

# ON A CERTAIN SUBCLASS OF ANALYTIC FUNCTIONS DEFINED BY KOMATU INTEGRAL OPERATOR

B. Venkateswarlu<sup>1</sup>, P. Thirupathi Reddy<sup>2</sup>, R. Madhuri Shilpa<sup>3</sup> and B. Madhavi<sup>4</sup>

<sup>1,3</sup> Department of Mathematics, GSS, GITAM University,  
Doddaballapur- 561 203, Bengaluru Rural, Karnataka, India.  
<sup>1</sup> *bulmaths@gmail.com*                      <sup>3</sup> *madhuri.4073@gmail.com*

<sup>2</sup> Department of Mathematics, Kakatiya University,  
Warangal- 506 009, Telangana, India.  
*reddypt2@gmail.com*

<sup>4</sup> Department of Mathematics, Pingle Govt. Degree College for Women  
Waddepally, Hanamkonda, Telangana, India.  
*shivsairaj1@gmail.com*

**Abstract:** The main purpose of this paper, is to introduce a new subclass of analytic functions involving Komatu integral operator and obtained coefficient inequalities, distortion theorem, convex linear combination and partial sums for this class .

**Keywords and phrases:** analytic function ; coefficient estimates; distortion; partial sums.

**AMS Subject Classification:** 30C45.

## 1 Introduction

Let  $A$  denote the class of analytic functions  $f$  defined on the unit disk  $E = \{z : |z| < 1\}$  with normalization  $f(0) = 0$  and  $f'(0) = 1$ , such a function has the Taylor series expansion about the origin in the form

$$f(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k z^k. \quad (1.1)$$

Denoted by  $S$ , the subclass of  $A$  consisting of functions that are univalent in  $E$ . Then a function  $f(z)$  belonging to  $A$  is said to be starlike of order  $\vartheta$  if it satisfies

$$Re \left\{ \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \right\} > \vartheta, \quad z \in E, \quad (1.2)$$

for some  $\vartheta(0 \leq \vartheta < 1)$ . We denote by  $S^*(\vartheta)$  the subclass of  $A$  consisting of function are starlike of order  $\vartheta$  in  $E$ . Also, a function  $f(z)$  belonging to  $A$  is said to be convex of order  $\vartheta$  if it satisfies

$$Re \left\{ 1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} \right\} > \vartheta, \quad z \in E, \quad (1.3)$$

for some  $\vartheta(0 \leq \vartheta < 1)$ . We denote by  $K(\vartheta)$  the subclass of  $A$  consisting of function are convex of order  $\vartheta$  in  $E$ . Note that  $S^*(0) = S^*$  and  $K(0) = K$  are the usual classes of starlike and convex functions in  $E$  respectively.

Also, Denote by  $T$  the subclass of  $A$  consisting of functions of the the form

$$f(z) = z - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k z^k, \quad a_k \geq 0, \quad (z \in E) \quad (1.4)$$

and let  $T^*(\vartheta) = T \cap S^*(\vartheta)$ ,  $C(\vartheta) = T \cap K(\vartheta)$ . The classes  $T^*(\vartheta)$  and  $C(\vartheta)$  posses some interesting properties and have been extensively studied by Silverman [14] and others.

Recently, Komatu [5] introduced a certain integral operator  $\mathcal{J}_c^\delta$  denoted by

$$\mathcal{J}_c^\delta f(z) = \frac{c^\delta}{\Gamma(\delta)} \int_0^1 t^{c-2} \left( \log \frac{1}{t} \right)^{\delta-1} f(zt) dt, \quad (1.5)$$

where  $c > 0, \delta \geq 0$  and  $z \in E$ .

Thus,  $f \in A$  is of the form (1.1), then it is easily seen from (1.5) that (see [5])

$$\mathcal{J}_c^\delta f(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \psi_k(c, \delta) a_k z^k, \quad (1.6)$$

where  $\psi_k(c, \delta) = \left( \frac{c}{c+k-1} \right)^\delta$ .

From (1.5), it is clear that

$$z \left( \mathcal{J}_c^\delta f(z) \right)' = (c+1) \mathcal{J}_c^{\delta-1} f(z) - c \mathcal{J}_c^\delta f(z)$$

and

$$z^2 \left( \mathcal{J}_c^\delta f(z) \right)'' = (c+1)^2 \mathcal{J}_c^{\delta-2} f(z) - (2c+1)(c+1) \mathcal{J}_c^{\delta-1} f(z) + c(c+1) \mathcal{J}_c^\delta f(z).$$

We note that

- (i). for  $c = 1$  and  $\delta = \gamma$  ( $\gamma$  is an integer), the multiplier transformation  $\mathcal{J}_1^\gamma f(z) = I^\gamma f(z)$  was studied by Flett [2]
- (ii). for  $c = 1$  and  $\delta = -\gamma$  ( $\gamma \in N$ ), the differential operator  $\mathcal{J}_1^{-\gamma} f(z) = D^\gamma f(z)$  was studied by Salagean [12]

- (iii). for  $c = 2$  and  $\delta = \gamma$  ( $\gamma$  is an integer), the operator  $\mathcal{I}_2^\delta f(z) = \mathcal{I}^\gamma f(z)$  was studied by Uralegaddi and Somanatha [16]
- (iv). for  $c = 2$ , the multiplier transformation  $\mathcal{I}_2^\delta f(z) = I^\delta f(z)$  was studied by Jung et al. [4].

Motivated by the works of see ([1, 6, 7, 9, 10]), we introduce a new subclass  $\phi_c^\delta(\lambda, \vartheta)$  of  $A$  involving Komatu integral operator [5] as below:

**Definition 1.1.** For  $0 \leq \lambda < 1, 0 \leq \vartheta < 1, c > 0, \delta > 0$ , we say  $f(z) \in A$  is in the class  $\phi_c^\delta(\lambda, \vartheta)$  if it satisfies the condition

$$\Re \left( \frac{z (\mathcal{I}_c^\delta f(z))' + \lambda z^2 (\mathcal{I}_c^\delta f(z))''}{\mathcal{I}_c^\delta f(z)} \right) > \vartheta, \quad (z \in U). \quad (1.7)$$

Also we denote by  $T\phi_c^\delta(\lambda, \vartheta) = \phi_c^\delta(\lambda, \vartheta) \cap T$ .

## 2 Coefficient Inequalities

In this section we obtain a sufficient condition for a function  $f$  given by (1.1) to be in  $\phi_c^\delta(\lambda, \vartheta)$ .

**Theorem 2.1.** A function  $f \in A$  belongs to the class  $\phi_c^\delta(\lambda, \vartheta)$  if

$$\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} [k + \lambda k(k-1) - \vartheta] \psi_k(c, \delta) |a_k| \leq 1 - \vartheta. \quad (2.1)$$

*Proof.* Since  $0 \leq \vartheta < 1$  and  $\lambda \geq 0$ , now if we put

$$\varrho(z) = \frac{z (\mathcal{I}_c^\delta f(z))' + \lambda z^2 (\mathcal{I}_c^\delta f(z))''}{\mathcal{I}_c^\delta f(z)}, \quad (z \in U)$$

then it is sufficient to prove that  $|\varrho(z) - 1| < 1 - \vartheta, (z \in U)$ .

Indeed if  $f(z) = z (z \in U)$ , then we have  $\varrho(z) = z (z \in U)$ .

This implies that the desired in equality (2.1) holds.

If  $f(z) \neq z (|z| = r < 1)$ , then there exist a coefficient  $\psi_k(c, \delta) a_k \neq 0$  for some  $k \geq 2$ . It follows that  $\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \psi_k(c, \delta) |a_k| > 0$ . Further note that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} [k + \lambda k(k-1) - \vartheta] \psi_k(c, \delta) |a_k| &> (1 - \vartheta) \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \psi_k(c, \delta) |a_k| \\ \Rightarrow \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \psi_k(c, \delta) |a_k| &< 1. \end{aligned}$$

By (2.1), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
|\varrho(z) - 1| &= \left| \frac{\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} [k + \lambda k(k-1) - 1] \psi_k(c, \delta) a_k z^{k-1}}{1 + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \psi_k(c, \delta) a_k z^{k-1}} \right| \\
&< \frac{\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} [k + \lambda k(k-1) - 1] \psi_k(c, \delta) |a_k|}{1 - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \psi_k(c, \delta) |a_k|} \\
&\leq \frac{\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} [k + \lambda k(k-1) - \vartheta] \psi_k(c, \delta) |a_k| - (1 - \vartheta) \psi_k(c, \delta) |a_k|}{1 - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \psi_k(c, \delta) |a_k|} \\
&\leq \frac{(1 - \vartheta) - (1 - \vartheta) \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \psi_k(c, \delta) |a_k|}{1 - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \psi_k(c, \delta) |a_k|} \\
&= 1 - \vartheta, \quad (z \in U).
\end{aligned}$$

Hence we obtain

$$\Re \left( \frac{z (\mathcal{I}_c^\delta f(z))' + \lambda z^2 (\mathcal{I}_c^\delta f(z))''}{\mathcal{I}_c^\delta f(z)} \right) = \Re(\varrho(z)) > 1 - (1 - \vartheta) = \vartheta, \quad (z \in U).$$

Then  $f \in \phi_c^\delta(\lambda, \vartheta)$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

In the next theorem, we prove that the condition (2.1) is also necessary for a function  $f \in T\phi_c^\delta(\lambda, \vartheta)$ .

**Theorem 2.2.** *Let  $f$  be given by (1.4). Then the function  $f \in T\phi_c^\delta(\lambda, \vartheta)$  if and only if*

$$\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} [k + \lambda k(k-1) - \vartheta] \psi_k(c, \delta) |a_k| \leq 1 - \vartheta. \quad (2.2)$$

*Proof.* In view of Theorem 2.1, we need only to prove that  $f \in T\phi_c^\delta(\lambda, \vartheta)$  satisfies the coefficient inequality (2.1). If  $f \in T\phi_c^\delta(\lambda, \vartheta)$  then the function

$$\varrho(z) = \frac{z (\mathcal{I}_c^\delta f(z))' + \lambda z^2 (\mathcal{I}_c^\delta f(z))''}{\mathcal{I}_c^\delta f(z)}, \quad (z \in U)$$

satisfies  $\operatorname{Re}(\varrho(z)) > \vartheta$  ( $z \in U$ ). This implies that

$$\mathcal{I}_c^\delta f(z) = z - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \psi_k(c, \delta) |a_k| z^k \neq 0; \quad (z \in U \setminus \{0\}).$$

Noting that  $\frac{\mathcal{I}_c^\delta f(r)}{r}$  is the real continuous function in the open interval  $(0, 1)$  with  $f(0) = 1$ , we have

$$\frac{\mathcal{I}_c^\delta f(r)}{r} = 1 - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \psi_k(c, \delta) |a_k| r^{k-1} > 0, \quad (0 < r < 1). \quad (2.3)$$

Now  $\vartheta < \varrho(r) = \frac{1 - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} [k + \lambda k(k-1)] \psi_k(c, \delta) |a_k| r^{k-1}}{1 - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \psi_k(c, \delta) |a_k| r^{k-1}}$  and consequently by (2.3),

we get  $\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} [k + \lambda k(k-1) - \vartheta] \psi_k(c, \delta) |a_k| r^{k-1} \leq 1 - \vartheta$ .

Letting  $r \rightarrow 1$ , we get  $\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} [k + \lambda k(k-1) - \vartheta] \psi_k(c, \delta) |a_k| \leq 1 - \vartheta$ .

This proves the converse part.  $\square$

**Remark 2.3.** If a function  $f$  of the form (1.2) belongs to the class  $T\phi_c^\delta(\lambda, \vartheta)$  then

$$|a_k| \leq \frac{1 - \vartheta}{[k + \lambda k(k-1) - \vartheta] \psi_k(c, \delta)}, \quad k \geq 2.$$

The equality holds for the functions

$$f_k(z) = z - \frac{1 - \vartheta}{[k + \lambda k(k-1) - \vartheta] \psi_k(c, \delta)} z^n, \quad (z \in U, k \geq 2). \quad (2.4)$$

### 3 Distortion Theorem

In the section distortion bounds for functions belonging to the class  $T\phi_c^\delta(\lambda, \vartheta)$ .

**Theorem 3.1.** *Let  $f$  be in the class  $T\phi_c^\delta(\lambda, \vartheta)$  and  $|z| = r < 1$ . Then*

$$r - \frac{1 - \vartheta}{[2\lambda - \vartheta + 2] \psi_k(c, \delta)} r^2 \leq |f(z)| \leq r + \frac{1 - \vartheta}{[2\lambda - \vartheta + 2] \psi_k(c, \delta)} r^2 \quad (3.1)$$

and

$$1 - \frac{2(1 - \vartheta)}{[2\lambda - \vartheta + 2] \psi_k(c, \delta)} r \leq |f'(z)| \leq 1 + \frac{2(1 - \vartheta)}{[2\lambda - \vartheta + 2] \psi_k(c, \delta)} r. \quad (3.2)$$

The result is sharp with the extremal function  $f_2(z)$  is given by (2.4).

*Proof.* Since  $f \in T\phi_c^\delta(\lambda, \vartheta)$ , we apply Theorem 2.2 to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} [2\lambda - \vartheta + 2] \psi_k(c, \delta) \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} |a_k| &\leq \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} [k + \lambda k(k-1) - \vartheta] \psi_k(c, \delta) |a_k| \\ &\leq 1 - \vartheta. \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Thus } |f(z)| \leq |z| + |z|^2 \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} |a_k| \leq r + \frac{1 - \vartheta}{[2\lambda - \vartheta + 2] \psi_k(c, \delta)} r^2.$$

$$\text{Also we have, } |f(z)| \leq |z| - |z|^2 \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} |a_k| \leq r - \frac{1 - \vartheta}{[2\lambda - \vartheta + 2] \psi_k(c, \delta)} r^2,$$

and (3.1) follows. In similar manner for  $f'$ , the inequalities

$$|f'(z)| \leq 1 + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k|a_k||z|^{k-1} \leq 1 + |z| \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k|a_k|$$

and

$$\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k|a_k| \leq \frac{2(1-\vartheta)}{[2\lambda - \vartheta + 2]\psi_k(c, \delta)}$$

are satisfied, which leads to (3.2). This completes the proof.  $\square$

## 4 Radii of close-to-convexity and starlikeness

In this section the radii of close-to-convex and starlikeness of this class  $T\phi_c^\delta(\lambda, \vartheta)$  will be obtained.

**Theorem 4.1.** *Let  $f$  be given by (1.4) is in  $T\phi_c^\delta(\lambda, \vartheta)$ . Then  $f$  is close-to-convex of order  $\delta$  ( $0 \leq \delta < 1$ ) in the disc  $|z| < t_1$ , where*

$$t_1 = \inf_{k \geq 2} \left[ \frac{(1-\delta)[k + k\lambda(k-1) - \vartheta]\psi_k(c, \delta)}{k(1-\vartheta)} \right]^{\frac{1}{k-1}} \quad k \geq 2. \quad (4.1)$$

The result is sharp with the extremal function  $f(z)$  is given by (2.4).

*Proof.* If  $f \in T$  and  $f$  is close-to-convex of order  $\delta$  then we get

$$|f'(z) - 1| \leq 1 - \delta. \quad (4.2)$$

For the left and side of (4.2), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |f'(z) - 1| &\leq \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} ka_k|z|^{k-1} < 1 - \delta \\ \Rightarrow \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{k}{1-\delta} a_k|z|^{k-1} &\leq 1. \end{aligned}$$

We know that  $f(z) \in T\phi_c^\delta(\lambda, \vartheta)$  if and only if

$$\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{[k + k\lambda(k-1) - \vartheta]\psi_k(c, \delta)}{(1-\vartheta)} a_k \leq 1.$$

Thus (4.2) holds true if

$$\frac{k}{1-\delta}|z|^{k-1} \leq \frac{[k + k\lambda(k-1) - \vartheta]\psi_k(c, \delta)}{(1-\vartheta)}$$

or equivalently

$$|z| \leq \left[ \frac{(1-\delta)[k + k\lambda(k-1) - \vartheta]\psi_k(c, \delta)}{k(1-\vartheta)} \right]^{\frac{1}{k-1}}$$

and hence the proof is complete.  $\square$

**Theorem 4.2.** Let  $f \in T\phi_c^\delta(\lambda, \vartheta)$ . Then  $f$  is starlike of order  $\delta$ , ( $0 \leq \delta < 1$ ) in the disc  $|z| < t_2$ , where

$$t_2 = \inf_{k \geq 2} \left[ \frac{(1-\delta)[k + k\lambda(k-1) - \vartheta]\psi_k(c, \delta)}{(k-\delta)(1-\vartheta)} \right]^{\frac{1}{k-1}} \quad k \geq 2. \quad (4.3)$$

The result is sharp with the extremal function  $f(z)$  is given by (2.4).

*Proof.* We have  $f \in T$  and  $f$  is starlike of order  $\delta$ , we have

$$\left| \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} - 1 \right| < 1 - \delta. \quad (4.4)$$

For the left hand side of (4.4), we have

$$\left| \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} - 1 \right| \leq \frac{\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} (k-1)a_k|z|^{k-1}}{1 - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k|z|^{k-1}}$$

$(1 - \delta)$  is bigger than the right hand side of the left relation if

$$\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{k-\delta}{1-\delta} a_k |z|^{k-1} < 1.$$

We know that  $f \in T\phi_c^\delta(\lambda, \vartheta)$  if and only if

$$\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{[k + k\lambda(k-1) - \vartheta]\psi_k(c, \delta)}{(1-\vartheta)} a_k \leq 1.$$

Thus (4.4) is true if

$$\frac{k-\delta}{1-\delta} |z|^{k-1} \leq \frac{[k + k\lambda(k-1) - \vartheta]\psi_k(c, \delta)}{(1-\vartheta)}$$

or equivalently

$$|z| \leq \left[ \frac{(1-\delta)[k + k\lambda(k-1) - \vartheta]\psi_k(c, \delta)}{(k-\delta)(1-\vartheta)} \right]^{\frac{1}{k-1}}.$$

It yield starlikeness of the family. □

## 5 Convex Linear combinations

**Theorem 5.1.** Let  $f_1(z) = z$  and

$$f_k(z) = z - \frac{1-\vartheta}{[k + \lambda k(k-1) - \vartheta]\psi_k(c, \delta)} z^k, \quad (z \in U, k \geq 2). \quad (5.1)$$

Then  $f \in T\phi_c^\delta(\lambda, \vartheta)$  if and only if  $f$  can be expressed in the form

$$f(z) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mu_k f_k(z), \mu_k \geq 0 \quad (5.2)$$

and  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mu_k = 1$ .

*Proof.* If a function  $f$  is of the form  $f(z) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mu_k f_k(z)$ ,  $\mu_k \geq 0$  and  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mu_k = 1$  then

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} [k + \lambda k(k-1) - \vartheta] \psi_k(c, \delta) |a_k| \\ &= \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} [k + \lambda k(k-1) - \vartheta] \psi_k(c, \delta) \frac{(1-\vartheta)\mu_k}{[k + \lambda k(k-1) - \vartheta] \psi_k(c, \delta)} \\ &= \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} (1-\vartheta)\mu_k = (1-\mu_1)(1-\vartheta) \\ &\leq (1-\vartheta) \end{aligned}$$

which provides (2.2), hence  $f \in T\phi_c^\delta(\lambda, \vartheta)$ , by Theorem 2.2.

Conversely, if  $f$  is in the class  $f \in T\phi_c^\delta(\lambda, \vartheta)$ , then we may set

$$\mu_k = \frac{[k + \lambda k(k-1) - \vartheta] \psi_k(c, \delta) |a_k|}{1-\vartheta}, k \geq 2,$$

and  $\mu_1 = 1 - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \mu_k$ .

Then the function  $f$  is of the form (5.2) and this completes the proof.  $\square$

## 6 Partial Sums of Functions in the Class $\phi_c^\delta(\lambda, \vartheta)$

For a function  $f \in A$  given by (1.1), Silverman [14] investigated the partial sums  $f$  defined by

$$f_1(z) = z \text{ and } f_m(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k z^k, m = 2, 3, 4, \dots \quad (6.1)$$

In [14], Silverman examined sharp lower bounds on the real part of the quotients between the normalized convex or starlike functions and their sequences of partial sums. Also, Srivastava et al. [15] and Owa et al. [8] have investigated an interesting results on the partial sums. In this section, we consider partial sums of functions in the class  $\phi_c^\delta(\lambda, \vartheta)$  and obtain sharp lower bounds for the ratios of real part of  $f$  to  $f_m$  and  $f'$  to  $f'_m$ .

**Theorem 6.1.** Let be a function  $f$  of the form (1.1) belong to the class  $\phi_c^\delta(\lambda, \vartheta)$  and satisfy (2.1). Then

$$\Re\left(\frac{f(z)}{f_m(z)}\right) \geq 1 - \frac{1}{d_{m+1}}, (z \in U, m \in N), \quad (6.2)$$

where

$$d_k = \frac{[k + \lambda k(k-1) - \vartheta]}{1 - \vartheta}. \quad (6.3)$$

*Proof.* Clearly,  $d_{k+1} > d_k > 1, k = 2, 3, 4, \dots$ .

Thus by Theorem 2.1 we get,

$$\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} |a_k| + d_{m+1} \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} |a_k| \leq \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} d_k |a_k| \leq 1. \quad (6.4)$$

$$\text{Setting } g(z) = d_{m+1} \left\{ \frac{f(z)}{f_m(z)} - \left(1 - \frac{1}{d_{m+1}}\right) \right\}$$

$$g(z) = 1 + \frac{d_{m+1} \sum_{k=m+1}^{\infty} a_k z^{k-1}}{1 + \sum_{k=2}^m a_k z^{k-1}} \quad (6.5)$$

it suffices to show  $\text{Re}(g(z)) > 0, z \in U$ . Applying (6.4) we find that

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{g(z) - 1}{g(z) + 1} \right| &\leq \frac{d_{m+1} \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} |a_k|}{2 - 2 \sum_{k=2}^m |a_k| - d_{m+1} \sum_{k=m+1}^{\infty} |a_k|} \\ &\leq 1, \end{aligned}$$

which gives,

$$\Re\left(\frac{f(z)}{f_m(z)}\right) \geq 1 - \frac{1}{d_{m+1}},$$

and the proof is complete.  $\square$

**Theorem 6.2.** Let be a function  $f$  of the form (1.1) belong to the class  $T\phi_c^\delta(\lambda, \vartheta)$  and satisfy (2.1). Then

$$\Re\left(\frac{f_m(z)}{f(z)}\right) \geq \frac{d_{m+1}}{1 + d_{m+1}}, z \in U, m \in N, \quad (6.6)$$

where

$$d_k = \frac{[k + \lambda k(k-1) - \vartheta]}{1 - \vartheta}. \quad (6.7)$$

*Proof.* Clearly,  $d_{k+1} > d_k > 1, k = 2, 3, 4, \dots$ .

Thus by Theorem 2.1 we get,

$$\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} |a_k| + d_{m+1} \sum_{k=m+1}^{\infty} |a_k| \leq \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} d_k |a_k| \leq 1. \quad (6.8)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Setting } h(z) &= (1 + d_{m+1}) \left\{ \frac{f_m(z)}{f(z)} - \left( \frac{d_{m+1}}{1 + d_{m+1}} \right) \right\} \\ h(z) &= 1 - \frac{(1 + d_{m+1}) \sum_{k=m+1}^{\infty} a_k z^{k-1}}{1 + \sum_{k=2}^m a_k z^{k-1}} \end{aligned} \quad (6.9)$$

it suffices to show  $\Re(h(z)) > 0, z \in U$ . Applying (6.8) we find that

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{h(z) - 1}{h(z) + 1} \right| &\leq \frac{(1 + d_{m+1}) \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} |a_k|}{2 - 2 \sum_{k=2}^m |a_k| - (1 + d_{m+1}) \sum_{k=m+1}^{\infty} |a_k|} \\ &\leq 1, \end{aligned}$$

which gives,

$$\Re \left( \frac{f_m(z)}{f(z)} \right) \geq \frac{d_{m+1}}{1 + d_{m+1}},$$

and the proof is complete.  $\square$

**Theorem 6.3.** *Let be a function  $f$  of the form (1.1) belong to the class  $\phi_c^\delta(\lambda, \vartheta)$  and satisfy (2.1). Then*

$$\Re \left( \frac{f'(z)}{f'_m(z)} \right) \geq 1 - \frac{m+1}{d_{m+1}}, z \in U, m \in N, \quad (6.10)$$

and

$$\Re \left( \frac{f'_m(z)}{f'(z)} \right) \geq \frac{d_{m+1}}{m+1 + d_{m+1}}, z \in U, m \in N \quad (6.11)$$

where

$$d_k = \frac{[k + \lambda k(k-1) - \vartheta]}{1 - \vartheta}. \quad (6.12)$$

*Proof.* By Setting

$$g(z) = d_{m+1} \left\{ \frac{f'(z)}{f'_m(z)} - \left( 1 - \frac{m+1}{d_{m+1}} \right) \right\}, z \in U$$

$$\text{and } h(z) = (m+1 + d_{m+1}) \left\{ \frac{f'_m(z)}{f'(z)} - \left( \frac{d_{m+1}}{m+1 + d_{m+1}} \right) \right\}, z \in U,$$

the proof is similar to that of Theorems 6.1 and 6.2, and so we omit the details.  $\square$

## 7 Convolution properties

In this section we will prove that the class  $T\phi_c^\delta(\lambda, \vartheta)$  is closed under convolution.

**Theorem 7.1.** *Let  $g(z)$  of the form*

$$g(z) = z - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} b_k z^k$$

*be analytic in  $U$ . If  $f \in T\phi_c^\delta(\lambda, \vartheta)$  then the function  $f * g$  is in the class  $T\phi_c^\delta(\lambda, \vartheta)$ . Here the symbol  $*$  denoted to the Hadmard product ( or convolution ).*

*Proof.* Since  $f \in T\phi_c^\delta(\lambda, \vartheta)$ , we have

$$\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} [k + \lambda k(k-1) - \vartheta] \psi_k(c, \delta) |a_k| \leq 1 - \vartheta.$$

By utilizing the last inequality and the fact that

$$f(z) * g(z) = z - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k b_k z^k.$$

We obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} [k + \lambda k(k-1) - \vartheta] \psi_k(c, \delta) |a_k| |b_k| \\ & \leq \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} [k + \lambda k(k-1) - \vartheta] \psi_k(c, \delta) |a_k| \\ & \leq 1 - \vartheta \end{aligned}$$

and hence, in view of Theorem 2.1, the result follows.  $\square$

## 8 Neighbourhood for the class $T\phi_c^\delta(\lambda, \vartheta)$

Following [3, 11], we defined the  $\alpha$ -neighbourhood of the function  $f(z) \in T$  by

$$N_\alpha(f) = \left\{ g \in T : g(z) = z - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} b_k z^k \text{ and } \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k |a_k - b_k| \leq \alpha \right\}. \quad (8.1)$$

**Definition 8.1.** A function  $f \in A$  is said to in the class  $T\phi_c^\delta(\lambda, \vartheta)$  if there exists a function  $h \in T\phi_c^\delta(\lambda, \vartheta)$  such that

$$\left| \frac{f(z)}{h(z)} - 1 \right| < 1 - \gamma, \quad (z \in U, 0 \leq \gamma < 1). \quad (8.2)$$

**Theorem 8.2.** *If  $h \in T\phi_c^\delta(\lambda, \vartheta)$  and*

$$\gamma = 1 - \frac{\alpha(2\lambda - \vartheta + 2)\psi_2(c, \lambda)}{2(2\lambda - \vartheta + 2)\psi_2(c, \lambda) - (1 + \vartheta)}$$

*then  $N_\alpha(h) \subseteq T\phi_c^{\delta, \gamma}(\lambda, \vartheta)$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $f \in N_\alpha(h)$ . We then find from that

$$\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k|a_k - b_k| \leq \alpha,$$

which easily implies the coefficient inequality

$$\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} |a_k - b_k| \leq \frac{\alpha}{k}, \quad (n \in N).$$

Since  $h \in T\phi_c^\delta(\lambda, \vartheta)$ , we have from equation (2.1) that

$$\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} |a_k| \leq \frac{1 - \vartheta}{(2\lambda - \vartheta + 2)\psi_2(c, \lambda)}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{f(z)}{h(z)} - 1 \right| &< \frac{\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k|a_k - b_k|}{1 - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} b_k} \\ &\leq \frac{\alpha}{2} \frac{(2\lambda - \vartheta + 2)\psi_2(c, \lambda)}{(2\lambda - \vartheta + 2)\psi_2(c, \lambda) - (1 + \vartheta)} \\ &= 1 - \gamma. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of the theorem. □

## References

- [1] Dziok, J.; Murugusundaramoorthy, G. A generalized class of starlike functions associated with the wright hypergeometric function. *Math. Vesnik.* 2010, 62, 271-283.
- [2] Flett, T.M. The dual of an inequality of Hardy and Littlewood and some related inequalities. *J. of Math. Ana. and Appl.* 1972, 38, 746-765.
- [3] Goodman, A. W., Univalent functions and nonanalytic curves, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 1957, 8, 598-601.

- [4] Jung, I.B.; Kim, Y.C; Srivastava, H.M. The Hard space of analytic functions associated with certain one-parameter families of integral operators. *J. of Math. Ana. and Appl.* 1993, 176 (1), 138-147.
- [5] komatu, Y. On analytic prolongation of a family of operations. *Mathematica (Cluj)*. 1990, 32 (55)(2), 141-145.
- [6] Murugusundaramoorthy, G.; Janani, T. Inclusion results associated with certain subclass of analytic functions involving calculus operator. *TWMS J. Pure Appl. Math.* 2016, 7, 63-75.
- [7] Obradovic, M.; Joshi, S.B. On Certain classes of strongly starlike functions. *Taiwanese J. Math.* 1998, 2, 297-302.
- [8] Owa, S.; Srivastava, H.M.; Saito, N. Partial sums of certain classes of analytic functions. *Int. J. Comput. Math.* 2004, 81, 1239-1256.
- [9] Padmanabhan, K.S. On sufficient conditions for starlikeness. *Indian J. Pure Appl. Math.* 2001, 32, 543-550.
- [10] Ramesha, C.; Kumar, S.; Padmanabhan, K.S.; A sufficient condition for starlikeness. *Chin. J. Math.* 1995, 23, 167-171.
- [11] Ruscheweyh, S., Neighborhoods of univalent functions, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 1981, 81 (4), 521-527 .
- [12] Salagean, G. S. Subclasses of univalent functions. In complex analysis -fifth Romanian-Finnish seminar, part-1 (Bucharest, 1981) Vol. 1013 of *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*, 362-372, Springer, Berlin, Germany, 1983.
- [13] Silverman, H. Partial sums of starlike and convex functions. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 1997, 209, 221-227.
- [14] Silverman, H. Univalent functions with negative coefficients. *Proc. Am. Math. Soc.* 1975, 51, 109-116.
- [15] Srivastava, H.M.; Gaboury, S.; Ghanim, F. Partial sums of certain classes of meromorphic functions related to the Hurwitz-Lerch zeta function. *Moroccan J. Pure Appl. Anal.* 2015, 1, 38-50.
- [16] Uralegaddi, B.A.; Somantha, C. Certain classes of univalent functions, In *Current topics in analysis function theory*, 371-374, World Scientific, River Edge, NJ, USA, 1992.