

# СИБИРСКИЕ ЭЛЕКТРОННЫЕ МАТЕМАТИЧЕСКИЕ ИЗВЕСТИЯ

Siberian Electronic Mathematical Reports

<http://semr.math.nsc.ru>

Том 16, стр. 144–144 (2019)  
DOI 10.33048/semi.2019.16.xxx

УДК 512.54  
MSC 20F28, 20D06, 20E22, 20J06

## AUTOMORPHISMS OF NONSPLIT COVERINGS OF $PSL_2(q)$ IN ODD CHARACTERISTIC DIVIDING $q - 1$

ANDREI V. ZAVARNITSINE

**ABSTRACT.** We classify the nonsplit extensions of elementary abelian  $p$ -groups by  $PSL_2(q)$ , with odd  $p$  dividing  $q - 1$ , for an irreducible induced action, calculate the relevant low-dimensional cohomology groups, and describe the automorphism groups of such extensions.

**KEYWORDS:** Automorphism group, nonsplit extension, cohomology.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

Given a short exact sequence of groups

$$0 \rightarrow V \rightarrow G \rightarrow L \rightarrow 1, \quad (1)$$

where  $V$  is abelian (written additively), we say that  $G$  is an *extension* of  $V$  by  $L$ , or a *covering* of  $L$  with kernel  $V$ . Such extensions arise naturally in inductive arguments or when constructing minimal examples and counterexamples. We will be interested in the case where  $G$  is finite and nonsplit and  $V$  acquires the structure of an irreducible  $FL$ -module (for a suitable finite field  $F$  of characteristic  $p$ ) from the conjugation in  $G$ . Such extensions can only exist if  $p$  divides  $|L|$ . We also restrict ourselves to the case  $L \cong PSL_2(q)$ . Extensions of this form for  $p = 2$  and  $q$  odd were explicitly constructed in [1], and their automorphism groups were described [10]. The aim of this paper is to classify such extensions in the case  $2 \neq p \mid (q - 1)$  and describe their automorphism groups. In this case, we use the fact that the natural permutation  $FL$ -module arising from the action of  $L$  on the projective line over  $\mathbb{F}_q$  is completely reducible. We now state the main results.

ZAVARNITSINE A.V., AUTOMORPHISMS OF NONSPLIT COVERINGS OF  $PSL_2(q)$  IN ODD CHARACTERISTIC DIVIDING  $q - 1$ .

© 2020 ZAVARNITSINE A.V.

Supported by RAS Fundamental Research Program, project FWNF-2022-0002.

Received January, 1, 2015, published March, 1, 2015.

**Theorem 1.** *Up to isomorphism there is a unique nonsplit extension of an elementary abelian  $p$ -group  $V$  by  $L = \text{PSL}_2(q)$  with irreducible induced action of  $L$  on  $V$ , where  $2 \neq p \mid (q - 1)$ . In this extension,  $|V| = p^q$ .*

The group  $V$  from Theorem 1 can be identified with the unique nonprincipal irreducible  $\mathbb{F}_p L$ -module in the principal  $p$ -block of  $L$ . The low-dimensional cohomology of  $V$  is as follows.

**Theorem 2.** *In the above notation, we have  $H^1(L, V) \cong H^2(L, V) \cong \mathbb{F}_p$ .*

Recall that  $\text{PTL}_2(q)$  denotes the extension of  $\text{PGL}_2(q)$  by its field automorphisms. The automorphisms of the nonsplit extension from Theorem 1 are given by

**Theorem 3.** *Let  $G$  fit in the nonsplit exact sequence (1), where  $V$  is an irreducible  $\mathbb{F}_p L$ -module for  $L = \text{PSL}_2(q)$  and  $2 \neq p \mid (q - 1)$ . Then there is a short exact sequence*

$$0 \rightarrow W \rightarrow \text{Aut}(G) \rightarrow \text{PTL}_2(q) \rightarrow 1, \tag{2}$$

where  $W$  is elementary abelian of order  $p^{q+1}$ .

## 2. AUXILIARY FACTS

Basic notation and facts of homological algebra can be found in [6, 12]. For abelian groups  $A$  and  $B$ , we denote  $\text{Hom}(A, B) = \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(A, B)$  and  $\text{Ext}(A, B) = \text{Ext}_{\mathbb{Z}}^1(A, B)$ .

**Lemma 4** (The Universal Coefficient Theorem for Cohomology). [6, Ch. 3, Theorem 3] *For all  $i \geq 1$ , every group  $G$ , and every trivial  $G$ -module  $A$ ,*

$$H^i(G, A) \cong \text{Hom}(H_i(G, \mathbb{Z}), A) \oplus \text{Ext}(H_{i-1}(G, \mathbb{Z}), A).$$

**Lemma 5.** [6, §3.5] *For a trivial  $G$ -module  $A$ , we have*

- (i)  $H^1(G, A) \cong \text{Hom}(G, A)$ .
- (ii)  $H_1(G, A) \cong G/G' \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} A$ .

**Lemma 6** (Shapiro’s lemma). [12, §6.3] *Let  $H \leq G$  with  $|G : H|$  finite. If  $V$  is an  $H$ -module and  $i \geq 0$  then  $H^i(G, V^G) \cong H^i(H, V)$ , where  $V^G$  is the induced  $G$ -module.*

**Lemma 7.** [4, p. 322]  $\text{Ext}(\mathbb{Z}_m, \mathbb{Z}_n) \cong \mathbb{Z}_d$ , where  $d = (m, n)$ .

**Lemma 8.** [12, Proposition 3.3.4].  $\text{Ext}_R^i(A, B_1 \oplus B_2) \cong \text{Ext}_R^i(A, B_1) \oplus \text{Ext}_R^i(A, B_2)$  for all rings  $R$ ,  $R$ -modules  $A, B_1, B_2$ , and all  $i \geq 0$ .

The Schur multiplier of a group  $G$  is denoted by  $\text{Sch}(G)$ . If  $A$  is a finite abelian group and  $p$  a prime then  $A_{(p)}$  denotes the  $p$ -primary component of  $A$ . Henceforth, we assume that  $G$  is finite.

**Lemma 9.** [8, Theorem 25.1] *Let  $p$  be a prime and let  $S \in \text{Syl}_p(G)$ . Then  $\text{Sch}(G)_{(p)}$  is isomorphic to a subgroup of  $\text{Sch}(S)$ .*

**Lemma 10.** [5] *Let  $F$  be a field of characteristic  $p > 0$  and let  $V$  be an irreducible  $FG$ -module that does not belong to the principal  $p$ -block of  $G$ . Then  $H^n(G, V) = 0$  for all  $n \geq 0$ .*

Let  $\theta$  be an irreducible character of  $G$ . If  $Z(G) = 1$  then  $G \trianglelefteq \text{Aut}(G)$  and we may speak of the inertia subgroup  $I_{\text{Aut}(G)}(\theta)$ .

**Proposition 1.** [10, Proposition 4] *Let  $F$  be a field and  $\mathcal{X}$  a faithful irreducible  $F$ -representation of a group  $G$  with Brauer character  $\theta \in \text{iBr}_F(G)$  of degree  $n$ . Suppose that  $Z(G) = 1$  and denote*

$$N = N_{\text{GL}_n(F)}(\mathcal{X}(G)) \quad \text{and} \quad Z = C_{\text{GL}_n(F)}(\mathcal{X}(G)).$$

Then  $N/Z \cong I_{\text{Aut}(G)}(\theta)$ .

### 3. ISOMORPHIC EXTENSIONS

Let  $Q$  be a group,  $K$  a commutative ring with 1, and  $M$  a right  $KQ$ -module. The pair  $(\nu, \mu) \in \text{Aut}(Q) \times \text{Aut}_K(M)$  is *compatible* if

$$(mg)\mu = (m\mu)(g\nu)$$

for all  $m \in M, g \in Q$ . The set of all compatible pairs forms a group  $\text{Comp}(Q, M)$  under composition. Given  $\tau \in Z^2(Q, M)$ , one can define

$$\tau^{(\nu, \mu)}(g, h) = \tau(g\nu^{-1}, h\nu^{-1})\mu \tag{3}$$

for all  $g, h \in Q$ . Then the map  $\tau \mapsto \tau^{(\nu, \mu)}$  is an action of  $\text{Comp}(Q, M)$  on  $Z^2(Q, M)$  which preserves  $B^2(Q, M)$  and so yields an action on  $H^2(Q, M)$ .

A  $KQ$ -module extension on  $M$  by  $Q$  is a group  $E$  that fits in the short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow M \xrightarrow{\iota} E \xrightarrow{\pi} Q \rightarrow 1 \tag{4}$$

so that the conjugation of  $M$  (identified with  $M\iota$ ) by elements of  $E$  agrees with the  $KQ$ -module structure of  $M$ , i.e.  $m^e = m(e\pi)$  for all  $m \in M, e \in E$ .

**Proposition 2.** [7, §2.7.4] *Classes of those isomorphisms of  $KQ$ -module extensions of  $M$  by  $Q$  that leave  $M$  invariant as a  $K$ -module are in a one-to-one correspondence with the orbits of  $\text{Comp}(Q, M)$  on  $H^2(Q, M)$ .*

In Proposition 2, an isomorphism leaving  $M$  invariant as a  $K$ -module means one that induces on  $M$  an element of  $\text{Aut}_K(M)$ .

The  $KQ$ -module structure on  $M$  gives rise to the representation homomorphism  $\mathcal{C} : Q \rightarrow \text{Aut}_K(M)$  by the rule  $\mathcal{C}(g) : m \mapsto mg$  for all  $m \in M, g \in Q$ . Let  $C$  be the centraliser of  $\mathcal{C}(Q)$  in  $\text{Aut}_K(M)$ . Then  $(1, \gamma) \in \text{Comp}(Q, M)$  for every  $\gamma \in C$ , because

$$(mg)\gamma = m\mathcal{C}(g)\gamma = m\gamma\mathcal{C}(g) = (m\gamma)g$$

for all  $m \in M, g \in Q$ . Hence, we also have an action of  $C$  on both  $Z^2(Q, M)$  and  $H^2(Q, M)$  by setting  $\tau^\gamma = \tau^{(1, \gamma)}$  for  $\tau \in Z^2(Q, M), \gamma \in C$ , i.e.  $\tau^\gamma(g, h) = \tau(g, h)\gamma$ . By Proposition 2, this yields the following:

**Lemma 11.** *The elements of  $H^2(Q, M)$  that are in the same  $C$ -orbit correspond to isomorphic  $KQ$ -module extensions.*

In particular, we have the following fact, where elements of  $H^2(Q, M)$  are called scalar multiples if they differ by a factor in  $K^\times$ .

**Corollary 12.**  *$KQ$ -module extensions of  $M$  by  $Q$  corresponding to scalar multiples in  $H^2(Q, M)$  are isomorphic.*

4. AUTOMORPHISMS OF EXTENSIONS

Fix an extension

$$e : \quad 0 \rightarrow M \xrightarrow{\iota} E \rightarrow Q \rightarrow 1 \tag{5}$$

with abelian kernel  $M$ . Let  $\mathcal{C} : Q \rightarrow \text{Aut}(M)$  be the induced representation and let  $\bar{\varphi} \in H^2(Q, M)$  be the element that corresponds to  $e$ . We assume that  $\mathcal{C}$  is faithful. In particular,  $Q \cong \mathcal{C}(Q)$  and the conjugation of  $\mathcal{C}(Q)$  by any  $\mu \in N_{\text{Aut}(M)}(\mathcal{C}(Q))$  induces an element  $\mu' \in \text{Aut}(Q)$ , i.e.  $\mathcal{C}(g)^\mu = \mathcal{C}(g\mu')$  for all  $g \in Q$ . One defines an action of  $N_{\text{Aut}(M)}(\mathcal{C}(Q))$  on  $H^2(Q, M)$  given by

$$\bar{\psi} \mapsto (\mu')^{-1}\bar{\psi}\mu \tag{6}$$

for every  $\mu \in N_{\text{Aut}(M)}(\mathcal{C}(Q))$  and  $\bar{\psi} \in H^2(Q, M)$ , which should be understood modulo  $B^2(Q, M)$  for representative cocycles, see [11] for details. We denote by  $N_{\text{Aut}(M)}^{\bar{\varphi}}(\mathcal{C}(Q))$  the stabiliser of  $\bar{\varphi}$  with respect to this action. Let  $\text{Aut}(e)$  denote the group of those automorphisms of  $E$  that leave  $M\iota$  invariant as a set.

**Proposition 3.** [11, Statements (4.4),(4.5)] *Let the extension (5) have abelian kernel  $M$  and let it determine an element  $\bar{\varphi} \in H^2(Q, M)$  and an injective induced representation  $\mathcal{C} : Q \rightarrow \text{Aut}(M)$ . Then there exists a short exact sequence of groups*

$$0 \rightarrow Z^1(Q, M) \rightarrow \text{Aut}(e) \rightarrow N_{\text{Aut}(M)}^{\bar{\varphi}}(\mathcal{C}(Q)) \rightarrow 1. \tag{7}$$

*Remark.* It is easy to see that, in the notation above, there is an embedding  $N_{\text{Aut}(M)}(\mathcal{C}(Q)) \rightarrow \text{Comp}(Q, M)$ ,  $\mu \mapsto (\mu', \mu)$ , where we view  $M$  as a  $\mathbb{Z}Q$ -module, under which action (6) becomes a particular case of (3), and that this embedding is in fact an isomorphism in case  $\mathcal{C}$  is faithful (which we assume).

5. COHOMOLOGY OF  $PSL_2(q)$  IN CHARACTERISTIC DIVIDING  $q - 1$

The aim of this section is to classify up to group isomorphism nonsplit extensions (1), where  $L = PSL_2(q)$ ,  $V$  is an elementary abelian  $p$ -group with irreducible induced action of  $L$ , and  $p \neq 2$  is a divisor of  $q - 1$ .

By Lemma 10,  $V$  must belong to the the principal  $p$ -block of  $L$ . This block contains only one nonprincipal module with Brauer character  $\chi$ , see [2]. The values of characters in the principal block are shown in Table 1.

TABLE 1. Brauer  $p$ -modular characters of  $L = PSL_2(q)$  in the principal block, where  $2 \neq p \mid (q - 1)$ . Notation:  $q = l^m$ ,  $l$  prime,  $d = (2, q - 1)$ ,  $x, y \in L$ ,  $|x| = \frac{1}{d}(q - 1)_{p'}$ ,  $|y| = \frac{1}{d}(q + 1)$ .

$q$ odd	$1a$	$2a$	$la$	$lb$	$(x^r)^L$	$(y^t)^L$	$q$ even	$1a$	$2a$	$(x^r)^L$	$(y^t)^L$
$1_L$	1	1	1	1	1	1	$1_L$	1	1	1	1
$\chi$	$q$	-1	0	0	1	-1	$\chi$	$q$	0	1	-1

We first note that  $V$  is not the principal module. Indeed, extension (1) would otherwise be central, but  $\text{Sch}(L)$  has no  $p$ -torsion, because

$$\text{Sch}(L) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z}_2, & q \neq 9 \text{ odd or } q = 4; \\ \mathbb{Z}_6, & q = 9; \\ 1, & q \neq 4 \text{ even} \end{cases} \tag{8}$$

as follows from [3]. Therefore,  $V$  must be the  $\mathbb{F}_p L$ -module with character  $\chi$ .

We can now prove Theorem 2 stated in the introduction.

*Proof.* Let  $P$  be the permutation  $\mathbb{F}_p L$ -module of dimension  $q + 1$  that corresponds to the natural permutation action of  $L$  on the projective line over  $\mathbb{F}_q$ . We have  $P = I_L \oplus V$ , where  $I_L$  is the principal  $\mathbb{F}_p L$ -module. This can be deduced either by considering the Brauer character  $\chi$  of  $V$  or from [9, Table 1]. In particular, by Lemma 8, we have

$$H^i(L, P) \cong H^i(L, I_L) \oplus H^i(L, V) \tag{9}$$

for  $i = 1, 2$ , since  $H^i(L, B) \cong \text{Ext}_{\mathbb{F}_p L}^i(\mathbb{F}_p, B)$  for every  $\mathbb{F}_p L$ -module  $B$ , see [12, Exercise 6.1.2]. Since  $P$  is a permutation module, we have  $P \cong (I_H)^L$ , where  $I_H$  is the principal  $\mathbb{F}_p H$ -module for a point stabiliser  $H \leq L$ . Hence, Lemma 6 implies

$$H^i(L, P) \cong H^i(H, I_H) \tag{10}$$

for  $i = 1, 2$ . By Lemma 5(i), we have

$$H^1(L, I_L) \cong \text{Hom}(L, I_L) = 0, \tag{11}$$

since  $I_L \cong \mathbb{F}_p$  and  $L = L'$ . Also,

$$H^1(H, I_H) \cong \text{Hom}(H, I_H) \cong \mathbb{F}_p, \tag{12}$$

since  $I_H \cong \mathbb{F}_p$ ,  $H \cong \mathbb{F}_q \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_{(q-1)/(2, q-1)}$ , and  $p \mid (q - 1)$ . By Lemma 4, we have

$$H^2(L, I_L) \cong \text{Hom}(H_2(L, \mathbb{Z}), I_L) \oplus \text{Ext}(H_1(L, \mathbb{Z}), I_L),$$

where the first summand vanishes, since  $H_2(L, \mathbb{Z}) \cong \text{Sch}(L)$  has no  $p$ -torsion by (8), and the second summand vanishes by Lemma 5(ii), since  $L/L' = 1$ . Thus

$$H^2(L, I_L) = 0. \tag{13}$$

Finally, Lemma 4 also yields

$$H^2(H, I_H) \cong \text{Hom}(H_2(H, \mathbb{Z}), I_H) \oplus \text{Ext}(H_1(H, \mathbb{Z}), I_H). \tag{14}$$

By Lemma 9, the  $p$ -part of  $H_2(H, \mathbb{Z}) \cong \text{Sch}(H)$  is isomorphic to a subgroup of  $\text{Sch}(S)$  for a  $p$ -Sylow subgroup  $S$  of  $H$ . However,  $S$  is cyclic and cyclic groups have trivial Schur multiplier. Thus, the first summand in (14) vanishes, because  $I_H \cong \mathbb{F}_p$ . Since  $H_1(H, \mathbb{Z}) \cong H/H' \cong \mathbb{Z}_{(q-1)/d}$  and  $\text{Ext}(\mathbb{Z}_{(q-1)/d}, \mathbb{F}_p) \cong \mathbb{F}_p$  by Lemma 7, we have

$$H^2(H, I_H) \cong \mathbb{F}_p. \tag{15}$$

The claim follows by combining (9) through (15). □

We can now prove Theorem 1 stated in the introduction.

*Proof.* As we explained in the beginning of this section,  $V$  viewed as an  $\mathbb{F}_p L$ -module must be the unique nonprincipal module in the principal  $p$ -block of  $L$ . This module has dimension  $q$  and can be written over  $\mathbb{F}_p$ , since it is a direct summand of a permutation module. Therefore,  $|V| = p^q$ . By Theorem 2, we have  $H^2(V, L) \cong \mathbb{F}_p$  and so all nonzero elements of  $H^2(V, L)$  are scalar multiples of one another. By Corollary 12, they correspond to isomorphic nonsplit extensions. The claim follows. □

6. THE AUTOMORPHISM GROUP

In this section, we prove that the structure of the automorphism group of the unique nonsplit extension from Theorem 1 is as stated in Theorem 3.

*Proof.* Consider the extension  $e$  given by (1). Theorem 1 implies that  $G$  is unique up to isomorphism and  $V$  has order  $p^q$ . Moreover, viewed as an  $\mathbb{F}_p L$ -module,  $V$  has Brauer character  $\chi$  from Table 1. By Proposition 3, we have the short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow Z^1(L, V) \rightarrow \text{Aut}(e) \rightarrow N_{\text{Aut}(V)}^{\bar{\varphi}}(\mathcal{X}(L)) \rightarrow 1, \tag{16}$$

where the representation  $\mathcal{X} : L \rightarrow \text{Aut}(V)$  and the element  $\bar{\varphi} \in H^2(L, V)$  are determined by (1). First, note that  $\text{Aut}(e) = \text{Aut}(G)$  as  $V$  is characteristic in  $G$ . Denote  $W = Z^1(L, V)$ . Since  $B^1(L, V) \cong V/C_V(L)$  and  $L$  acts on  $V$  irreducibly and nontrivially, we have  $C_V(L) = 0$  and  $B^1(L, V) \cong V$ . Now, since  $H^1(L, V) = Z^1(L, V)/B^1(L, V)$ , we have  $|Z^1(L, V)| = p^{q+1}$  in view of Theorem 2.

Denote  $N = N_{\text{GL}(V)}(\mathcal{X}(L))$  and  $Z = C_{\text{GL}(V)}(\mathcal{X}(L))$ . By Proposition 1, we have  $N/Z \cong I_{\text{Aut}(L)}(\chi)$ . Since  $\chi$  is the only irreducible character of  $L$  of dimension  $q$ , it must be invariant under any automorphism; in particular,  $I_{\text{Aut}(L)}(\chi) = \text{Aut}(L)$ . By [3],  $\text{Aut}(L) \cong \text{PTL}_2(q)$ . Since  $V$  is absolutely irreducible as an  $\mathbb{F}_p L$ -module, by Schur’s lemma, we see that  $Z \cong \mathbb{F}_p^\times \cong \mathbb{Z}_{p-1}$  consists of scalars.

In order to determine the structure of the stabiliser  $N_0 = N_{\text{Aut}(V)}^{\bar{\varphi}}(\mathcal{X}(L))$ , we consider the action of  $N$  on  $H^2(L, V)$  as explained in Section 4. Let  $H^\times$  denote the set of  $p - 1$  nonzero elements of  $H^2(L, V)$ . The elements of  $H^\times$  correspond to nonsplit extensions and so we have an action homomorphism  $\alpha : N \rightarrow \text{Sym}_{H^\times}$ . Since all nonsplit extension of  $V$  by  $L$  are isomorphic by Theorem 1, we may assume that  $\bar{\varphi}$  is an arbitrary element of  $H^\times$ . The subgroup  $Z \leq N$  acts on  $H^\times$  by scalar multiplication, cf. Corollary 12, and so the image  $\alpha(Z)$  is a full cycle of length  $p - 1$ . Since  $Z$  is central in  $N$ ,  $\alpha(N)$  must centralise  $\alpha(Z)$ . However, the full cycle is self-centralising in  $\text{Sym}_{H^\times}$  and so it must be the full image  $\alpha(N)$ . Thus,  $\text{Ker}(\alpha)$  is a normal subgroup of  $N$  of index  $p - 1$  which intersects trivially with  $Z$  and is thus isomorphic to  $N/Z \cong \text{PTL}_2(q)$ . Furthermore,  $\text{Ker}(\alpha)$  coincides with the stabiliser of every element of  $H^\times$  which yields  $N = N_0 \times Z$  and  $N_0 \cong \text{PTL}_2(q)$  as claimed.  $\square$

It also follows from this proof that the representation  $\mathcal{X} : L \rightarrow \text{Aut}(V)$  with character  $\chi$  extends to a representation of  $I_{\text{Aut}(L)}(\chi) \cong \text{PTL}_2(q)$ . This fact does not hold in general for a simple group  $L$  and its irreducible character  $\chi$ , see [10, Example 1].

REFERENCES

[1] V. P. Burichenko, *Extensions of abelian 2-groups by  $L_2(q)$  with irreducible action*, Algebra Logic **39** (2000), no. 3, 160–183.  
 [2] R. Burkhardt, *Die Zerlegungsmatrizen der Gruppen  $PSL(2, p^f)$* , J. Algebra **40** (1976), no. 1, 75–96 (German).  
 [3] J. H. Conway, R. T. Curtis, S. P. Norton, R. A. Parker, and R. A. Wilson, *Atlas of finite groups*, Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1985.  
 [4] S. Deo, *Algebraic topology. a primer*, Texts and Readings in Mathematics, vol. 27, Hindustan Book Agency; Springer, New Delhi–Singapore, 2018.  
 [5] S. M. Gagola, *A splitting condition using block theory*, Michigan Math. J. **23** (1976), no. 3, 203–206.

- [6] K. W. Gruenberg, *Cohomological topics in group theory*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 143, Springer-Verlag, Berlin–New York, 1970.
- [7] D. F. Holt, B. Eick, and E. A. O’Brien, *Handbook of computational group theory*, Discrete Mathematics and its Applications, Chapman & Hall/CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL, 2005.
- [8] B. Huppert, *Endliche Gruppen. I*, Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften, vol. 134, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1967.
- [9] B. Mortimer, *The Modular Permutation Representations of the Known Doubly Transitive Groups*, Proceedings of the London Mathematical Society **s3-41** (1980), no. 1, 1–20.
- [10] D. O. Revin and A. V. Zavarnitsine, *Automorphisms of nonsplit extensions of 2-groups by  $PSL_2(q)$* , J. Group Theory **24** (2021), no. 6, 1245–1261.
- [11] D. J. S. Robinson, *Applications of cohomology to the theory of groups*, Proceedings of the Conference “Groups St Andrews 1981” in London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series, vol. 71 (1982), 46–80.
- [12] C. A. Weibel, *An introduction to homological algebra*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, vol. 38, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1994.

ANDREI V. ZAVARNITSINE  
SOBOLEV INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS,  
4, KOPTYUG AV.  
630090, NOVOSIBIRSK, RUSSIA  
*E-mail address:* zav@math.nsc.ru