

## Review on the paper of V.M. Leontiev “On the collection process for positive words”

The text is much better now, but there are a couple of misprints and one problem with Example 4.7. I will start from the latter.

*Example 4.7.* In this example the predicate  $E_{[[a_2, a_1], a_2]}^{(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3)}$  is expressed through the “elementary” predicates. The first step using the formula (12) is clear:

$$E_{[[a_2, a_1], a_2]}^{(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3)} = P_{[a_2, a_1], a_2}^{(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3)} = \dots$$

The second step uses the formula (13a) with  $u = 1$ ,  $v = 0$ ,  $Q_1 = Q_2 = a_2$  and  $R_1 = a_1$ , to obtain

$$\dots = E_{[a_2, a_1]}^{(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)} E_{a_2}^{(\lambda_3)} F.$$

In the text it is written that  $F = P_{a_2, a_2}^{(\lambda_1, \lambda_3)} \vee (\lambda_2 = \lambda_3)$ , and I do not quite understand why this is so. Consider the formula for  $F$  in Theorem 4.6:

$$F = P_{Q_1, Q_2}^{\Lambda_0^1 \Lambda_0^2} \vee (\Lambda_0^1 = \Lambda_0^2) \left( (u < v) \bigwedge_{k=1}^u (\dots) \vee \bigvee_{k=1}^{\min\{u, v\}} (\dots) \right).$$

We have  $\Lambda_0^1 = \lambda_1$ ,  $\Lambda_0^2 = \lambda_3$ , therefore after substitution we get

$$F = P_{a_2, a_2}^{(\lambda_1, \lambda_3)} \vee (\lambda_1 = \lambda_3) \left( (1 < 0) \bigwedge_{k=1}^1 (\dots) \vee \bigvee_{k=1}^0 (\dots) \right).$$

Clearly  $1 < 0$  is false and an empty disjunction  $\bigvee_{k=1}^0 (\dots)$  is also false, so after simplification

$$F = P_{a_2, a_2}^{(\lambda_1, \lambda_3)}.$$

Unless I am missing something, the formula for the original predicate should then be

$$E_{[[a_2, a_1], a_2]}^{(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3)} = P_{a_2, a_1}^{(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)} E_{a_2}^{(\lambda_3)} P_{a_2, a_2}^{(\lambda_1, \lambda_3)} = (\lambda_1 < \lambda_2) \wedge (\lambda_1 < \lambda_3).$$

*Misprints.* On page 9, Theorem 4.4: “If we collect R’s ...”. It is better to avoid pluralising mathematical symbols, so it’s better to write “If we collect R ...” or if you want to be precise “If we collect all occurrences of R ...”.

Page 14, fourth paragraph from the top: “We say that a tuple of elements  $(\lambda_1^*, \dots, \lambda_r^*) \in N$  satisfy ...”. Of course, “satisfies” is better.