If g is epic then f is epic. If g is a cokernel then f is a cokernel.

A preabelian category is abelian if and only if $\bar{\alpha}$ is an isomorphism for every α , that is, if and only if every morphism is strict.

A preabelian category is called *P-semi-abelian* or *semi-abelian* (in the sense of Palamodov) [24, 26] if it satisfies

Axiom 2. For every morphism α , $\bar{\alpha}$ is a bimorphism, that is, a monomorphism and an epimorphism.

We call a sequence $\dots \xrightarrow{a} B \xrightarrow{b} \dots$ in an additive category a *null sequence* B if $ba = 0$. A sequence $\dots \xrightarrow{a} B \xrightarrow{b} \dots$ in a preabelian category is said to be *acyclic* (at the term) B if $\operatorname{im} a = \ker b$. Lemma 2.1(iv) implies that the sequence is acyclic at B if and only if $\operatorname{coker} a = \operatorname{coim} b$.

The following lemma is well known. For a detailed characterization of P-semi-abelianity, the reader is referred to [15].

Lemma 2.2. *Let \mathcal{A} be a preabelian category. Then the following are equivalent:*

- (i) \mathcal{A} is P-semi-abelian.
- (ii) If $h \circ l$ is a kernel then so is l . If $h \circ l$ is a cokernel then so is h .
- (iii) If (1) is a pushout and g is a kernel then f is a monomorphism. If (1) is a pullback and f is a kernel then g is an epimorphism.
- (iv) If l and h are kernels (cokernels) and $h \circ l$ is defined then $h \circ l$ is a kernel (cokernel).

If, for a cokernel f in a preabelian category, in every pullback (1), g is a cokernel (for a kernel g in a preabelian category, in every pushout (1), f is a kernel) then f is called a *semi-stable cokernel* (g is called a *semi-stable kernel*).

We recall some basic properties of semi-stable kernels and cokernels (following from [9, Propositions 5.11 and 5.12]).

Lemma 2.3. *The following hold in a preabelian category:*

- (i) if gf is a semi-stable kernel then so is f , if gf is a semi-stable cokernel then so is g ;
- (ii) if f and g are semi-stable kernels and gf is defined then gf is a semi-stable kernel; if f and g are semi-stable cokernels and gf is defined then gf is a semi-stable cokernel.
- (iii) a pushout of a semi-stable kernel is a semi-stable kernel; a pullback of a semi-stable cokernel is a semi-stable cokernel.

Examples of non-semi-stable cokernels in P-semi-abelian categories of functional analysis are not unusual (see [1, 27, 28, 29, 32]).

3. HOMOLOGY OBJECTS

Suppose first that the ambient category is preabelian.

Given a sequence of the form

$$(5) \quad A \xrightarrow{\varphi} B \xrightarrow{\psi} C$$

such that $\psi\varphi = 0$, there are a natural morphism $\sigma : A \rightarrow \text{Ker } \psi$ such that $\varphi = (\text{ker } \psi)\sigma$ and a natural morphism $\tau : \text{Coker } \varphi \rightarrow C$ such that $\psi = \tau \text{ coker } \varphi$.

Call $H_-(B) = H_-(B, \varphi, \psi) = \text{Coker } \sigma$ and $H_+(B) = H_+(B, \varphi, \psi) = \text{Ker } \tau$ the *left* and *right homology objects* of (5) at the term B .

These two notions coincide for abelian categories (see, for example, [4, p. 124]), for quasi-abelian categories [13, Lemma 4], and even in the nonadditive setting of homological categories in the sense of Grandis [6, p. 155].

If the ambient category is P-semi-abelian then there is an equivalent description of the left and right homology objects. Consider the natural morphisms $r : \text{Im } \varphi \rightarrow \text{Ker } \psi$ and $r' : \text{Coker } \varphi \rightarrow \text{Coim } \psi$. Then $\text{coker } r = \text{coker } \sigma$ and $\text{ker } r' = \text{ker } \tau$, and hence $H_-(B, \varphi, \psi) = \text{Coker } r$ and $H_+(B, \varphi, \psi) = \text{Ker } r'$.

As we see from Lemma 2.1(iv), the acyclicity of sequence (5) at B in a P-semi-abelian category is equivalent to the nullity of either of the homologies $H_-(B, \varphi, \psi)$ or $H_+(B, \varphi, \psi)$.

As was shown in [13], in a preabelian category, there is a unique morphism $m : H_-(B) \rightarrow H_+(B)$ such that

$$(6) \quad (\ker \tau)m \operatorname{coker} \sigma = (\operatorname{coker} \varphi)(\ker \psi).$$

The following assertion holds ([11, Lemma 7], [12, Proposition 1]):

Lemma 3.1. *Let the ambient category be P-semi-abelian. The morphism $m : H_-(B) \rightarrow H_+(B)$ is a bimorphism. If $\ker \psi$ is a semi-stable kernel or $\operatorname{coker} \varphi$ is a semi-stable cokernel then m is an isomorphism.*

Examples of situations when the left and right homology objects do not coincide can be obtained from the following observation ([12, Lemma 4]):

Let

$$\begin{array}{ccc} P & \xrightarrow{u'} & F \\ v' \downarrow & & \downarrow v \\ E & \xrightarrow{u} & G \end{array}$$

be a pullback in a P-semi-abelian category such that v is a kernel, u is a cokernel, and u' is not a cokernel. Let $H_-(E)$ and $H_+(E)$ be the left and right homology objects of the sequence

$$K \xrightarrow{\ker u} E \xrightarrow{\operatorname{coker} v'} L$$

at the term E . Then the canonical morphism $m : H_-(E) \rightarrow H_+(E)$ is not an isomorphism.

As was shown by Wengenroth (see [32]), such pullbacks are not unusual, for example, in the P-semi-abelian category of bornological locally convex spaces and arise when non- α -regular inductive limits in the sense of Makarov [20] are considered.

4. LAMBEK INVARIANTS

Given a commutative square (1), consider the pullback

$$(7) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} I & \xrightarrow{k} & \operatorname{Im} f \\ l \downarrow & & \downarrow \operatorname{im} f \\ \operatorname{Im} \beta & \xrightarrow{\operatorname{im} \beta} & B \end{array}$$

There are obvious morphisms $k' : \operatorname{Im}(f\alpha) \rightarrow \operatorname{Im} f$ and $l' : \operatorname{Im}(f\alpha) \rightarrow \operatorname{Im} \beta$ with $\operatorname{im}(f\alpha) = (\operatorname{im} f)k' = (\operatorname{im} \beta)l'$. Since (7) is a pullback, there is a unique morphism $\rho : \operatorname{Im}(f\alpha) \rightarrow I$ such that $k' = k\rho$ and $l' = l\rho$. We put $\operatorname{Im} S = \operatorname{Coker} \rho$. If we denote by Φ the epimorphism $\widetilde{f\alpha} = \overline{f\alpha} \operatorname{coim} f\alpha$ then, obviously, $\operatorname{Im} S = \operatorname{Coker}(\rho\Phi)$.

Now, let $\mu : \operatorname{Ker} g \rightarrow \operatorname{Ker}(f\alpha)$ and $\nu : \operatorname{Ker} \alpha \rightarrow \operatorname{Ker}(f\alpha)$ be the natural inclusions. They form a morphism $\langle \mu, \nu \rangle : \operatorname{Ker} g \oplus \operatorname{Ker} \alpha \rightarrow \operatorname{Ker}(f\alpha)$. We put $\operatorname{Ker} S = \operatorname{Coker} \langle \mu, \nu \rangle$. Alternatively, $\operatorname{Ker} S$ can be described as follows (see, for

example, [22]). Consider the pushout

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 C & \xrightarrow{\text{coim } \alpha} & \text{Coim } \alpha \\
 \text{coim } g \downarrow & & \downarrow j \\
 \text{Coim } g & \xrightarrow{i} & J
 \end{array}$$

There is a unique morphism $\sigma : L \rightarrow B$ such that $\sigma j = f(\text{im } \alpha)\bar{\alpha}$ and $\sigma i = \beta(\text{im } g)\bar{g}$. Then $\text{Ker } S$ is naturally isomorphic to $\text{Ker } \sigma$. Thus, $\text{Im } S$ and $\text{Ker } S$ are dual notions.

In what follows, we endow all the morphisms and objects introduced above for a commutative square S with the subscript S when it becomes necessary to distinguish the corresponding morphisms of different squares.

Here is an easy example of commutative squares with zero kernel or image:

Example 4.1. As was observed by Hilton (see [8, Proposition 2.4]) and is easily checked, every composition $h = gf$ gives two commutative squares $\Delta' : h(\text{id}) = gf$ and $\Delta'' : (\text{id})h = gf$ such that $\text{Im } \Delta' = 0$ and $\text{Ker } \Delta'' = 0$.

As was noted in the introduction, for a sequence of the form (2) with acyclic rows, $\text{Ker } S$ and $\text{Im } T$ are naturally isomorphic (see [19] or [22]) in abelian categories and some of their “exact” nonabelian analogs. For this to hold even in a quasi-abelian category, one must have $\text{Im } b = \text{Coim } b$, that is, b must be strict (see [10]) As we will see below, this is indeed not enough for P-semi-abelian categories. But even in this generality, we have the following, literally the same, result as in the quasi-abelian case [10], which gives a mapping $\zeta : \text{Ker } T \rightarrow \text{Im } S$ “in the opposite direction”.

Theorem 4.2. *Let \mathfrak{A} be a P-semi-abelian category and consider diagram (2) with acyclic rows in \mathfrak{A} . Then there exist unique morphisms $\xi : \text{Ker}(g'b) \rightarrow I_S$ and $\zeta : \text{Ker } T \rightarrow \text{Im } S$ such that*

$$(\text{coker } \rho_s)\xi = \zeta \text{coker}(\mu_T, \nu_T).$$

Proof. We must follow verbatim the proof of Theorem 3.2 in [10]. □

As a consequence of Theorem 4.2, by analogy with [10], we obtain Lambek’s isomorphism, established for abelian or Puppe exact categories in [18, 19, 22] and for quasi-abelian categories in [10], provided that b is strict. In the P-semi-abelian case, we have to add some semi-stability conditions.

Corollary 4.3. *Suppose that, under the conditions of Theorem 4.2, b is strict. If $\text{coim } b$ is a semi-stable cokernel or $\text{im } b$ is a semi-stable kernel, then ζ is an isomorphism.*

Proof. We assume that b is strict and $\text{coim } b$ is a semi-stable cokernel (the case of $\text{im } \beta$ being a semi-stable kernel is treated by duality). Note that the square

$$(8) \quad \begin{array}{ccc}
 \text{Ker}(g'b) & \xrightarrow{\text{ker}(g'b)} & B \\
 \xi \downarrow & & \downarrow \bar{b} \\
 I & \xrightarrow{k_S} & \text{Im } b
 \end{array}$$

is a pullback (see the proof of Theorem 2 in [10]).

Since $\ker b = \ker \tilde{b} = (\ker(g'b))\mu_T$, b is strict, $\text{coim } \beta$ is a semi-stable cokernel, and (8) is a pullback, from Lemma 2.1(v) it follows that $\mu_T = \ker \xi$ and $\xi = \text{coker } \mu_T$. Obviously, $(\text{coker}\langle\mu_T, \nu_T\rangle)\mu_T = 0$, and so there exists a unique morphism

$$\tau : I \rightarrow \text{Coker}\langle\mu_T, \nu_T\rangle$$

such that $\text{coker}\langle\mu_T, \nu_T\rangle = \tau\xi$. We have $\zeta\tau\xi = (\text{coker } \rho_S)\xi$, and the fact that ξ is a cokernel yields $\zeta\tau = \text{coker } \rho_S$.

Denote by γ_S the unique morphism such that $\text{im}(bf)\gamma_S = b(\text{im } f)$ ($= b(\ker g)$) due to the acyclicity of the upper row in (2). We have

$$(9) \quad \tau\rho_S\gamma_S = \tau\xi\nu_T = (\text{coker}\langle\mu_T, \nu_T\rangle)\nu_T = 0.$$

Since

$$\text{im}(bf)\gamma_S\tilde{f} = b(\text{im } f)\tilde{f} = bf = \text{im } \tilde{f}$$

and $\text{im } bf$ is monic, γ_S is epic. So (9) implies that $\tau\rho_S = 0$. Thus there is a unique morphism $\Lambda_0 : \text{Coker } \rho \rightarrow \text{Coker}\langle\mu_T, \nu_T\rangle$ with the property $\tau = \Lambda_0(\text{coker } \rho)$. It is easy to see that $\zeta\Lambda_0$ and $\Lambda_0\zeta$ are identities and, therefore, ζ and Λ_0 are mutually inverse isomorphisms. \square

Note that the morphism Λ_0 in the proof above coincides with Nomura's morphism Λ up to the identification $\text{Ker } T \cong \text{Ker } \sigma_T$.

Corollary 4.4. *Let S be a commutative square of the form (1). The following hold:*

(i) *Suppose that S is a pullback and f is strict. If $\text{coim } f$ is a semi-stable cokernel or $\text{im } f$ is a semi-stable kernel then $\text{Ker } S = 0$.*

(ii) *Suppose that S is a pushout and g is strict. If $\text{im } g$ is a semi-stable kernel or $\text{coim } g$ is a semi-stable cokernel then $\text{Im } S = 0$.*

Proof. We prove (i). By Lemma 2.1(v), the natural morphism $\hat{f} : \text{Ker } \alpha \rightarrow \text{Ker } \beta$ is an isomorphism, and hence $\text{Ker } \alpha = \text{Ker } \beta$. Consider the commutative diagram with acyclic rows

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \text{Ker } \alpha & \xrightarrow{\text{ker } \alpha} & C & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & D \\ \parallel & & S_0 & \downarrow g & S & \downarrow f \\ \text{Ker } \beta & \xrightarrow{\text{ker } \beta} & A & \xrightarrow{\beta} & B \end{array}$$

Corollary 4.3 implies that $\text{Im } S_0 = \text{Ker } S$. By Example 4.1, $\text{Im } S_0 = 0$. Thus, $\text{Ker } S = 0$.

Assertion (ii) is dual to (i). \square

We now pass to the more general case of a commutative diagram of the form (2) with null sequences as rows.

We prove the following P-semi-abelian version of Corollary A_2 of [22]:

Theorem 4.5. *Suppose that in diagram (2) the rows are null sequences. The following assertions hold.*

(1) *If the sequence $A' \rightarrow B' \rightarrow C'$ is acyclic and b is a kernel then there exists a canonical morphism $\theta : H_-(A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C) \rightarrow \text{Im } S$ such that the sequence*

$$0 \rightarrow H_-(A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C) \xrightarrow{\theta} \text{Im } S \xrightarrow{\Lambda} \text{Ker } T \rightarrow 0$$

is acyclic.

(2) If the sequence $A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C$ is acyclic and b is a cokernel then there exists a canonical morphism $\varkappa : \text{Ker } T \rightarrow H_+(A' \rightarrow B' \rightarrow C')$ such that the sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Im } S \xrightarrow{\Lambda} \text{Ker } T \xrightarrow{\varkappa} H_+(A' \rightarrow B' \rightarrow C') \rightarrow 0$$

is acyclic.

Proof. We prove only item (1) since (2) is obtained from it by duality.

By the equivalent description of left homology in Section 3, the left homology object $H_-(A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C)$ is the cokernel of the unique morphism ε such that $\text{im } f = (\text{ker } g)\varepsilon$. We have $(\text{coker } \rho_S)\xi\nu_T\varepsilon = 0$ and hence there exists a unique morphism θ with $(\text{coker } \rho_S)\xi\nu_T = \theta(\text{coker } \varepsilon)$.

Obviously, $g'b(\text{ker}(g'b)) = 0$, whence there exists a unique morphism y with $b\text{ker}(g'b) = (\text{ker } g')y_0 = (\text{im } f')y_0$. Since (7) is a pullback, there exists a unique morphism $\xi : \text{Ker}(g'b) \rightarrow \text{Im } S$ such that $\tilde{b}(\text{ker}(g'b)) = k_S\xi$ and $y = l_S\xi$. We infer

$$k_S\xi\mu_T = \tilde{b}(\text{ker}(g'b))\mu_T = \tilde{b}(\text{ker } b) = 0,$$

and hence $\xi\mu_T = 0$ because k_S is monic. Now, denote by $\gamma = \gamma_S$ the unique morphism for which $\text{im}(bf)\gamma = b(\text{im } f)$ ($= b(\text{ker } g)\varepsilon$). We obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (\text{im } b)k_S\rho_S\gamma_S\tilde{f} &= (\text{im}(bf))\gamma_S\tilde{f} = b(\text{im } f)\tilde{f} = bf \\ &= (\text{im } b)\tilde{b}(\text{ker } g)\varepsilon = (\text{im } b)\tilde{b}(\text{ker}(g'b))\nu_T\varepsilon\tilde{f} \\ &= (\text{im } b)k_S\xi\nu_T\varepsilon\tilde{f}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $(\text{im } b)k_S$ is monic \tilde{f} is epic, this gives $\xi\nu_T\varepsilon = \rho_S\gamma_S$.

Now, since $b(\text{im } f) = (\text{im}(bf))\gamma_S$, b is a kernel, and the proof of Corollary 4.3 implies that γ_S is epic, it follows that γ_S is in fact an isomorphism. In addition, ξ is an isomorphism, too. Indeed, as above, ξ is a part of pullback (8), where \tilde{b} is an isomorphism, which implies that ξ is an isomorphism, too. Thus we may write $\rho_S = \nu_T\varepsilon$. Since we thus obtain a pullback $\rho_S \text{id} = \nu_T\varepsilon$ and hence ρ is strict, the morphism of the cokernels $\theta : \text{Coker } \varepsilon \rightarrow \text{Coker } \rho_S$ is monic by Lemma 2.1(v). This gives acyclicity at $H(A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C)$.

Furthermore, since

$$\Lambda\theta(\text{coker } \varepsilon) = \Lambda(\text{coker } \rho_S)\xi\nu_T = (\text{coker } \langle \mu_t, \nu_T \rangle)\nu_T = 0,$$

we infer $\Lambda\theta = 0$. Now, take a morphism y with $y\theta = 0$. Then $y(\text{coker } \rho_S)\nu = y\theta(\text{coker } \varepsilon) = 0$ and, obviously, $y(\text{coker } \rho_S)\mu_T = 0$. Hence, there exists a unique morphism v with $y(\text{coker } \rho_S) = v(\text{coker } \langle \mu_T, \nu_T \rangle) = v\Lambda(\text{coker } \rho_S)$. Since $\text{coker } \rho_S$ is epic, $y = v\Lambda$. Thus, $\Lambda = \text{coker } \theta$ and so we have the acyclicity at $\text{Im } S$. \square

As a consequence of Theorem 4.5, we will now prove an assertion generalizing [22, Lemma 4.1]:

Corollary 4.6. *Suppose that in (1) β is strict. Assume in addition that $\text{coim } \beta$ is a semi-stable cokernel or $\text{im } \beta$ is a semi-stable kernel. Then there exists a bimorphism*

$$\text{Im } S \rightarrow H(\text{Ker } \beta \rightarrow \text{Coker } g \rightarrow \text{Coker } f).$$

Suppose that in (1) α is strict. Assume in addition that $\text{im } \alpha$ is a semi-stable cokernel or $\text{coim } \alpha$ is a semi-stable kernel. Then there exists a bimorphism

$$H(\text{Ker } g \rightarrow \text{Ker } f \rightarrow \text{Coker } \alpha) \rightarrow \text{Ker } S.$$

Proof. Consider the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & C & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & D & \\
 & \downarrow g & & \downarrow f & \\
 \text{Ker } \beta & \xrightarrow{\ker \beta} & A & \xrightarrow{\beta} & B \\
 \parallel & & \downarrow \text{coker } g & & \downarrow \text{coker } f \\
 \text{Ker } \beta & \xrightarrow{S'} & \text{Coker } g & \xrightarrow{\hat{\beta}} & \text{Coker } f
 \end{array}$$

Lambek's isomorphism (Corollary 4.3) implies the equality $\text{Im } S = \text{Ker } S''$. Furthermore, by Theorem 4.5, the sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Im } S' \xrightarrow{\Delta} \text{Ker } S'' \xrightarrow{\varkappa'} H(\text{Ker } \beta \rightarrow \text{Coker } g \rightarrow \text{Coker } f) \rightarrow 0$$

is acyclic. By Example 4.1, $\text{Im } S' = 0$. Consequently, \varkappa' is a bimorphism. \square

The author expresses his deep gratitude to the anonymous referee for a careful reading of the paper and very useful remarks which substantially improved the exposition.

REFERENCES

- [1] J. Bonnet, S. Dierolf, *The pullback for bornological and ultrabornological spaces*, Note Mat., **25**:1 (2006), 63–67. Zbl 1223.46003
- [2] I. Bucur, A. Deleanu, *Introduction to the theory of categories and functors*, Pure and Applied Mathematics, **XIX**, John, Wiley & Sons, London etc., 1968. Zbl 0197.29205
- [3] B. Eckmann, P.J. Hilton, *Exact couples in an Abelian category*, J. Algebra, **3** (1966), 38–87. Zbl 0178.34306
- [4] S.I. Gelfand, Yu.I. Manin, *Methods of homological algebra*, Springer, Berlin, 2003. Zbl 1006.18001
- [5] A.I. Generalov, *Derived categories of an additive category*, St. Petersburg. Math. J. **4**:5 (1993), 909–919. Zbl 0792.18009
- [6] M. Grandis, *On the categorical foundations of homological and homotopical algebra*, Cah. Topol. Géom. Différ. Catég., **33**:2 (1992), 135–175. Zbl 0814.18006
- [7] R. Henrard, S. Kvamme, A.-C. van Roosmalen, S.-A. Wegner, *The left heart and exact hull of an additive regular category*, arXiv:2105.11483 [math.CT] (2021).
- [8] P.J. Hilton, *On systems of interlocking exact sequences*, Fundam. Math., **61** (1967), 111–119. Zbl 0168.26804
- [9] G.M. Kelly, *Monomorphisms, epimorphisms, and pull-backs*, J. Aust. Math. Soc., **9** (1969), 124–142. Zbl 0169.32604
- [10] Ya.A. Kopylov, *On the Lambek invariants of commutative squares in a quasi-abelian category*, Sci. Ser. A Math. Sci. (N.S.), **11** (2005), 57–67. Zbl 1105.18009
- [11] Ya.A. Kopylov, *Homology in P-semi-abelian categories*, Sci. Ser. A Math. Sci. (N.S.), **17** (2009), 105–114. Zbl 1221.18007
- [12] Ya.A. Kopylov, *On the homology sequence in a P-semi-abelian category*, Sib. Elektron. Mat. Izv., **9** (2012), 190–200. Zbl 1330.18020
- [13] Ya.A. Kopylov, V.I. Kuz'minov, *Exactness of the cohomology sequence for a short exact sequence of complexes in a semiabelian category*, Sib. Adv. Math., **13**:3 (2003), 72–80. Zbl 1046.18010
- [14] Ya.A. Kopylov, V.I. Kuz'minov, *The Ker-Coker-sequence and its generalization in some classes of additive categories*, Sib. Math. J., **50**:1 (2009), 86–95. Zbl 1224.18010
- [15] Ya.A. Kopylov, S.-A. Wegner, *On the notion of a semi-abelian category in the sense of Palamodov*, Appl. Categ. Struct., **20**:5 (2012), 531–541. Zbl 1259.18003
- [16] Ya.A. Kopylov, S.-A. Wegner, *Exact couples in semiabelian categories revisited*, J. Algebra, **414** (2014), 264–270. Zbl 1408.18036

- [17] V.I. Kuz'minov, A.Yu. Cherevikin, *Semiabelian categories*, Sib. Math. J., **13**:6 (1972), 895–902. Zbl 0264.18005
- [18] J. Lambek, *Goursat's theorem and homological algebra*, Can. Math. Bull., **7** (1964), 597–608. Zbl 0124.01601
- [19] J.B. Leicht, *Axiomatic proof of J. Lambek's homological theorem*, Can. Math. Bull., **7** (1964), 609–613. Zbl 0124.01602
- [20] B.M. Makarov, *Some pathological properties of inductive limits of B-spaces*, Usp. Mat. Nauk, **18**:3(111) (1963), 171–178. 0146.36603
- [21] A. Neeman, *The derived category of an exact category*, J. Algebra, **135**:2 (1990), 388–394. Zbl 0753.18004
- [22] Y. Nomura, *An exact sequence generalizing a theorem of Lambek*, Arch. Math., **22** (1971), 467–478. Zbl 0241.18005
- [23] Y. Nomura, *Induced morphisms for Lambek invariants of commutative squares*, Manuscr. Math., **4** (1971), 263–275. Zbl 0212.35102
- [24] V.P. Palamodov, *Homological methods in the theory of locally convex spaces*, Russ. Math. Surv., **26**:1 (1972), 1–64. Zbl 0247.46070
- [25] V.P. Palamodov, *On a Stein manifold the Dolbeault complex splits in positive dimensions*, Math. USSR, Sb., **17**(1972) (1973), 289–316. Zbl 0255.32003
- [26] W. Rump, *Almost abelian categories*, Cah. Topol. Géom. Différ. Catég., **42**:3 (2001), 163–225. Zbl 1004.18009
- [27] W. Rump, *A counterexample to Raikov's conjecture*, Bull. Lond. Math. Soc., **40**:6 (2008), 985–994. Zbl 1210.18010
- [28] W. Rump, *Analysis of a problem of Raikov with applications to barreled and bornological spaces*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra, **215**:1 (2011), 44–52. Zbl 1213.18007
- [29] D. Sieg, S.-A. Wegner, *Maximal exact structures on additive categories*, Math. Nachr., **284**:16, (2011) 2093–2100. Zbl 1242.46087
- [30] L. Ubeda Bescansa, *Teorema homologico de J. Lambek en una categoria Hofmaniana*, Alxebra, **7**, Dept. Algebra y Fundamentos, Univ. Santiago de Compostela, 1971. Zbl 0291.18016
- [31] L. Ubeda Bescansa, *Invariantes de Lambek en categorías Hofmanianas*, Alxebra, **14** (1974), 1–34. Zbl 0354.18017
- [32] J. Wengenroth, *The Raikov conjecture fails for simple analytical reasons*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra, **216**:7 (2012), 1700–1703. Zbl 1255.18010
- [33] A.V. Yakovlev, *Homological algebra in pre-Abelian categories*, J. Sov. Math., **19**:1 (1982), 1060–1067. Zbl 0485.18011

YAROSLAV ANATOL'EVICH KOPYLOV
SOBOLEV INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS,
4, AKADEMIK KOPTYUG AVE.,
NOVOSIBIRSK, 630090, RUSSIA
Email address: yakop@math.nsc.ru; yarkopylov@gmail.com