

СИБИРСКИЕ ЭЛЕКТРОННЫЕ  
МАТЕМАТИЧЕСКИЕ ИЗВЕСТИЯ

Siberian Electronic Mathematical Reports

<http://semr.math.nsc.ru>

---

*Том 16, стр. 144–144 (2019)*  
DOI 10.33048/semi.2019.16.xxxУДК 512.57  
MSC 08C15ON DIRECTED AND FINITELY PARTITIONABLE BASES FOR  
QUASI-IDENTITIES

A. V. KRAVCHENKO

**ABSTRACT.** We prove that, under certain conditions on a quasivariety, there exists continuum many subquasivarieties of this quasivariety with both finitely partitionable (independent) and directed bases for quasi-identities. We also notice that such a situation is impossible for bases for anti-identities.

**Keywords:** quasivariety, basis for quasi-identities.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

In [3], the notion is introduced of a B-class. Existence of such a class with respect to a quasivariety  $\mathbf{K}$  witnesses a very complicated structure of  $\mathbf{K}$ . A series of examples is listed in [4]. They include quasivarieties of well-known structures with no independent bases for their quasi-identities. As is shown in [5], the intersection of a family of such subquasivarieties admits a finitely partitionable (independent) basis for its quasi-identities.

In [1], the notion is introduced of a directed basis for sentences of a class of structures; moreover, conditions are indicated that are equivalent to existence of such a basis for anti-identities. The notions of directed and independent bases are quite opposite; namely, a basis is both directed and independent if and only if it is a singleton. However, existence of a B-class with respect to a quasivariety  $\mathbf{K}$  implies existence of continuum many subquasivarieties of  $\mathbf{K}$  admitting a finitely partitionable basis and (another) directed basis for its quasi-identities. We also notice that such a situation is impossible for anti-identities.

---

KRAVCHENKO, A. V., ON DIRECTED AND FINITELY PARTITIONABLE BASES FOR QUASI-IDENTITIES.

© 2022 KRAVCHENKO, A. V..

The work is supported by ???.

Received May 3, 2022, published ???.

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

Throughout the article, we consider structures and classes of structures of a fixed finite similarity type  $\sigma$ .

Recall that a *quasi-identity* is a universal Horn sentence of the form

$$\forall \bar{x} \left( (\varphi_1(\bar{x}) \& \dots \& \varphi_n(\bar{x})) \rightarrow \varphi_0(\bar{x}) \right)$$

and an *anti-identity* is a (negative) universal Horn sentence of the form

$$\forall \bar{x} (\neg \varphi_1(\bar{x}) \vee \dots \vee \neg \varphi_n(\bar{x})),$$

where each  $\varphi_i$  is an atomic formula with free variables among the entries of  $\bar{x}$ . A *quasivariety* (*antvariety*) is a class of structures defined by a set of quasi-identities (anti-identities).

Let  $\mathbf{K} \subseteq \mathbf{M}$ , where  $\mathbf{K}$  and  $\mathbf{M}$  are universal Horn classes. The following notion was introduced by Mal'tsev [7].

**Definition 1.** A set  $\Phi$  of quasi-identities (anti-identities) is a *finitely partitionable basis* for quasi-identities (anti-identities) of  $\mathbf{K}$  in  $\mathbf{M}$  if there is a countable set  $J$  and a partition  $\Phi = \bigcup_{n \in J} \Phi_n$  such that  $\Phi_n$  is finite for every  $n \in J$ , we have  $\mathbf{K} = \mathbf{M} \cap \text{Mod}(\Phi)$ , and the condition  $\mathbf{K} \neq \mathbf{M} \cap \text{Mod}(\Phi \setminus \Phi_n)$  holds for every  $n \in J$ .

Notice that no block  $\Phi_n$  can be empty. If  $\Phi$  is a finitely partitionable basis with  $|\Phi_n| = 1$  for every  $n \in J$  then  $\Phi$  is said to be *independent*.

The following notion was introduced in [1].

**Definition 2.** A set  $\Phi = \{\varphi_i : i \in I\}$  of quasi-identities (anti-identities) is *directed in  $\mathbf{M}$*  if, for all  $i, j \in I$ , there exists  $k \in I$  such that  $\varphi_i$  and  $\varphi_j$  are consequences of  $\varphi_k$  in the class  $\mathbf{M}$ . If  $\Phi$  is a directed in  $\mathbf{M}$  set of quasi-identities (anti-identities) and  $\mathbf{K} = \mathbf{M} \cap \text{Mod}(\Phi)$  then we say that  $\Phi$  is a *directed basis* for quasi-identities (anti-identities) of  $\mathbf{K}$  in  $\mathbf{M}$ .

The following fact is immediate from Definitions 1 and 2.

**Proposition 1.** *If a basis  $\Phi$  is simultaneously directed and finitely partitionable then it is finite. In particular, if  $\Phi$  is simultaneously directed and independent then it is a singleton.*

*Proof.* Consider two representations of  $\Phi$ . Since it is finitely partitionable, we have  $\Phi = \bigcup_{n \in J} \Phi_n$ , where each  $\Phi_n$  is finite. Since it is directed, for every  $n \in J$ , there exists  $i_n \in I$  such that every sentence in  $\Phi_n$  is a consequence of  $\varphi_{i_n}$  in  $\mathbf{M}$ . If  $\varphi_{i_n} \notin \Phi_n$  then  $\mathbf{M} \cap \text{Mod}(\Phi) = \mathbf{M} \cap \text{Mod}(\Phi \setminus \Phi_n)$ , which is a contradiction. If  $|J| > 1$  then we consider  $n, m \in J$  with  $n \neq m$  and find  $k \in J$  such that  $\varphi_{i_n}$  and  $\varphi_{i_m}$  are consequences of  $\varphi_k$  in  $\mathbf{M}$ . Since  $\varphi_k \notin \Phi_n \cap \Phi_m = \emptyset$ , we again arrive at a contradiction. We conclude that  $J = 1$ , i.e.,  $\Phi$  is a finite set (which is a singleton, if  $\Phi$  is an independent basis).  $\square$

Kartashov [2] found a quasivariety of monounary algebras that admits an infinite directed basis and another infinite independent basis for its quasi-identities. We show that, under certain conditions, a quasivariety possesses  $2^\omega$  subquasivarieties with a similar property; however, such a situation is impossible for anti-identities.

The main tool is the notion of a B-class introduced in [3]. Let  $\mathbf{M}$  be a quasivariety. We denote by  $\mathcal{P}_{\text{fin}}(\omega)$  the set of finite subsets of the set  $\omega$  of natural numbers. We

denote by  $\mathbf{Q}(\mathbf{K})$  the least quasivariety extending a class  $\mathbf{K}$  and by  $\mathbf{H}(\mathbf{K})$  the class of homomorphic images of structures in  $\mathbf{K}$ . A class  $\mathbf{A} = \{\mathcal{A}_F : F \in \mathcal{P}_{\text{fin}}(\omega)\} \subseteq \mathbf{M}$  is called a *B-class with respect to  $\mathbf{M}$*  if  $\mathbf{A}$  satisfies the following conditions:

- (B<sub>0</sub>) for every nonempty  $F \in \mathcal{P}_{\text{fin}}(\omega)$ , the structure  $\mathcal{A}_F$  is finitely presented in  $\mathbf{M}$ ; the structure  $\mathcal{A}_\emptyset$  is trivial;
- (B<sub>1</sub>) if  $F = G \cup H$  in  $\mathcal{P}_{\text{fin}}(\omega)$  then  $\mathcal{A}_F \in \mathbf{Q}(\mathcal{A}_G, \mathcal{A}_H)$ ;
- (B<sub>2</sub>) if  $F, G \in \mathcal{P}_{\text{fin}}(\omega)$ ,  $F \neq \emptyset$ , and  $\mathcal{A}_F \in \mathbf{Q}(\mathcal{A}_G)$  then  $F = G$ ;
- (B<sub>3</sub>) if  $F \in \mathcal{P}_{\text{fin}}(\omega)$ ,  $i \in \omega$ , and  $f$  is a homomorphism from  $\mathcal{A}_F$  to  $\mathcal{A}_{\{i\}}$  then either  $f(\mathcal{A}_F) \cong \mathcal{A}_\emptyset$  or  $i \in F$ ;
- (B<sub>4</sub>) if  $F \in \mathcal{P}_{\text{fin}}(\omega)$  then  $\mathbf{H}(\mathcal{A}_F) \cap \mathbf{M} \subseteq \mathbf{A}$ .

As is shown in [3, 4, 5, 6], existence of a B-class with respect to a quasivariety  $\mathbf{M}$  witnesses complexity of  $\mathbf{M}$  from many points of view. Namely, such a quasivariety is  $\mathcal{Q}$ -universal, the set of (isomorphism types) of finite sublattices of the lattice  $L_q(\mathbf{M})$  of its subquasivarieties is undecidable, and there exist  $2^\omega$  elements of  $L_q(\mathbf{M})$  with no covers,  $2^\omega$  subquasivarieties of  $\mathbf{M}$  with no finitely partitionable bases for their quasi-identities, and  $2^\omega$  nonstandard subquasivarieties of  $\mathbf{M}$ ; moreover, a series of natural algorithmic problems is undecidable for  $2^\omega$  subquasivarieties of  $\mathbf{M}$ . In the present article, we indicate one more point of view from which a quasivariety with a B-class is complicated.

### 3. BASES OF QUASI-IDENTITIES

By [5, Theorem 8.1], if there exists a B-class with respect to a quasivariety  $\mathbf{M}$  then there exist  $2^\omega$  subquasivarieties of  $\mathbf{M}$  without finitely partitionable bases for their quasi-identities such that the intersection admits a finitely partitionable basis for its quasi-identities. Moreover, in [5, Theorem 8.2], conditions are found for such a basis to be independent.

The proofs of those assertions are “relatively constructive,” i.e., they provide us with a description of the intersection of subquasivarieties in terms of the B-class.

We denote by  $\mathcal{P}_{\text{inf}}$  the set of infinite subsets  $I \subseteq \omega$  such that the complement  $\omega \setminus I$  is infinite too. For every  $I \in \mathcal{P}_{\text{inf}}$ , we consider the class  $\mathbf{K}_I$  of all structures  $\mathcal{A} \in \mathbf{M}$  with the following property: The structure  $\mathcal{A}_F$  is embeddable into  $\mathcal{A}$  if and only if  $F \subseteq I$ . We put  $\mathbf{K} = \bigcap_{I \in \mathcal{P}_{\text{inf}}} \mathbf{K}_I$ . The following assertion is immediate from [5, Claim 8.3].

**Proposition 2.** *The class  $\mathbf{K}$  is a quasivariety and consists of all structures  $\mathcal{A} \in \mathbf{M}$  with the following property: If  $\mathcal{A}_F$  is embeddable into  $\mathcal{A}$  then  $F = \emptyset$ .*

By [5, Claim 8.5], there exists a finitely partitionable basis for quasi-identities of  $\mathbf{K}$  in  $\mathbf{M}$ . We find another basis for its quasi-identities.

**Proposition 3.** *There exists a directed basis for quasi-identities of  $\mathbf{K}$  in  $\mathbf{M}$ .*

*Proof.* Consider a nonempty set  $F \in \mathcal{P}_{\text{fin}}(\omega)$ . According to condition (B<sub>0</sub>), there are a finite set  $X_F$  of variables and a finite set  $\Delta_F$  of atomic formulas with free variables belonging to  $X_F$  such that  $\mathcal{A}_F \cong \mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{M}}(X_F, \Delta_F)$ , i.e., the structure  $\mathcal{A}_F$  is finitely presented in  $\mathbf{M}$  by the generators  $X_F$  and relations  $\Delta_F$ . Let  $\gamma_F: X_F \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_F$  be the corresponding interpretation of the variables from  $X_F$ . Consider an arbitrary set  $G \subseteq F$ . By [3, Lemma 2.2(ii)], there is a homomorphism from  $\mathcal{A}_F$  onto  $\mathcal{A}_G$ . Then there is a finite set  $\Delta_G^F$  of atomic formulas such that  $\mathcal{A}_G \cong \mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{M}}(X_G, \Delta_G^F)$  with

an interpretation  $\gamma_G^F$  of the variables from  $X_F$  in  $A_G$  and  $\Delta_G^F \models_{\mathbf{M}} \Delta_F$  (the reader is referred to [3, Sec. 1] for more detail).

Let  $F \in \mathcal{P}_{\text{fin}}(\omega)$ . We consider the following sentence  $\psi_F$  which is equivalent to a finite set of quasi-identities:

$$\forall \bar{x} (\&\Delta_F(\bar{x}) \rightarrow \&\Delta_{\emptyset}^F(\bar{x})),$$

where the tuple  $\bar{x}$  corresponds to the set  $X_F$  of generators. Let  $\Psi_{\mathbf{K}} = \{\psi_F : F \in \mathcal{P}_{\text{fin}}(\omega)\}$ .

We notice that  $\Psi_{\mathbf{K}}$  is a directed set. For  $F, G \in \Psi_{\mathbf{K}}$ , we put  $H = F \cup G$ . Let  $\mathcal{A} \in \mathbf{M}$  and let  $\mathcal{A}$  satisfy the sentence  $\psi_H$ . Assume that  $\mathcal{A}$  satisfies the premise  $\&\Delta_F$  with an interpretation  $\gamma$  of the variables from  $X_F$  in  $\mathcal{A}$ . Then there exists a homomorphism  $f$  from  $\mathcal{A}_F$  to  $\mathcal{A}$ . We represent  $\mathcal{A}_F \cong \mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{M}}(X_H, \Delta_F^H)$  with an interpretation  $\gamma_F^H$  of variables from  $X_H$  in  $\mathcal{A}_F$ . Then  $\mathcal{A}$  satisfies the premise  $\&\Delta_F^H$  with the interpretation  $f\gamma_F^H$ . Since  $\Delta_F^H \models_{\mathbf{M}} \Delta_H$ , we conclude that  $\mathcal{A}$  satisfies the premise  $\&\Delta_H$  with the same interpretation. Since  $\mathcal{A} \models \psi_H$ , the structure  $\mathcal{A}$  satisfies the conclusion of  $\psi_H$  with the same interpretation, i.e., the homomorphic image of  $\mathcal{A}_H$  under the composition of homomorphisms is a trivial structure. This means that  $f(\mathcal{A}_F)$  is a trivial structure too, i.e., the conclusion of  $\psi_F$  holds in  $\mathcal{A}$  with the interpretation  $\gamma$ .

It remains to show that  $\Psi_{\mathbf{K}}$  is a basis for quasi-identities of  $\mathbf{K}$  in  $\mathbf{M}$ . Let  $\mathcal{A} \in \mathbf{K}$  and let  $\mathcal{A}$  satisfy the premise of  $\psi_F$  with an interpretation  $\gamma$  of the variables from  $X_F$  in  $\mathcal{A}$ . Then there exists a homomorphism  $f$  from  $\mathcal{A}_F$  to  $\mathcal{A}$ . The homomorphic image  $f(\mathcal{A}_F)$  belongs to  $\mathbf{H}(\mathcal{A}_F)$  and is a substructure of  $\mathcal{A}$ . We have  $\mathcal{A} \in \mathbf{K} \subseteq \mathbf{M}$ ; hence,  $f(\mathcal{A}_F) \in \mathbf{M}$ . By (B<sub>4</sub>), we find that  $f(\mathcal{A}_F) \cong \mathcal{A}_G$  for some  $G \in \mathcal{P}_{\text{fin}}(\omega)$ . By Proposition 2, we have  $G = \emptyset$ . Therefore, the structure  $f(\mathcal{A}_F)$  is trivial and, consequently, satisfies the conclusion of  $\psi_F$  with the same interpretation  $\gamma$ . Conversely, if  $\mathcal{A} \in \mathbf{M}$  and  $\mathcal{A} \models \psi_F$  for every  $F \in \mathcal{P}_{\text{fin}}(\omega)$  then no nontrivial structure of the form  $\mathcal{A}_F$  admits a homomorphism to  $\mathcal{A}$ . We conclude that  $\mathcal{A}_F$  is embeddable into  $\mathcal{A}$  if and only if  $F = \emptyset$ . It remains to apply Proposition 2.  $\square$

We summarise the above results as follows.

**Proposition 4.** *If there exists a B-class with respect to a quasivariety  $\mathbf{M}$  then there exist distinct bases  $\Phi_{\mathbf{K}}$  and  $\Psi_{\mathbf{K}}$  for quasi-identities of a suitable subquasivariety  $\mathbf{K} \subseteq \mathbf{M}$  such that  $\Phi_{\mathbf{K}}$  is finitely partitionable and  $\Psi_{\mathbf{K}}$  is directed.*

By [5, Claim 8.1], existence of a B-class with respect to  $\mathbf{M}$  implies existence of  $2^\omega$  such classes; moreover, the corresponding quasivarieties for distinct B-classes are distinct too. Hence, we take into account Proposition 4 and obtain the following assertion.

**Theorem 5.** *If there exists a B-class with respect to a quasivariety  $\mathbf{M}$  then there exist  $2^\omega$  subquasivarieties  $\mathbf{K}$  of  $\mathbf{M}$  such that  $\mathbf{K}$  admits a finitely partitionable basis  $\Phi_{\mathbf{K}}$  and a directed basis  $\Psi_{\mathbf{K}}$  in  $\mathbf{M}$ .*

An additional condition on a B-class guarantees that the finitely partitionable basis for quasi-identities becomes independent, see [5, Theorem 8.2]. Thus, there exist examples of quasivarieties with (distinct) independent and directed infinite bases for their quasi-identities. The proof of the following assertion is immediate from [5, Corollary 9.1] and Theorem 5.

**Corollary 6.** *If  $\mathbf{X}$  is one of the classes below then the conclusion of Theorem 5 holds for a suitable subquasivariety  $\mathbf{M} \subseteq \mathbf{X}$ :*

- *the variety  $\mathbf{U}$  of monounary algebras without 1-cycles,*
- *the variety  $\mathbf{R}$  of all commutative rings with unit,*
- *the variety  $\mathbf{C}_{mn}$  of all Cantor algebras, where  $0 < m < n < \omega$ ,*
- *the quasivariety  $\mathbf{G}$  of all directed loopless graphs,*
- *the quasivariety  $\mathbf{M}_{0,1}$  of all modular  $(0, 1)$ -lattices,*
- *every variety of  $(0, 1)$ -lattices that contains a finite non-distributive simple  $(0, 1)$ -lattice,*
- *every quasivariety of undirected antireflexive graphs that contains a non-bipartite graph.*

*In the first four cases, the bases  $\Phi_{\mathbf{K}}$  are independent.*

#### 4. BASES OF ANTI-IDENTITIES

We prove that an analogue of Theorem 4 is no longer valid for anti-identities.

By [1, Theorem 4.8], if  $\mathbf{M}$  is a proper universal Horn class and  $\mathbf{K}$  is an  $\mathbf{M}$ -antivariety then the following conditions are equivalent:

- (1) there exists a directed basis for anti-identities of  $\mathbf{K}$  in  $\mathbf{M}$ ;
- (2) the element  $\mathbf{K}$  is meet irreducible in the lattice of  $\mathbf{M}$ -antivarieties;
- (3) we have  $\mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{B} \in \mathbf{M} \setminus \mathbf{K}$  for all finite structures  $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B} \in \mathbf{M} \setminus \mathbf{K}$ .

We show that each of these equivalent conditions is equivalent to the following condition:

- (4) each basis for anti-identities of  $\mathbf{K}$  in  $\mathbf{M}$  is directed.

Indeed, it is clear that (4) yields (1); it suffices to prove that, say, (3) implies (4). Essentially, we pass to the set of (isomorphism types) of finite structures in  $\mathbf{M} \setminus \mathbf{K}$  partially ordered by homomorphisms and take into account the fact that each subset generating a directed set is directed.

**Theorem 7.** *If an antivariety possesses a directed basis for its anti-identities then each basis for its anti-identities is directed.*

*Proof.* Let  $\mathbf{K}$  be defined in  $\mathbf{M}$  by a basis  $\Sigma = \{\varphi_i : i \in I\}$  for its anti-identities. For every anti-identity

$$\varphi \Leftrightarrow \forall \bar{x} (\neg \psi_1(\bar{x}) \vee \dots \vee \neg \psi_n(\bar{x})),$$

let  $\mathcal{A}(\varphi)$  denote the structure defined in  $\mathbf{M}$  by the generators  $\bar{x}$  and the relations  $\psi_1, \dots, \psi_n$ . It is easy to see that a structure  $\mathcal{B} \in \mathbf{M}$  satisfies an anti-identity  $\varphi$  if and only if  $\mathcal{A}(\varphi)$  admits no homomorphisms to  $\mathcal{B}$ .

In particular, for every  $i \in I$ , the anti-identity  $\varphi_i$  is false in  $\mathcal{A}(\varphi_i)$ , i.e., for all  $i, j \in I$ , we have  $\mathcal{A}(\varphi_i), \mathcal{A}(\varphi_j) \notin \mathbf{K}$ ; moreover, the structures  $\mathcal{A}(\varphi_i)$  and  $\mathcal{A}(\varphi_j)$  are finite. By (3), we obtain  $\mathcal{A}(\varphi_i) \times \mathcal{A}(\varphi_j) \notin \mathbf{K}$ . Hence, there exists  $k \in I$  such that  $\mathcal{A}(\varphi_i) \times \mathcal{A}(\varphi_j)$  does not satisfy  $\varphi_k$ . This means that  $\mathcal{A}(\varphi_k)$  admits a homomorphism to  $\mathcal{A}(\varphi_i) \times \mathcal{A}(\varphi_j)$ . Hence,  $\mathcal{A}(\varphi_k)$  admits a homomorphism to each of  $\mathcal{A}(\varphi_i)$  and  $\mathcal{A}(\varphi_j)$ .

Let  $\mathcal{B} \in \mathbf{M}$  be an arbitrary structure obeying  $\varphi_k$ . Then  $\mathcal{A}(\varphi_k)$  admits no homomorphisms to  $\mathcal{B}$ . Hence, none of  $\mathcal{A}(\varphi_i), \mathcal{A}(\varphi_j)$  admits a homomorphism to  $\mathcal{B}$ . This means that  $\mathcal{B}$  satisfies both  $\varphi_i$  and  $\varphi_j$ . Since  $\mathcal{B} \in \mathbf{M}$  is arbitrary, we conclude that  $\varphi_i$  and  $\varphi_j$  are consequences of  $\varphi_k$  in  $\mathbf{M}$ .  $\square$

## REFERENCES

- [1] V. A. Gorbunov, A. V. Kravchenko, *Universal Horn classes and colour-families of graphs*, Algebra Universalis **46** (2001), 43–67  
[<https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/PL00022468>].
- [2] V. K. Kartashov, *Quasivarieties of unars*, Math. Notes **27** (1980), 5–12  
[<https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/BF01149807>].
- [3] A. V. Kravchenko, A. M. Nurakunov, M. V. Schwidefsky, *Structure of quasivariety lattices. I. Independent axiomatizability*, Algebra and Logic **57** (2018), 445–462  
[<https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s10469-019-09516-4>].
- [4] A. V. Kravchenko, A. M. Nurakunov, M. V. Schwidefsky, *Structure of quasivariety lattices. II. Undecidable problems*, Algebra and Logic **58** (2019), 123–136  
[<https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s10469-019-09531-5>].
- [5] A. V. Kravchenko, A. M. Nurakunov, M. V. Schwidefsky, *Structure of quasivariety lattices. III. Finitely partitionable bases*, Algebra and Logic **59** (2020), 222–229  
[<https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s10469-020-09594-9>].
- [6] A. V. Kravchenko, A. M. Nurakunov, M. V. Schwidefsky, *Structure of quasivariety lattices. IV. Nonstandard quasivarieties*, Siberian Math. J. **62** (2021), 850–858  
[<https://link.springer.com/article/10.1134/S0037446621050074>].
- [7] A. I. Mal'tsev, *Universally axiomatizable subclasses of locally finite classes of models*, Siberian Math. J. **8** (1967), 764–770  
[<https://link.springer.com/content/pdf/10.1007/BF01040652.pdf>].

ALEKSANDR VLADIMIROVICH KRAVCHENKO  
SOBOLEV INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS,  
PR. KOPTYUGA, 4,  
630090, NOVOSIBIRSK, RUSSIA;  
NOVOSIBIRSK STATE UNIVERSITY OF ECONOMICS AND MANAGEMENT,  
UL. KAMENSKAYA, 56,  
630099, NOVOSIBIRSK, RUSSIA  
*Email address:* [a.v.kravchenko@mail.ru](mailto:a.v.kravchenko@mail.ru)