

AN AUXILIARY RESULT FOR THE COMPLEX  
MONGE – AMPÈRA EQUATION ON POSITIVE  
CURRENTS OF HIGHER BIDEGREE

A.A. NIKITIN AND T.N. NIKITINA 

*Communicated by P.P. PETROV*

**Abstract:** We study the induced Monge – Ampère equation on a positive current of bidegree  $(l, l)$  in a complex manifold. An auxiliary result is obtained which is crucial in the proof of the a priori inequality for Monge – Ampère operator.

**Keywords:** Monge – Ampère equation, positive current, differentiation form, complex manifold, primitive form, definite quadratic forms, differentiation operators on current, existence theorems for Monge – Ampère operator on closed current, currents of higher bidegree.

## 1 Introduction

Let  $M$  be a complex manifold and  $T$  a positive current in  $M$ . If  $u$  and  $f$  are smooth differential forms on  $M$  we say that

$$(\bar{\partial}\partial u)^k = f \text{ on } T \text{ if } (\bar{\partial}\partial u)^k \wedge T = f \wedge T.$$

---

NIKITIN, A.A., AN AUXILIARY RESULT FOR THE COMPLEX MONGE – AMPÈRA EQUATION ON POSITIVE CURRENTS OF HIGHER BIDEGREE.

© 2023 NIKITIN A.A..

The work is supported by RFFI (grant 03-01-11111).

Received January, 1, 2023, Published December, 31, 2023.

NIKITINA, T.N., AN AUXILIARY RESULT FOR THE COMPLEX MONGE – AMPÈRA EQUATION ON POSITIVE CURRENTS OF HIGHER BIDEGREE.

© 2023 NIKITINA T.N..

The work is supported by RFFI (grant 03-01-11111).

Received January, 1, 2023, Published December, 31, 2023.

Initially the Monge — Ampère operator is thus only defined on smooth forms but it can later be extended (in different ways) to forms that are in a sense defined only on  $T$ .

The question we study in this paper is whether the Monge — Ampère equation can be solved on  $T$ , and, if so, what kinds of estimates one can find for the solution.

Solvability of Monge — Ampère equations in the case  $k = 1$  is classical (see [1], [2], [3], [4], [7], [8]).

Similarly we may also consider smooth  $(l, l)$  currents that are strictly positive in a subdomain  $D$  of  $M$  and vanish outside of  $D$ , which means that we study our equation in  $D$ .

The article is organized in the following way. In 2 we discuss the linear algebra of forms on a current, in particular a notion of primitive forms (in the sense of Lefschetz) that is used in the a priori inequality.

Let  $V$  be a complex vector space of dimension  $n$ . A  $(q, q)$  form  $v$  is strongly positive [5] if it belongs to the cone generated by forms

$$i\alpha_1 \wedge \bar{\alpha}_1 \wedge \dots \wedge i\alpha_q \wedge \bar{\alpha}_q$$

where  $\alpha_j \in \Lambda^{1,0}(V^*)$ .

A form  $u \in \Lambda^{p,p}(V^*)$  is positive [5] iff  $u \wedge v$  is positive for every strongly positive form  $v$  bidegree  $(q, q)$ , with  $q + p = n$ . On a complex manifold  $M$  a differential form  $u \in C_{p,p}^\infty(M)$  is strongly positive (resp. positive) if for every  $z \in M$ ,  $u(z)$  is strongly positive (resp. positive) as an element of  $\Lambda^{p,p}(T^*M)$ .

The space  $\mathcal{D}'_{(r,s)}(M)$  of currents of bidimension  $(r, s)$  on  $M$  is by definition the dual of the space  $\mathcal{D}_{(r,s)}(M)$  of testforms on  $M$  of bidegree  $(r, s)$ , with respect to the usual inductive limit topology on the space of testforms [5].

A current  $T$  of bidimension  $(p, p)$  is positive (strongly positive) [5] if  $\langle T, u \rangle \geq 0$  for all test forms  $u \in \mathcal{D}_{(p,p)}(M)$  that are strongly positive (positive).

Let  $c_q = (-1)^{q(q+1)/2} i^q$ . In local coordinates a current  $T$  of bidegree  $(q, q)$  can be expressed as

$$T = c_q \sum_{|I|=|J|=q} T_{I,J} d\bar{z}_J \wedge dz_I.$$

The positivity of  $T$  then implies that the distributions  $T_{I,J}$  are measures and that  $\overline{T_{I,J}} = T_{J,I}$ . We will therefore further write the action  $T$  on a form  $u$  on integral form

$$\int u \wedge T.$$

If  $T$  is positive it also follows that quantity

$$\|T\| = \sum |T_{I,J}|$$

is dominated by a constant times the trace

$$\sum_{|I|=q} T_{I,I}.$$

If  $\omega$  is a smooth  $(1, 1)$  positive form we define the trace measure  $\sigma_T$  of a positive current of bidimension  $(p, p)$  with respect to  $\omega$  by

$$\sigma_T := T \wedge \omega_p$$

where  $\omega_p = \omega^p/p!$ . In particular if  $\omega = i\partial\bar{\partial}|z|^2$  the trace measure is the trace defined above multiplied by  $\omega_n$ . We next define the  $L^2$ -norm of forms on a current. Let  $T$  is strongly positive and  $g$  be a form of bidegree  $(0, q)$  in  $\mathbb{C}^n$ . Then define the  $L^2$ -norm of  $g$  over  $T$  by

$$\|g\|^2 = \int c_q g \wedge \bar{g} \wedge T \wedge \omega_{p-q}$$

if  $T$  is of bidimension  $(p, p)$ . In particular, if  $g$  is a function the norm is exactly the  $L^2$ -norm with respect to the trace measure of  $T$ . Let  $L^2_{(r,s)}(T)$  denote the completion of the space of smooth forms with respect to the norms defined above [5].

The operators  $\partial$  and  $\bar{\partial}$  act on currents. The current  $T$  is closed if  $dT = 0$  [5].

In the sequel we will frequently speak of *forms on  $T$* . This always means an element in the completion of the space of smooth form in the ambient space with respect to  $L^2$ -norms we have defined on  $T$  [5].

## 2 Linear algebra and $L^2$ -spaces on currents of bidegree $(l, l)$

For the Monge — Ampère problem on currents of bidegree  $(l, l)$  let us give a more detailed discussion of the linear algebra of forms on a current. We need this to develop a version of the Kähler identities on a current, that will in what follows be used in the proof of the Kodaira — Nakano — Hörmander a priori estimate.

We begin with discussing forms and currents at a fixed point, i. e. we consider  $T$  a non-negative element in  $\Lambda^{l,l}(\mathbb{C}^{n+l})$  and forms  $f \in \Lambda^{*,*}(\mathbb{C}^{n+l})$ . The space of  $(p, q)$ -forms on  $T$ ,  $\Lambda_T^{p,q}$  is determined [5] as the space of all  $f \in \Lambda^{p,q}(\mathbb{C}^{n+l})$ , modulo the subspace of forms such that

$$f \wedge T = 0.$$

In order to avoid too heavy notation, we use the same letter to denote an element in  $\Lambda^{p,q}(\mathbb{C}^{n+l})$  and the corresponding element in  $\Lambda_T^{p,q}$ .

On a manifold the space of  $(0, q)$ -forms is the exterior algebra of the space of  $(0, 1)$ -forms, but that this need not be the case in our setting ([5], p. 397).

To define norms on  $\Lambda_T$ , we need an auxiliary  $(1, 1)$ -form  $\omega > 0$ , that will determine the metric on  $T$ . Let  $\omega_k = \omega^k/k!$  [5].

Let  $\sigma_T$  be the trace of  $T$  with respect to  $\omega$  considered as a form of maximal degree, i.e.

$$\sigma_T = T \wedge \omega_n.$$

This means that  $\sigma_T = \text{tr}(T)\omega_{n+1}$ , where  $\text{tr}(T)$  is the trace considered as number [5].

It can be proved (see e.g. [6], p. 170) that a  $k$ -form  $f$  on a  $n$ -dimensional manifold is primitive if and only if  $k \leq n$  and

$$f \wedge \omega_{n-k+1} = 0.$$

This condition has sense on  $\Lambda_T$ .

**Definition 1.** [5] *A  $k$ -form  $f$  is primitive on  $T$  if  $k \leq n$  and*

$$f \wedge \omega_{n-k+1} \wedge T = 0.$$

The next proposition is important in the proof of the a priori inequality for the Monge – Ampère operator.

Let  $e_1, \dots, e_{n+1}$  be a basis for the space of  $(1, 0)$ -forms in  $\mathbb{C}^{n+l}$ . Write

$$\gamma = \sum \gamma_{JK} e_J \wedge \bar{e}_K$$

and partition  $\gamma$  into a sum  $\tau + \sigma$  depending on whether  $J$  belongs to  $K$  (the  $\tau$ -part) or not

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma &= \sum_{j_1 \in K} e_{j_1} \dots \sum_{j_p \in K} \gamma_{JK} e_{j_p} \wedge \bar{e}_K + \\ &+ \left( \sum_{r=1}^{p-1} \sum_{|M|=r} \sum_{j_1 \notin K} e_{j_1} \dots \sum_{j_{m_1-1} \notin K} e_{j_{m_1-1}} \sum_{j_{m_1} \in K} e_{j_{m_1}} \sum_{j_{m_1+1} \notin K} e_{j_{m_1+1}} \dots \right. \\ &\dots \sum_{j_{m_r-1} \notin K} e_{j_{m_r-1}} \sum_{j_{m_r} \in K} e_{j_{m_r}} \sum_{j_{m_r+1} \notin K} e_{j_{m_r+1}} \dots \sum_{j_p \notin K} \gamma_{JK} e_{j_p} \wedge \bar{e}_K + \\ &\left. + \sum_{j_1 \notin K} e_{j_1} \dots \sum_{j_p \notin K} \gamma_{JK} e_{j_p} \wedge \bar{e}_K \right) = \tau + \left( \sum_{r=1}^{p-1} \sigma_r + \sigma_0 \right) = \tau + \sigma. \end{aligned}$$

In the case  $p = 1$  this partition given in [5].

**Proposition 1.** *The quadratic form defined by*

$$[\gamma, \gamma] \sigma_T = c_{q+p} \gamma \wedge \bar{\gamma} \wedge \omega_{n-q-p} \wedge T, \quad (1)$$

*decompose on positive definite  $[\sigma_r, \sigma_r] \sigma_T$  if  $(-1)^{p+r} = -1$  and negative definite  $[\tau, \tau] \sigma_T$ ,  $[\sigma_r, \sigma_r] \sigma_T$  if  $(-1)^{p+r} = 1$ ,  $1 \leq r \leq p-1$  on the space of primitive forms in  $\Lambda_T^{p,q}$ . (In the case  $p = 0$  form  $[\tau, \tau] \sigma_T$  is positive definite, under  $p = 2k + 1$  form  $[\sigma_0, \sigma_0] \sigma_T$  is negative and under  $p = 2k$  is positive definite.)*

The proof is given in [7] by  $l = 1$  (see Proposition 2).

Proposition 5.7 [5] is special case of Proposition 1 by  $p = 1$  and  $l = 1$ . The proof of Lemma 10.1 [5] can be replaced by the proof of Lemma 1 (see [9], [10], [12]).

**Lemma 1.** *The determinant*

$$\Delta_n = \begin{vmatrix} (1 - \lambda_1)^2 & \lambda_1 \lambda_2 & \dots & \lambda_1 \lambda_{n-1} & \lambda_1 \lambda_n \\ \lambda_2 \lambda_1 & (1 - \lambda_2)^2 & \dots & \lambda_2 \lambda_{n-1} & \lambda_2 \lambda_n \\ \dots & \dots & \ddots & \dots & \dots \\ \lambda_{n-1} \lambda_1 & \lambda_{n-1} \lambda_2 & \dots & (1 - \lambda_{n-1})^2 & \lambda_{n-1} \lambda_n \\ \lambda_n \lambda_1 & \lambda_n \lambda_2 & \dots & \lambda_n \lambda_{n-1} & (1 - \lambda_n)^2 \end{vmatrix} \geq 0, \quad (2)$$

here  $\lambda_i \geq 0$ ,  $\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i = 1$ , and  $\Delta_n > 0$  for  $n \geq 3$  and  $\Delta_1 = \Delta_2 = 0$ .

*Доказательство.* The determinant  $\Delta_n$  is a special case of problem 406 of [11], and its value is a symmetric polynomial:

$$\Delta_n = \left(1 + \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\lambda_i^2}{1 - 2\lambda_i}\right) \prod_{i=1}^n (1 - 2\lambda_i). \quad (3)$$

The proof of formula (3) (as well as the more general one [11]) is carried out by the method of mathematical induction on  $n$ . I express my deep gratitude to Mikhail Yuryevich Tyaglov for the provided link.

We can assume that  $\lambda_i \neq 0$ , since due to the symmetry of the polynomial at  $\lambda_i = 0$   $\Delta_n$  becomes  $\Delta_{n-1}$ .

It is easy to check that when  $n = 1$ ,  $\Delta_1 = [1 + \frac{1}{1-2\lambda}](1 - 2\lambda) = 0$ .

When  $n = 2$ ,  $\Delta_2 = [1 + \sum_{i=1}^2 \frac{\lambda_i^2}{1-2\lambda_i}] \prod_{i=1}^2 (1 - 2\lambda_i) = 0$ .

From formula (3), the positivity of  $\Delta_n$  for  $0 < \lambda_i \leq \frac{1}{2}$ ,  $i = \overline{1, n}$  is obvious (moreover, only one  $\lambda_i$  can be equal to  $\frac{1}{2}$  due to condition  $\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i = 1$ ) for  $n \geq 3$  ( $\Delta_1 = \Delta_2 = 0$ ).

Let there be  $\lambda_i > \frac{1}{2}$ . There can only be one such number due to the condition of the lemma, and without loss of generality we can assume that  $\lambda_1 > \frac{1}{2}$  due to the invariance of the determinant with respect to permutations of the variables  $\lambda_i$ . Then  $\sum_{i=2}^n \lambda_i < \frac{1}{2}$ , and the positivity of  $\Delta_n$  is proven as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_n &= \left( (1 - 2\lambda_1) + \lambda_1^2 + \sum_{i=2}^n \frac{\lambda_i^2(1 - 2\lambda_1)}{1 - 2\lambda_i} \right) \prod_{i=2}^n (1 - 2\lambda_i) = \\ &= \left( (1 - \lambda_1)^2 + \sum_{i=2}^n \frac{\lambda_i^2(1 - 2\lambda_1)}{1 - 2\lambda_i} \right) \prod_{i=2}^n (1 - 2\lambda_i) = \\ &= \left( (\lambda_2 + \dots + \lambda_n)^2 + \sum_{i=2}^n \frac{\lambda_i^2(1 - 2\lambda_1)}{1 - 2\lambda_i} \right) \prod_{i=2}^n (1 - 2\lambda_i) = \\ &= \left( 2\sum_{2 \leq s < r \leq n} \lambda_s \lambda_r + 2\sum_{i=2}^n \frac{\lambda_i^2(1 - \lambda_1 - \lambda_i)}{1 - 2\lambda_i} \right) \prod_{i=2}^n (1 - 2\lambda_i) > 0, \end{aligned}$$

since  $0 < \lambda_i < \frac{1}{2}$ ,  $i = \overline{2, n}$  and  $\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i = 1$ .

*Proof.* Choose a basis  $e_1, \dots, e_{n+l}$  for the space  $(1, 0)$ -forms in  $\mathbb{C}^{n+l}$  that diagonalizes both  $\omega$  and  $T$ . Let  $dV_j = ie_j \wedge \bar{e}_j$  and  $dV_J = \bigwedge_J dV_j$ . Then

$\omega = \sum dV_j$ ,  $T = \sum \lambda_J dV_J$  and

$$T \wedge \omega_{n-q-p+1} = \sum_{|K|=n+l-q-p+1} \lambda_K dV_K$$

if we let

$$\lambda_J = \sum_{\substack{|S|=l \\ S \subset J}} \lambda_S.$$

Easily to check that  $[\sigma, \sigma] = \sum_{r=0}^{p-1} \sum_{t=0}^{p-1} [\sigma_r, \sigma_t] = \sum_{r=0}^{p-1} [\sigma_r, \sigma_r]$  because

$$\begin{aligned} [\sigma_r, \sigma_t] \sigma T &= c_{q+p} \sum_{|M|=r} \sum_{j_1 \notin K} e_{j_1} \cdots \sum_{j_{m_1-1} \notin K} e_{j_{m_1-1}} \sum_{j_{m_1} \in K} e_{j_{m_1}} \sum_{j_{m_1+1} \notin K} e_{j_{m_1+1}} \cdots \\ &\cdots \sum_{j_{m_r-1} \notin K} e_{j_{m_r-1}} \sum_{j_{m_r} \in K} e_{j_{m_r}} \sum_{j_{m_r+1} \notin K} e_{j_{m_r+1}} \cdots \sum_{j_p \notin K} \gamma_{JK} e_{j_p} \wedge \bar{e}_K \wedge \sum_{|P|=t} \sum_{s_1 \notin L} \bar{e}_{s_1} \cdots \\ &\cdots \sum_{s_{p_1-1} \notin L} \bar{e}_{s_{p_1-1}} \sum_{s_{p_1} \in L} \bar{e}_{s_{p_1}} \sum_{s_{p_1+1} \notin L} \bar{e}_{s_{p_1+1}} \cdots \sum_{s_{p_t-1} \notin L} \bar{e}_{s_{p_t-1}} \sum_{s_{p_t} \in L} \bar{e}_{s_{p_t}} \wedge \\ &\quad \wedge \sum_{s_{p_t+1} \notin L} \bar{e}_{s_{p_t+1}} \cdots \sum_{s_p \notin L} \bar{\gamma}_{SL} \bar{e}_{s_p} \wedge e_L \wedge \omega_{n-q-p} \wedge T = \\ &= c_{q+p} \sum_{|K|=q-r} \sum_{|M|=r} \sum_{j_1 \notin K} e_{j_1} \cdots \sum_{j_p \notin K} \sigma_{JK}^r e_{j_p} [M] \wedge dV_{J_M} \wedge \bar{e}_K \wedge \\ &\wedge \sum_{|L|=q-t} \sum_{|P|=t} \sum_{s_1 \notin L} \bar{e}_{s_1} \cdots \sum_{s_p \notin L} \bar{\sigma}_{SL}^t \bar{e}_{s_p} [P] \wedge dV_{S_P} \wedge e_L \wedge \omega_{n-q-p} \wedge T = \\ &= c_{q+p} \sum_{|K|=q-r} \sum_{|M|=r} \sum_{j_1 \notin K} e_{j_1} \cdots \sum_{j_p \notin K} \sigma_{JK}^r e_{j_p} [M] \wedge dV_{J_M} \wedge \bar{e}_K \wedge \\ &\wedge \sum_{|L|=q-t} \sum_{|P|=t} \sum_{s_1 \notin L} \bar{e}_{s_1} \cdots \sum_{s_p \notin L} \bar{\sigma}_{SL}^t \bar{e}_{s_p} [P] \wedge dV_{S_P} \wedge e_L \wedge \sum_{|K|=n-q-p+l} \lambda_K dV_K = 0 \end{aligned}$$

for  $r \neq t$ . Here

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j_1 \notin K} e_{j_1} \cdots \sum_{j_p \notin K} e_{j_p} [M] &= \sum_{j_1 \notin K} e_{j_1} \cdots \sum_{j_{m_1-1} \notin K} e_{j_{m_1-1}} \sum_{j_{m_1+1} \notin K} e_{j_{m_1+1}} \cdots \\ &\cdots \sum_{j_{m_r-1} \notin K} e_{j_{m_r-1}} \sum_{j_{m_r+1} \notin K} e_{j_{m_r+1}} \cdots \sum_{j_p \notin K} e_{j_p}, \\ dV_{J_M} &= dV_{j_{m_1}} \wedge \cdots \wedge dV_{j_{m_r}}. \end{aligned}$$

We consider

$$\begin{aligned} [\sigma_r, \sigma_r] \sigma T &= c_{q+p} \sum_{|K|=q-r} \sum_{|M|=r} \sum_{j_1 \notin K} e_{j_1} \cdots \sum_{j_p \notin K} \sigma_{JK}^r e_{j_p} [M] \wedge dV_{J_M} \wedge \bar{e}_K \wedge \\ &\wedge \sum_{|L|=q-r} \sum_{|P|=r} \sum_{s_1 \notin L} \bar{e}_{s_1} \cdots \sum_{s_p \notin L} \bar{\sigma}_{SL}^r \bar{e}_{s_p} [P] \wedge dV_{S_P} \wedge e_L \wedge \omega_{n-q-p} \wedge T = \\ &= c_{q+p} \sum_{|K|=q-r} \sum_{|M|=r} \sum_{j_1 \notin K} e_{j_1} \cdots \sum_{j_p \notin K} \sigma_{JK}^r e_{j_p} [M] \wedge dV_{J_M} \wedge \bar{e}_K \wedge \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \wedge \sum_{|L|=q-r} \sum_{|P|=r} \sum_{s_1 \notin L} \bar{e}_{s_1} \cdots \sum_{s_p \notin L} \overline{\sigma_{SL}^r} \bar{e}_{s_p} [P] \wedge dV_{S_P} \wedge e_L \wedge \sum_{|K|=n-q-p+l} \lambda_K dV_K = \\
& = (-1)^{p+r} \sum_{|K|=q-r} \sum_{|M|=r} \sum_{|P|=r} \sum_{j_1 \notin K} i e_{j_1} \wedge \bar{e}_{j_1} \cdots \sum_{j_p \notin K} i e_{j_p} \wedge \bar{e}_{j_p} [M] \wedge dV_{J_M} \wedge \\
& \wedge dV_{S_P} \wedge c_{q-r} \bar{e}_K \wedge e_K \overline{\sigma_{JK}^r} \overline{\sigma_{(j_1, \dots, j_{m_1-1}, j_{m_1+1}, \dots, s_{p_1}, \dots, s_{p_r}, \dots, j_{m_r-1}, j_{m_r+1}, \dots, j_p)K}^r} \wedge \\
& \wedge \sum_{|K|=n-q-p+l} \lambda_K dV_K = (-1)^{p+r} \sum_{|K|=q-r} \sum_{|M|=r} \sum_{|P|=r} \sum_{J_M \cap S_P = \emptyset} \sigma_{JK}^r \times \\
& \times \overline{\sigma_{(j_1, \dots, j_{m_1-1}, j_{m_1+1}, \dots, s_{p_1}, \dots, s_{p_r}, \dots, j_{m_r-1}, j_{m_r+1}, \dots, j_p)K}^r} \sum_{|L|=n-q-p+l} \lambda_L dV_{L \cup J \cup S_P \cup K},
\end{aligned}$$

$$1 \leq r \leq p-1,$$

$$[\sigma_0, \sigma_0] \sigma_T = (-1)^p \sum_{|L|=n-q-p+l} |\gamma_{JK}|^2 \sum_{|L|=n-q-p+l} \lambda_L dV_{L \cup J \cup K}.$$

Here notation  $(j_1, \dots, j_{m_1-1}, j_{m_1+1}, \dots, s_{p_1}, \dots, s_{p_r}, \dots, j_{m_r-1}, j_{m_r+1}, \dots, j_p)$  means that in the index  $S$  the expression  $S[P] = (s_1, \dots, s_{p_1-1}, s_{p_1+1}, \dots, s_{p_r-1}, s_{p_r+1}, \dots, s_p)$  substituted on  $J[M]$ .

The condition that  $\sigma_r, r \geq 1$ , is primitive ( $\sigma_0$  is always primitive since

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{j_1 \notin K} e_{j_1} \cdots \sum_{j_p \notin K} \gamma_{JK} e_{j_p} \wedge \bar{e}_K \wedge \omega_{n-q-p+1} \wedge T = \\
& = \sum_{j_1 \notin K} e_{j_1} \cdots \sum_{j_p \notin K} \gamma_{JK} e_{j_p} \wedge \bar{e}_K \wedge \sum_{|L|=n-q-p+1+l} \lambda_L dV_L = 0)
\end{aligned}$$

means that

$$\begin{aligned}
\sigma_r \wedge \omega_{n-q-p+1} \wedge T &= \sum_{|K|=q-r} \sum_{|M|=r} \sum_{|L|=n-q-p+1+l} \sum_{j_1 \notin K} e_{j_1} \cdots \\
&\cdots \sum_{j_p \notin K} \sigma_{JK}^r \lambda_L e_{j_p} [M] \wedge dV_{J_M \cup L} \wedge \bar{e}_K = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned}
[\sigma_r, \sigma_r] &= (-1)^{p+r} \sum_{|K|=q-r} \sum_{|M|=r} \sum_{|P|=r} \sum_{J_M \cap L_P = \emptyset} \sigma_{JK}^r \times \\
&\times \overline{\sigma_{(j_1, \dots, j_{m_1-1}, j_{m_1+1}, \dots, l_{p_1}, \dots, l_{p_r}, \dots, j_{m_r-1}, j_{m_r+1}, \dots, j_p)K}^r} \lambda^{(J \cup L_P \cup K)^c},
\end{aligned}$$

$$1 \leq r \leq p-1,$$

$$[\sigma_0, \sigma_0] = (-1)^p \sum |\gamma_{JK}|^2 \lambda_{(J \cup K)^c}.$$

Fix  $K, j_1, \dots, j_{m_1-1}, j_{m_1+1}, \dots, j_{m_r-1}, j_{m_r+1}, \dots, j_p$  and renumber the remaining indices  $1, \dots, N = n + l - q - p + 2r$ .

Put

$$\hat{\lambda}_{J_M} = \sum_{|S|=l} \lambda_S - \sum_{\substack{|S|=l \\ S \subset J_M}} \lambda_S = \sum_{|S|=l} \lambda_S - \lambda_{J_M}$$

and

$$\hat{\lambda}_{J_M L_P} = \sum_{|S|=l} \lambda_S - \lambda_{J_M} - \lambda_{L_P}.$$

What we need to prove is then that if

$$\sum \sigma_{J_M}^r \hat{\lambda}_{J_M} = 0$$

then

$$\sum_{J_M \cap L_P = \emptyset} \sigma_{J_M}^r \overline{\sigma_{L_P}^r} \hat{\lambda}_{J_M L_P} \leq 0.$$

This follows from the next lemma

**Lemma 2.** *Let  $\lambda_I \geq 0$ , and presuppose  $\sum \lambda_I = 1$ . Then*

$$\sum_{J_M \cap L_P = \emptyset} \sigma_{J_M}^r \overline{\sigma_{L_P}^r} \hat{\lambda}_{J_M L_P} \leq \left| \sum_{J_M} \hat{\lambda}_{J_M} \right|^2,$$

$1 \leq r \leq p-1$ .

*Proof.* The proof is a repetition of the proof of Lemma 3.

We have

$$[\tau, \sigma] = \sum_{r=0}^{p-1} [\tau, \sigma_r] = 0,$$

since

$$\begin{aligned} [\tau, \sigma_r] \sigma_T &= c_{q+p} \sum_{|K|=q-p} \tau_{JK} dV_J \wedge \bar{e}_K \wedge \sum_{|P|=r} \sum_{s_1 \notin L} \bar{e}_{s_1} \dots \\ &\quad \dots \sum_{s_{p_1-1} \notin L} \bar{e}_{s_{p_1-1}} \sum_{s_{p_1} \in L} \bar{e}_{s_{p_1}} \sum_{s_{p_1+1} \notin L} \bar{e}_{s_{p_1+1}} \dots \\ \dots \sum_{s_{p_r-1} \notin L} \bar{e}_{s_{p_r-1}} \sum_{s_{p_r} \in L} \bar{e}_{s_{p_r}} \sum_{s_{p_r+1} \notin L} \bar{e}_{s_{p_r+1}} \dots \sum_{s_p \notin L} \bar{\gamma}_{SL} \bar{e}_{s_p} \wedge e_L \wedge \omega_{n-q-p} \wedge T &= \\ &= c_{q+p} \sum_{|K|=q-p} \tau_{JK} dV_J \wedge \bar{e}_K \wedge \sum_{|L|=q-r} \sum_{|P|=r} \sum_{s_1 \notin L} \bar{e}_{s_1} \dots \\ &\quad \dots \sum_{s_p \notin L} \overline{\sigma_{SL}^r} \bar{e}_{s_p} [P] \wedge e_L \wedge dV_{S_P} \wedge \sum_{|L|=n-q-p+l} \lambda_L dV_L = 0. \end{aligned}$$

The condition that  $\tau$  is primitive means that

$$\tau \wedge \omega_{n-q-p+1} \wedge T = \sum_{|K|=q-p} \sum_{|L|=n-q-p+l+1} \tau_{JK} \lambda_L dV_{J \cup L} \wedge \bar{e}_K = 0,$$

and  $[\tau, \tau] \sigma_T$  equals

$$\begin{aligned} c_{q-p} \sum_{|K|=q-p} \sum_{|L|=q-p} \tau_{JK} \bar{\gamma}_{SL} \bar{e}_K \wedge dV_J \wedge e_L \wedge dV_S \wedge \sum_{|L|=n-q-p+l} \lambda_L dV_L &= \\ = \sum_{|K|=q-p} \sum_{J \cap S = \emptyset} \tau_{JK} \bar{\gamma}_{SK} \sum_{|L|=n-q-p+l} \lambda_L dV_{L \cup J \cup S \cup K}. \end{aligned}$$

From here

$$[\tau, \tau] = \sum_{|K|=q-p} \sum_{J \cap L = \emptyset} \tau_{JK} \bar{\tau}_{LK} \lambda_{(J \cup L \cup K)^c}.$$

Fix  $K$  and renumber the remaining indices  $1, \dots, N$ . Put

$$\hat{\lambda}_J = \sum_{|S|=l} \lambda_S - \sum_{\substack{|S|=l \\ S \subset J}} \lambda_S = \sum_{|S|=l} \lambda_S - \lambda_J$$

and

$$\hat{\lambda}_{JL} = \sum_{|S|=l} \lambda_S - \lambda_J - \lambda_L.$$

What we need to prove is then that if

$$\sum \tau_J \hat{\lambda}_J = 0$$

then

$$\sum_{J \cap L = \emptyset} \tau_J \bar{\tau}_L \hat{\lambda}_{JL} \leq 0.$$

This follows from the next lemma

**Lemma 3.** *Let  $\lambda_I \geq 0$  and presuppose  $\sum \lambda_I = 1$ . Then*

$$\sum_{J \cap L = \emptyset} \tau_J \bar{\tau}_L \hat{\lambda}_{JL} \leq \left| \sum \tau_J \hat{\lambda}_J \right|^2.$$

*Proof.* Note that

$$\hat{\lambda}_J = \sum_{|I|=l} \lambda_I - \sum_{\substack{|S|=1 \\ S \subset J}} \lambda_S = 1 - \lambda_J$$

and

$$\hat{\lambda}_{JL} = 1 - \lambda_J - \lambda_L,$$

so

$$\hat{\lambda}_J \hat{\lambda}_L = \hat{\lambda}_{JL} + \lambda_J \lambda_L.$$

Expanding the square in the right hand side the inequality becomes

$$\sum |\tau_J|^2 (2\lambda_J - 1) - \sum_{\substack{J \cap L \neq \emptyset \\ J \neq L}} \tau_J \bar{\tau}_L (1 - \lambda_J - \lambda_L) \leq \left| \sum \tau_J \lambda_J \right|^2.$$

Because both sides are quadratic forms in  $\tau$  with real coefficients it is sufficient to check the inequality for  $\tau$  real. We suppose all  $\tau_J$  pairwise different. The general case follows by continuity. For  $\tau$  fixed we assume

$$\begin{aligned} F(\lambda) = & \left[ \sum \tau_J \lambda_J \sum_{L \neq J} \tau_L \lambda_L + \sum (\tau_J)^2 (1 - \lambda_J)^2 \right] + \\ & + \sum_{\substack{J \cap L \neq \emptyset \\ J \neq L}} \tau_J \tau_L (1 - \lambda_J - \lambda_L) \end{aligned}$$

for  $\lambda$  in the simplex  $\lambda_I \geq 0, \sum \lambda_I = 1$ .

In the case  $p = 1$  the last term is missing since conditions  $j \cap l \neq \emptyset$  and  $j \neq l$  are impossible simultaneously.

The proof of the inequality

$$F(\lambda) = \sum \tau_j \lambda_j \sum_{l \neq j} \tau_l \lambda_l + \sum (\tau_j)^2 (1 - \lambda_j)^2 \geq 0$$

comes down to the proof of the inequality (see [9], [10],[12], and also Lemma 1)

$$\Delta_n = \begin{vmatrix} (1 - \lambda_1)^2 & \lambda_1 \lambda_2 & \dots & \lambda_1 \lambda_{n-1} & \lambda_1 \lambda_n \\ \lambda_2 \lambda_1 & (1 - \lambda_2)^2 & \dots & \lambda_2 \lambda_{n-1} & \lambda_2 \lambda_n \\ \dots & \dots & \ddots & \dots & \dots \\ \lambda_{n-1} \lambda_1 & \lambda_{n-1} \lambda_2 & \dots & (1 - \lambda_{n-1})^2 & \lambda_{n-1} \lambda_n \\ \lambda_n \lambda_1 & \lambda_n \lambda_2 & \dots & \lambda_n \lambda_{n-1} & (1 - \lambda_n)^2 \end{vmatrix} \geq 0,$$

here  $n = C_N^1 = N$ ,  $\lambda_i \geq 0$ ,  $\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i = 1$ .

Let us move on to proving the inequality

$$\begin{aligned} F(\lambda) &= \left[ \sum \tau_J \lambda_J \sum_{J \cap L = \emptyset} \tau_L \lambda_L + \sum (\tau_J)^2 (1 - \lambda_J)^2 \right] + \\ &+ \left[ \sum \tau_J \lambda_J \sum_{\substack{J \cap L \neq \emptyset \\ L \neq J}} \tau_L \lambda_L + \sum_{\substack{J \cap L \neq \emptyset \\ J \neq L}} \tau_J \tau_L (1 - \lambda_J - \lambda_L) \right] \geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

in the case  $p \neq 1$ .

Note that the number of terms in  $\lambda_J$  is equal to  $C_p^l$ .

Let's rename  $\lambda_J$ ,  $|J| = p$  to  $\lambda_1 \dots \lambda_q \lambda_{q+1} \dots \lambda_n$ , where  $n = C_N^p$ . Let us assume that for  $J \cap L = \emptyset$  we have  $\lambda_1 \dots \lambda_q$ , where  $q = C_{N-2p}^p$ , and for  $J \cap L \neq \emptyset$  we have  $\lambda_{q+1} \dots \lambda_{q+m}$ , where  $m = n - q = C_N^p - C_{N-2p}^p$ .

The proof of inequality  $F(\lambda) \geq 0$  for  $p \neq 1$  is reduced to the proof of inequality (see [9], [10], [12], and also Lemma 1)

$$\Delta_q = \begin{vmatrix} (1 - \lambda_1)^2 & \lambda_1 \lambda_2 & \dots & \lambda_1 \lambda_{q-1} & \lambda_1 \lambda_q \\ \lambda_2 \lambda_1 & (1 - \lambda_2)^2 & \dots & \lambda_2 \lambda_{q-1} & \lambda_2 \lambda_q \\ \dots & \dots & \ddots & \dots & \dots \\ \lambda_{q-1} \lambda_1 & \lambda_{q-1} \lambda_2 & \dots & (1 - \lambda_{q-1})^2 & \lambda_{q-1} \lambda_q \\ \lambda_q \lambda_1 & \lambda_q \lambda_2 & \dots & \lambda_q \lambda_{q-1} & (1 - \lambda_q)^2 \end{vmatrix} \geq 0,$$

and equality

$$\Delta_m = \begin{vmatrix} (1 - \lambda_{q+1})^2 & \dots & \lambda_{q+1} \lambda_n + 1 - \lambda_{q+1} - \lambda_n \\ \lambda_{q+2} \lambda_{q+1} + 1 - \lambda_{q+2} - \lambda_{q+1} & \dots & \lambda_{q+2} \lambda_n + 1 - \lambda_{q+2} - \lambda_n \\ \dots & \ddots & \dots \\ \lambda_n \lambda_{q+1} + 1 - \lambda_n - \lambda_{q+1} & \dots & (1 - \lambda_n)^2 \end{vmatrix} =$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \left| \begin{array}{cccc} (1 - \lambda_{q+1})^2 & (1 - \lambda_{q+1})(1 - \lambda_{q+2}) & \dots & (1 - \lambda_{q+1})(1 - \lambda_n) \\ (1 - \lambda_{q+2})(1 - \lambda_{q+1}) & (1 - \lambda_{q+2})^2 & \dots & (1 - \lambda_{q+2})(1 - \lambda_n) \\ \dots & \dots & \ddots & \dots \\ (1 - \lambda_n)(1 - \lambda_{q+1}) & (1 - \lambda_n)(1 - \lambda_{q+2}) & \dots & (1 - \lambda_n)^2 \end{array} \right| = \\
&= (1 - \lambda_{q+1})(1 - \lambda_{q+2}) \dots (1 - \lambda_n) \left| \begin{array}{cccc} 1 - \lambda_{q+1} & 1 - \lambda_{q+2} & \dots & 1 - \lambda_n \\ 1 - \lambda_{q+1} & 1 - \lambda_{q+2} & \dots & 1 - \lambda_n \\ \dots & \dots & \ddots & \dots \\ 1 - \lambda_{q+1} & 1 - \lambda_{q+2} & \dots & 1 - \lambda_n \end{array} \right| = 0,
\end{aligned}$$

here  $q + m = n = C_N^p$ . □

## References

- [1] V.K. Beloshapka, *Functions, pluriharmonic on manifold*, Acad. Sci. Izv. Math., **12:3** (1978), 439–447.
- [2] E.M. Chirka, *Currents and some their applications*, F.R. Harvey, (ed.) Holomorphic chains and their boundaris, Mir, M. 1979, 122–158.
- [3] T.N. Nikitina, *Removable singularities on boundary and  $\bar{\partial}$ -closed extension of CR-forms with singularities on a generic manifold*, Nauka, Novosibirsk, 2008.
- [4] T.N. Nikitina,  *$\bar{\partial}$ - and  $\bar{\partial}\bar{\partial}$ -closed extension of forms*, LAP, Saarbrucken, 2014.
- [5] B. Berndtsson, N. Sibony, *The  $\bar{\partial}$ -equation on a positive current*, Invent. math., **147**, (2002), 371–428.
- [6] R.O. Wells, *Differential Analysis on Complex Manifolds*, Prentice Hall (1967)
- [7] T.N. Nikitina, *The  $\bar{\partial}\bar{\partial}$ -equation on a positive current*, Mathematical Notes of NEFU, **22**, (2015), 38–50.
- [8] T.N. Nikitina, *The  $\bar{\partial}\bar{\partial}$ -equation on positive currents of higher bidegree*, 7<sup>th</sup> European Congress of Mathematics, Berlin, (2016), 57.
- [9] A.A. Nikitin, T.N. Nikitina, *On  $(\bar{\partial}u)^n$ - and the Monge – Ampère equation on positive currents of higher bidegree*, A.I. Kozhanov, N.B. Tsirenzhapov, (eds.) Differential equations and mathematical modeling, IMI BSU, Ulan-Ude, (2022), 55–57.
- [10] T.N. Nikitina, *On complex Monge – Ampère equation on positive currents of higher bidegree*, Russian-Chinese Conference Differential and difference equations, Novosibirsk State University, Sobolev Institute of Mathematics, Novosibirsk, (2023), 118–119.
- [11] I.V. Proskuryakov, *Collection of problem in linear algebra*, 9th edition, Moscow State University, Moscow, 2005.
- [12] T.N. Nikitina, *The complex Monge – Ampère equation on positive currents of higher bidegree*, Russian-Chinese Conference Differential and difference equations, Novosibirsk State University, Sobolev Institute of Mathematics, Novosibirsk, (2025), 104.

ALEXANDR ANATOLYEVICH NIKITIN  
SIBERIAN FEDERAL UNIVERSITY,  
PR. SVOBODNY, 79,  
660041, KRASNOYARSK, RUSSIA  
Email address: [AANick@yandex.ru](mailto:AANick@yandex.ru)

TATYANA NIKOLAEVNA NIKITINA  
SIBERIAN FEDERAL UNIVERSITY,  
PR. SVOBODNY, 79,  
660041, KRASNOYARSK, RUSSIA  
*Email address:* [AANick@yandex.ru](mailto:AANick@yandex.ru)