

# A magneto-electro-elastic-visco-plastic problem with subdifferential contact condition

Abderrezak Kasri\*

## Abstract

The aim of this paper is to present a new result in the study of a quasistatic frictional contact problem between a magneto-electro-elastic-visco-plastic body and a foundation. The contact is modelled with the Signorini unilateral contact condition and a friction law assumed to be slip dependent and expressed in terms of a subdifferential of a nonconvex potential function. We derive a variational formulation for the model, which is a system that couples a variational-hemivariational inequality with a variational equation. Then, we use arguments on pseudomonotone operators and fixed point to prove its unique solvability.

**AMS Classification:** 74B05, 74C10, 74M10, 74F15, 74F20, 47H05.

**Key words:** magneto-electro-elastic materials, visco-plasticity, variational-hemivariational inequality, variational equation, nonconvex functions, Signorini unilateral condition, slip dependent friction.

## 1 Introduction

Elastic electromagnetic effects result from the coupling between elastic, piezoelectric, and piezomagnetic fields. This interaction leads to the simultaneous induction of both ferromagnetic moment and electric polarization by the

---

\*Département de Mathématiques, Université 20 Août 1955-Skikda, B.P.26 Route El-Hadaiek Skikda-Algérie. email: kariabdezak@gmail.com

application of mechanical stress. Conversely, the presence of an electric or magnetic field induces mechanical stress. Furthermore, a coupling occurs between magnetic and electric subsystems. This allows for the control of electric polarization by a magnetic field (direct effect) and the manipulation of ferromagnetic moment by an electric field (converse effect).

Recently developed composite materials, which combine piezoelectric and piezomagnetic effects, have been shown to achieve very strong magnetoelectric effects even at room temperature. This makes them promising tools for various technological applications. For example, in medicine, it is essential to develop a method that is as minimally invasive as possible to locally stimulate individual neurons. Furthermore, the redistribution of biomolecules in damaged cells requires relatively high efficiency and sufficient spatial and temporal resolution. Although, its applications in biology and medicine are still in their early stages, the multifunctional properties of electromagnetic compounds enable the design of new electronic devices for various sensing, switching and memory applications, see [4, 14, 22].

Works relating to piezoelectric and piezoelectromagnetic materials are available, for example, in references [1, 3, 11, 12, 13, 15, 16, 17, 19, 23, 25]. In [1, 15], the uniqueness and reciprocity theorems for thermo-electro-magneto-elastic problems were discussed. In [25], the asymptotic homogenization method was applied in the study of a set of thermo-electro-magneto-elastic problems with rapidly oscillating coefficients and comparisons with other previously published results were presented. In [12], the quasistatic frictional contact problem with normal compliance for electro-elastic-viscoplastic materials with slip dependent friction and damage was studied. A bilateral contact problem with nonlocal friction law, adhesion and damage was investigated for electroelastic viscoplastic materials in [13]. There, the unique solvability of a weak solution with a regularity result was proved, and the study of the dependence of the solution on the data was performed. The quasistatic frictionless contact problem for electro-elastic-visco-plastic materials with subdifferential boundary conditions was considered in [19]. In this last reference, within the framework of hemivariational inequalities, weak formulation of the corresponding problem was derived and weak solvability result of the solution was proved.

The theory of hemivariational inequalities relies on the properties of Clarke's subdifferential for locally Lipschitz functions that can be non-convex see [5, 6, 7, 18, 20]. Variational-hemivariational inequalities constitute a special class of inequalities that combine both convex and nonconvex func-

tions see [2, 9, 10, 27], for instance. In [2], a class of elliptic variational-hemivariational inequalities was introduced and numerical results were reported in the study of a family of contact problems for ideally locked elastic materials. The latest techniques for numerical analysis of variational-hemivariational inequalities in contact mechanics can be found in [9, 10]. In [27], existence, uniqueness and convergence results for various classes of variational-hemivariational inequalities were studied, and applications of these inequalities in the study of concrete mathematical models were presented.

The novelty of this paper lies in the magneto-electro-elasto-visco-plastic constitutive law used, which involves three time-dependent nonlinear integral equations. We assume that the contact is modelled with the Signorini condition involving a friction law which is assumed to be slip dependent and described by a subdifferential of a nonconvex potential. These conditions lead to a non-standard mathematical model that we investigate by using abstract results of pseudomonotone operators and fixed point arguments within the framework of variational-hemivariational inequalities.

The paper is structured as follows. In Section 2 we introduce the notation and some preliminaries we shall use in our study. Section 3 is dedicated to describe the mechanical problem and derive its variational formulation, and under certain conditions, we discuss its weak solvability in Section 4.

## 2 PRELIMINARIES

We introduce some preliminary materials. For further details, we refer the reader to [18, 24]. Here and below, the indices  $i, j, k, l$  run between 1 and  $d$  ( $d=2, 3$ ). We denote by  $\mathbb{S}^d$  the space of second order symmetric tensors on  $\mathbb{R}^d$ , and we define the inner products and the corresponding norms on  $\mathbb{R}^d$  and  $\mathbb{S}^d$  by

$$w \cdot v = \sum_{i=1}^d w_i v_i, \quad |v| = \sqrt[2]{v \cdot v} \text{ for all } w = (w_i), v = (v_i) \in \mathbb{R}^d,$$

$$\sigma \cdot \tau = \sum_{1 \leq i, j \leq d} \sigma_{ij} \tau_{ij}, \quad |\sigma| = \sqrt[2]{\sigma \cdot \sigma} \text{ for all } \sigma = (\sigma_{ij}), \tau = (\tau_{ij}) \in \mathbb{S}^d.$$

Let  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$  be a bounded domain with a Lipschitz boundary  $\Gamma$ , let  $x = (x_i) \in \Omega \cup \Gamma$  be the spatial variable and let  $\nu$  be the unit outer normal on  $\Gamma$ .

We denote by  $H = L^2(\Omega)$  the standard Lebesgue space for scalar functions,  $\mathbf{H} = (L^2(\Omega))^d$  the standard Lebesgue space for vector functions,  $H^1(\Omega)$  the standard Sobolev space for scalar functions,  $\mathbf{H}^1(\Omega) = (H^1(\Omega))^d$  the standard Sobolev space for vector functions, and we introduce the following spaces

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{Q} &= \{\sigma = (\sigma_{ij}) \mid \sigma_{ij} = \sigma_{ji} \in H\}, \\ \mathcal{H} &= \{\sigma \in \mathcal{Q} \mid \text{Div}\sigma \in \mathbf{H}\}, \\ \mathcal{W} &= \{D \in \mathbf{H} \mid \text{div} D \in H\}.\end{aligned}$$

Here and below,  $\text{Div} : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathbf{H}$  is the divergence operator for tensor functions

$$\text{Div}\sigma = (\varsigma_i), \quad \varsigma_i = \sum_{j=1}^d \frac{\partial \sigma_{ij}}{\partial x_j} \text{ for all } \sigma \in \mathcal{H},$$

$\text{div} : \mathcal{W} \rightarrow H$  denotes the divergence operator for vector functions

$$\text{div} D = \sum_{i=1}^d \frac{\partial D_i}{\partial x_i} \text{ for all } D = (D_i) \in \mathcal{W},$$

$\varepsilon : \mathbf{H}^1(\Omega) \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}$  is the linearized strain tensor

$$\varepsilon(w) = (\varepsilon_{ij}(w)), \quad \varepsilon_{ij}(w) = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\partial w_i}{\partial x_j} + \frac{\partial w_j}{\partial x_i} \right) \text{ for all } w \in \mathbf{H}^1(\Omega).$$

Note that  $\mathbf{H}$ ,  $\mathcal{Q}$ ,  $\mathbf{H}^1(\Omega)$ ,  $\mathcal{H}$  and  $\mathcal{W}$  are real Hilbert spaces endowed with the following inner products

$$\begin{aligned}(w, v)_{\mathbf{H}} &= \int_{\Omega} w \cdot v dx \text{ for all } w, v \in \mathbf{H}, \\ (\sigma, \tau)_{\mathcal{Q}} &= \int_{\Omega} \sigma \cdot \tau dx \text{ for all } \sigma, \tau \in \mathcal{Q}, \\ (w, v)_{\mathbf{H}^1(\Omega)} &= (w, v)_{\mathbf{H}} + (\varepsilon(w), \varepsilon(v))_{\mathcal{Q}} \text{ for all } w, v \in \mathbf{H}^1(\Omega), \\ (\sigma, \tau)_{\mathcal{H}} &= (\sigma, \tau)_{\mathcal{Q}} + (\text{Div}\sigma, \text{Div}\tau)_{\mathbf{H}} \text{ for all } \sigma, \tau \in \mathcal{H}, \\ (D, B)_{\mathcal{W}} &= (D, B)_{\mathbf{H}} + (\text{div} D, \text{div} B)_H \text{ for all } D, B \in \mathcal{W}.\end{aligned}$$

The associated norms on the spaces  $\mathbf{H}$ ,  $\mathcal{Q}$ ,  $\mathbf{H}^1(\Omega)$ ,  $\mathcal{H}$  and  $\mathcal{W}$  are denoted by  $\|\cdot\|_{\mathbf{H}}$ ,  $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{Q}}$ ,  $\|\cdot\|_{\mathbf{H}^1(\Omega)}$ ,  $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{H}}$  and  $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{W}}$ . Let  $w$  be a vector field defined on  $\Gamma$ .

Then,  $w_\nu$  denotes the normal component of  $w$  and  $w_\tau$  denotes the projection of  $w$  on the tangent plane of  $\Gamma$ , and they are given by

$$w_\nu = w \cdot \nu, \quad w_\tau = w - w_\nu \nu \text{ on } \Gamma.$$

Similarly, the normal component and the tangential components of a tensor  $\sigma$  are denoted by  $\sigma_\nu$  and  $\sigma_\tau$ , and they are given by

$$\sigma_\nu = \sigma \nu \cdot \nu, \quad \sigma_\tau = \sigma \nu - \sigma_\nu \nu \text{ on } \Gamma.$$

For a regular (say  $C^1$ ) tensor field  $\sigma$  the following Green formula holds

$$(\sigma, \varepsilon(w))_{\mathcal{Q}} + (\text{Div} \sigma, w)_{\mathbf{H}} = \int_{\Gamma} \sigma \nu \cdot w da \text{ for all } w \in \mathbf{H}^1(\Omega),$$

where  $da$  is the surface measure element. Also, when  $D \in \mathcal{W}$  is a regular function, the following Green's type formula holds:

$$(D, \nabla \phi)_{\mathbf{H}} + (\text{div} D, \phi)_H = \int_{\Gamma} D \cdot \nu \phi da \text{ for all } \phi \in H^1(\Omega).$$

Throughout this section,  $X$  stands for a real Banach space. We denote by  $\|\cdot\|_X$  its norm, by  $X^*$  its topological dual, and we denote by  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{X^* \times X}$  the duality pairing between  $X^*$  and  $X$ . For the particular case when  $X = \mathbb{R}^n$  with  $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$ , we take  $X^* = \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $\langle w, v \rangle_{X^* \times X} = w \cdot v$  for all  $w, v \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . The symbol  $w - X$  stands for the space  $X$  endowed with the weak topology, “ $\rightarrow$ ” and “ $\rightharpoonup$ ” represent the strong and the weak convergence, respectively. The symbol  $2^{X^*}$  is the set of all subsets of  $X^*$ . Let  $\mathbb{R}_+ = [0, \infty)$ , we denote by  $C(\mathbb{R}_+; X)$  the space of continuous functions from  $\mathbb{R}_+$  to  $X$ . For a multivalued operator  $\Lambda : X \rightarrow 2^{X^*}$ , its domain  $Dom(\Lambda)$ , range  $Rang(\Lambda)$  and graph  $Gra(\Lambda)$  are defined, respectively, by

$$Dom(\Lambda) = \{w \in X, \Lambda w \neq \emptyset\},$$

$$Rang(\Lambda) = \bigcup_{w \in X} \Lambda w,$$

$$Gra(\Lambda) = \{(w, w^*) \in X \times X^* \mid w^* \in \Lambda w\}.$$

Definitions of maximal monotone, pseudomonotone and generalized pseudomonotone multivalued operators, as well as their properties, can be found in the books [6, 8, 20]. In particular, the following proposition follows from [20, Theorem 2.12].

**Proposition 1** *Let  $X$  be a real reflexive Banach space with the dual space  $X^*$ . Let  $\Psi : X \rightarrow 2^{X^*}$  be a maximal monotone operator with  $0_X \in \text{Dom}(\Psi)$ . Let  $\Lambda : X \rightarrow 2^{X^*}$  be a bounded pseudomonotone operator, which is coercive in the sense that a real-valued function  $\kappa : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  exists such that*

$$(i) \quad \lim_{s \rightarrow +\infty} \kappa(s) = +\infty,$$

$$(ii) \quad \langle w^*, w \rangle_{X^* \times X} \geq \kappa(\|w\|_X) \|w\|_X \text{ for all } (w, w^*) \in \text{Gra}(\Lambda).$$

*Then,  $\text{Rang}(\Lambda + \Psi) = X^*$ .*

Let us conclude this Section with the notions of the generalized (Clarke) directional derivative and the generalized gradient. For more details see [5, 6]. For a locally Lipschitz continuous function  $\beta : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , the generalized (Clarke) directional derivative of  $\beta$  at  $w \in X$  in the direction  $v \in X$  is

$$\beta^\circ(w; v) = \limsup_{y \rightarrow w, \lambda \downarrow 0} \frac{\beta(y + \lambda v) - \beta(y)}{\lambda}.$$

The generalized gradient (subdifferential) of  $\beta$  at  $w$  is a non-empty subset of the dual space  $X^*$  given by

$$\partial\beta(w) = \{\xi \in X^* \mid \langle \xi, v \rangle_{X^* \times X} \leq \beta^\circ(w; v) \text{ for all } v \in X\}.$$

We will use the following properties (cf. Section 2.1 of [5]).

**Proposition 2** *Let  $\beta : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a locally Lipschitz function. Then*

$$\beta^\circ(w; v) = \max \{ \langle \xi, v \rangle_{X^* \times X} \mid \xi \in \partial\beta(w) \} \text{ for all } w, v \in X.$$

### 3 Problem formulation

The physical setting is as follows. A deformable body occupies the reference configuration  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{d=2,3}$  which is a bounded open set with a Lipschitz boundary  $\Gamma$ . The body is assumed to have a magneto-electro-elastic-visco-plastic behaviour and the process is quasistatic in the time interval  $\mathbb{R}_+$ . We consider three partitions of  $\Gamma$  into three open disjoint parts  $\Gamma = \Gamma_1 \cup \Gamma_2 \cup \Gamma_3 = \Gamma_1^{el} \cup \Gamma_2^{el} \cup \Gamma_3 = \Gamma_1^{ma} \cup \Gamma_2^{ma} \cup \Gamma_3$  such that  $\text{meas}(\Gamma_1) > 0$ ,  $\text{meas}(\Gamma_1^{el}) > 0$  and  $\text{meas}(\Gamma_1^{ma}) > 0$ . We assume that body forces of density  $f_0$ , electric

charges of density  $q_0$  and electric current of density  $p_0$  act in  $\Omega$ . Homogeneous Dirichlet conditions of displacement, electrical potential and magnetic potential fields are considered on  $\Gamma_1$ ,  $\Gamma_1^{el}$  and  $\Gamma_1^{ma}$ , respectively. We assume that surface tractions of density  $f_2$ , surface free electric charges of density  $q_2$  and a surface magnetic induction of density  $p_2$  are prescribed on  $\Gamma_2$ ,  $\Gamma_2^{el}$  and  $\Gamma_2^{ma}$ , respectively. The body is supposed to be in unilateral contact over  $\Gamma_3$  with an insulated foundation where subdifferential contact condition with slip dependent friction are included. To simplify the notation, we do not indicate explicitly the dependence of various functions on the spatial variable  $x \in \Omega \cup \Gamma$ . Under the above assumptions, the classical formulation of our problem is the following.

**Problem 3** *Find a displacement field  $u : \Omega \times \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ , a stress field  $\sigma : \Omega \times \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^d$ , an electric potential field  $\varphi : \Omega \times \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , an electric displacement field  $D : \Omega \times \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ , a magnetic potential field  $\psi : \Omega \times \mathbb{R}_+$*

$\rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  and a magnetic induction field  $B : \Omega \times \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{\sigma} &= \mathcal{A}\varepsilon(\dot{u}) + \mathcal{E}^*\nabla\dot{\varphi} + \mathcal{M}^*\nabla\dot{\psi} \\ &\quad + \mathcal{G}_1(\sigma, D, B, \varepsilon(u), \nabla\varphi, \nabla\psi) \text{ in } \Omega \times (0, \infty), \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{D} &= \mathcal{E}\varepsilon(\dot{u}) - \mathcal{C}\nabla\dot{\varphi} - \mathcal{S}\nabla\dot{\psi} \\ &\quad + \mathcal{G}_2(\sigma, D, B, \varepsilon(u), \nabla\varphi, \nabla\psi) \text{ in } \Omega \times (0, \infty), \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{B} &= \mathcal{M}\varepsilon(\dot{u}) - \mathcal{S}\nabla\dot{\varphi} - \mathcal{Z}\nabla\dot{\psi} \\ &\quad + \mathcal{G}_3(\sigma, D, B, \varepsilon(u), \nabla\varphi, \nabla\psi) \text{ in } \Omega \times (0, \infty), \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

$$\text{Div}\sigma + f_0 = 0 \text{ in } \Omega \times (0, \infty), \quad (4)$$

$$\text{div}D - q_0 = 0 \text{ in } \Omega \times (0, \infty), \quad (5)$$

$$\text{div}B - p_0 = 0 \text{ in } \Omega \times (0, \infty), \quad (6)$$

$$u = 0 \text{ on } \Gamma_1 \times (0, \infty), \quad (7)$$

$$\sigma\nu = f_2 \text{ on } \Gamma_2 \times (0, \infty), \quad (8)$$

$$\varphi = 0 \text{ on } \Gamma_1^{el} \times (0, \infty), \quad (9)$$

$$D \cdot \nu = q_2 \text{ on } \Gamma_2^{el} \times (0, \infty), \quad (10)$$

$$\psi = 0 \text{ on } \Gamma_1^{ma} \times (0, \infty), \quad (11)$$

$$B \cdot \nu = p_2 \text{ on } \Gamma_2^{ma} \times (0, \infty), \quad (12)$$

$$D \cdot \nu = 0 \text{ on } \Gamma_3 \times (0, \infty), \quad (13)$$

$$B \cdot \nu = 0 \text{ on } \Gamma_3 \times (0, \infty), \quad (14)$$

$$u_\nu \leq 0, \sigma_\nu \leq 0, u_\nu\sigma_\nu = 0 \text{ on } \Gamma_3 \times (0, \infty), \quad (15)$$

$$-\sigma_\tau \in \mu(|u_\tau|)\partial h_\tau(u_\tau) \text{ on } \Gamma_3 \times (0, \infty), \quad (16)$$

$$(i) u(0) = u_0, \quad (ii) \varphi(0) = \varphi_0, \quad (iii) \psi(0) = \psi_0 \text{ in } \Omega, \quad (17)$$

$$(i) \sigma(0) = \sigma_0, \quad (ii) D(0) = D_0, \quad (iii) B(0) = B_0 \text{ in } \Omega. \quad (18)$$

The time-dependent integral equations (1)-(3) represent the magneto-electro-elastic-visco-plastic constitutive law in which the dot above a variable represents its derivative with respect to the time variable,  $\varepsilon(u)$  denotes the linearized strain tensor,  $-\nabla\varphi$  is the electric field,  $-\nabla\psi$  stands for the magnetic field,  $\mathcal{A}$  is the elasticity operator,  $\mathcal{E}$  denotes the piezoelectric operator,  $\mathcal{E}^*$  is the transposed of  $\mathcal{E}$ ,  $\mathcal{M}$  denotes the piezomagnetic operator,  $\mathcal{M}^*$  is the transposed of  $\mathcal{M}$ ,  $\mathcal{C}$  stands for the electric permittivity operator,  $\mathcal{Z}$  represents the magnetic permeability operator and  $\mathcal{S}$  denotes the electromagnetic coupling operator. Here,  $\mathcal{G}_1$ ,  $\mathcal{G}_2$  and  $\mathcal{G}_3$  are given nonlinear constitutive functions. When  $\mathcal{G}_1 = \mathcal{G}_2 = \mathcal{G}_3 = 0$ , equations (1)-(3) can be reduced to the

classical magneto-electro-elastic constitutive law, i.e.

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma &= \mathcal{A}\varepsilon(u) + \mathcal{E}^*\nabla\varphi + \mathcal{M}^*\nabla\psi, \\ D &= \mathcal{E}\varepsilon(u) - \mathcal{C}\nabla\varphi - \mathcal{S}\nabla\psi, \\ B &= \mathcal{M}\varepsilon(u) - \mathcal{S}\nabla\varphi - \mathcal{Z}\nabla\psi.\end{aligned}$$

Equations (4)-(6) represent the balance equations for the stress, electric displacement and magnetic induction fields, respectively. Equations (7)-(8) are the displacement-traction boundary conditions where  $\nu$  stands for the unit outward normal vector to  $\Gamma$  and  $\sigma\nu$  represents the Cauchy stress vector. Conditions (9)-(10) characterize the electric boundary conditions over  $\Gamma_1^{el} \cup \Gamma_2^{el}$ , whereas (11)-(12) represent the magnetic boundary conditions over  $\Gamma_1^{ma} \cup \Gamma_2^{ma}$ . In (13)-(14), the foundation is assumed to be non-conductive, so that the normal components of the electrical displacement and the magnetic induction fields vanish over  $\Gamma_3$ . Relations (15)-(16) describe the contact of the body with a foundation on  $\Gamma_3$ . Relation (15) is a unilateral contact condition which assumes that the foundation is perfectly rigid. The condition (16) describes the friction law in which  $\sigma_\tau$  represents the tangential stress,  $h_\tau$  is a given locally Lipschitz function where  $\partial h_\tau$  is its generalized subdifferential, and  $\mu$  is a given function which may depend on the tangential displacement  $u_\tau$ . The following example of the function  $h_\tau$  was considered in [2, 18].

**Example 4** Let  $a \in (0, 1)$ ,  $\bar{\mathbf{B}}(0, 1)$  be the closed unit ball in  $\mathbb{R}^d$ . Let  $h_\tau : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be the function defined by

$$h_\tau(w) = \begin{cases} \frac{a-1}{2a}|w|^2 + |w| & \text{if } |w| \leq a, \\ a|w| + \frac{a(1-a)}{2} & \text{if } |w| \geq a \end{cases} \quad (19)$$

for all  $w \in \mathbb{R}^d$ . As an example of the function  $\mu$ , we can consider  $\mu : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$

$$\mu(r) = (\delta_1 - \delta_2)e^{-\delta_3 r} + \delta_2 \text{ for all } r \in \mathbb{R}_+, \quad (20)$$

where  $\delta_1, \delta_2, \delta_3 > 0$  and  $\delta_1 \geq \delta_2$ .

Finally, (17)-(18) represent the initial conditions.

In the study of Problem (1)-(18), we introduce the spaces  $\mathbf{L}^2(\Gamma_i) = L^2(\Gamma_i)^d$ ,  $i = 1, 2, 3$ , the space  $V$  defined by

$$V = \{w \in \mathbf{H}^1(\Omega) \mid w = 0 \text{ on } \Gamma_1\},$$

and the set of admissible displacements  $U$  defined by

$$U = \{w \in V \mid w_\nu \leq 0 \text{ on } \Gamma_3\}.$$

Since  $\text{meas}(\Gamma_1) > 0$ , Korn's inequality holds (see [21, p. 79]):

$$C_K \|w\|_{\mathbf{H}^1(\Omega)} \leq \|\varepsilon(w)\|_{\mathcal{Q}} \text{ for all } w \in V,$$

where  $C_K > 0$  is a positive constant depending only on  $\Omega$  and  $\Gamma_1$ . Over the space  $V$ , we consider the inner product given by

$$(w, v)_V = (\varepsilon(w), \varepsilon(v))_{\mathcal{Q}} \text{ for all } w, v \in V, \quad (21)$$

and let  $\|\cdot\|_V$  be the associated norm. We introduce the functional spaces

$$W_{el} = \{\phi \in H^1(\Omega) \mid \phi = 0 \text{ on } \Gamma_1^{el}\},$$

$$W_{ma} = \{\vartheta \in H^1(\Omega) \mid \vartheta = 0 \text{ on } \Gamma_1^{ma}\},$$

which are closed subspaces of  $H^1(\Omega)$ . Since  $\text{meas}(\Gamma_1^{el}) > 0$  and  $\text{meas}(\Gamma_1^{ma}) > 0$ , the following Friedrichs–Poincaré's inequalities hold

$$C_1^{el} \|\phi\|_{H^1(\Omega)} \leq \|\nabla \phi\|_{\mathbf{H}} \text{ for all } \phi \in W_{el},$$

$$C_1^{ma} \|\vartheta\|_{H^1(\Omega)} \leq \|\nabla \vartheta\|_{\mathbf{H}} \text{ for all } \vartheta \in W_{ma},$$

here  $C_1^{el} > 0$  is a positive constant depending only on  $\Omega$  and  $\Gamma_1^{el}$ , whereas  $C_1^{ma} > 0$  is a positive constant depending only on  $\Omega$  and  $\Gamma_1^{ma}$ . Over the spaces  $W_{el}$  and  $W_{ma}$ , we consider the inner products given by

$$(\varphi, \phi)_{W_{el}} = (\nabla \varphi, \nabla \phi)_{\mathbf{H}} \text{ for all } \varphi, \phi \in W_{el}, \quad (22)$$

$$(\psi, \vartheta)_{W_{ma}} = (\nabla \psi, \nabla \vartheta)_{\mathbf{H}} \text{ for all } \psi, \vartheta \in W_{ma}, \quad (23)$$

where the associated norms are denoted by  $\|\cdot\|_{W_{el}}$  and  $\|\cdot\|_{W_{ma}}$ . Next, we use the functional space

$$W = W_{el} \times W_{ma}.$$

$W$  is a real Hilbert space endowed with the inner product given by

$$((\varphi, \psi), (\phi, \vartheta))_W = (\nabla \varphi, \nabla \phi)_{\mathbf{H}} + (\nabla \psi, \nabla \vartheta)_{\mathbf{H}} \text{ for all } (\varphi, \psi), (\phi, \vartheta) \in W.$$

We consider the following assumptions. The elasticity operator  $\mathcal{A} : \Omega \times \mathbb{S}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^d$  satisfies

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{(i) There exists } m_{\mathcal{A}} > 0 \text{ such that} \\ \mathcal{A}\varepsilon \cdot \varepsilon \geq m_{\mathcal{A}} |\varepsilon|^2 \text{ for all } \varepsilon \in \mathbb{S}^d, \text{ a.e. } x \in \Omega; \\ \text{(ii) } \mathcal{A} \in \mathbf{Q}_{\infty}, \end{array} \right. \quad (24)$$

where  $\mathbf{Q}_{\infty}$  is the space of fourth-order tensor fields defined by

$$\mathbf{Q}_{\infty} = \{ \mathcal{E} = (\mathcal{E}_{ijkl}) \mid \mathcal{E}_{ijkl} = \mathcal{E}_{jikl} = \mathcal{E}_{klij} \in L^{\infty}(\Omega) \},$$

which is a real Banach space with the norm

$$\| \mathcal{E} \|_{\mathbf{Q}_{\infty}} = \max_{1 \leq i, j, k, l \leq d} \| \mathcal{E}_{ijkl} \|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)}.$$

The electric permittivity operator  $\mathcal{C} = (\mathcal{C}_{ij}) : \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$  satisfies

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{(i) } \mathcal{C}(x, w)_i = \sum_{1 \leq j \leq d} \mathcal{C}_{ij}(x) w_j \\ \text{a.e. } x \in \Omega, \text{ for all } w = (w_j) \in \mathbb{R}^d; \\ \text{(ii) } \mathcal{C}_{ij} = \mathcal{C}_{ji} \in L^{\infty}(\Omega). \end{array} \right. \quad (25)$$

The operator  $\mathcal{E} = (\mathcal{E}_{ijk}) : \Omega \times \mathbb{S}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$  satisfies

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{(i) } \mathcal{E}(x, \varepsilon)_i = \sum_{1 \leq j, k \leq d} \mathcal{E}_{ijk}(x) \varepsilon_{jk} \\ \text{a.e. } x \in \Omega, \text{ for all } \varepsilon \in \mathbb{S}^d; \\ \text{(ii) } \mathcal{E}_{ijk} = \mathcal{E}_{ikj} \in L^{\infty}(\Omega). \end{array} \right. \quad (26)$$

The operator  $\mathcal{E}^* : \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^d$  is defined by

$$\varepsilon \cdot \mathcal{E}^*(x, w) = \mathcal{E}(x, \varepsilon) \cdot w \text{ for all } w \in \mathbb{R}^d, \text{ for all } \varepsilon \in \mathbb{S}^d, \text{ a.e. } x \in \Omega. \quad (27)$$

The magnetic permeability operator  $\mathcal{Z} = (\mathcal{Z}_{ij}) : \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$  satisfies

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{(i) } \mathcal{Z}(x, w)_i = \sum_{1 \leq j \leq d} \mathcal{Z}_{ij}(x) w_j, \\ \text{a.e. } x \in \Omega, \text{ for all } w = (w_j)_{1 \leq j \leq d} \in \mathbb{R}^d; \\ \text{(ii) } \mathcal{Z}_{ij} = \mathcal{Z}_{ji} \in L^{\infty}(\Omega). \end{array} \right. \quad (28)$$

The operator  $\mathcal{M} = (\mathcal{M}_{ijk}) : \Omega \times \mathbb{S}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$  satisfies

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{(i) } \mathcal{M}(x, \varepsilon)_i = \sum_{1 \leq j, k \leq d} \mathcal{M}_{ijk}(x) \varepsilon_{jk}, \\ \text{a.e. } x \in \Omega, \text{ for all } \varepsilon \in \mathbb{S}^d; \\ \text{(ii) } \mathcal{M}_{ijk} = \mathcal{M}_{ikj} \in L^\infty(\Omega). \end{array} \right. \quad (29)$$

The operator  $\mathcal{M}^* : \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^d$  is defined by

$$\varepsilon \cdot \mathcal{M}^*(x, w) = \mathcal{M}(x, \varepsilon) \cdot w \text{ for all } w \in \mathbb{R}^d, \text{ for all } \varepsilon \in \mathbb{S}^d, \text{ a.e. } x \in \Omega. \quad (30)$$

The operator  $\mathcal{S} = (\mathcal{S}_{ij}) : \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$  satisfies

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{(i) } \mathcal{S}(x, w)_i = \sum_{1 \leq j \leq d} \mathcal{S}_{ij}(x) w_j \text{ a.e. } x \in \Omega, \\ \text{for all } w = (w_j) \in \mathbb{R}^d; \\ \text{(ii) } \mathcal{S}_{ij} = \mathcal{S}_{ji} \in L^\infty(\Omega). \end{array} \right. \quad (31)$$

We assume that there exists  $m > 0$  such that

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \mathcal{C}(x, w_1) \cdot w_1 + 2\mathcal{S}(x, w_1) \cdot w_2 + \mathcal{Z}(x, w_2) \cdot w_2 \\ \geq m(|w_1|^2 + |w_2|^2) \text{ a.e. } x \in \Omega, \text{ for all } w_1, w_2 \in \mathbb{R}^d. \end{array} \right. \quad (32)$$

The nonlinear constitutive function  $\mathcal{G}_1 : \Omega \times \mathbb{S}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{S}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^d$  is assumed to satisfy the following

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{(i) There exists } L_{\mathcal{G}_1} > 0 \text{ such that} \\ |\mathcal{G}_1(x, \sigma_1, D_1, B_1, \varepsilon_1, w_1, v_1) - \mathcal{G}_1(x, \sigma_2, D_2, B_2, \varepsilon_2, w_2, v_2)| \leq \\ L_{\mathcal{G}_1} (|\sigma_1 - \sigma_2| + |D_1 - D_2| + |B_1 - B_2|) \\ + L_{\mathcal{G}_1} (|\varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_2| + |w_1 - w_2| + |v_1 - v_2|) \text{ a.e. } x \in \Omega, \\ \text{for all } \sigma_1, \varepsilon_1, \sigma_2, \varepsilon_2 \in \mathbb{S}^d \\ \text{and for all } D_1, B_1, w_1, v_1, D_2, B_2, w_2, v_2 \in \mathbb{R}^d; \\ \text{(ii) The mapping } x \mapsto \mathcal{G}_1(x, \sigma, D, B, \varepsilon, w, v) \text{ is Lebesgue} \\ \text{measurable on } \Omega \text{ for all } \sigma, \varepsilon \in \mathbb{S}^d \\ \text{and for all } D, B, w, v \in \mathbb{R}^d; \\ \text{(iii) The mapping } x \mapsto \mathcal{G}_1(x, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0) \in \mathcal{Q}. \end{array} \right. \quad (33)$$

The nonlinear constitutive function  $\mathcal{G}_i : \Omega \times \mathbb{S}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{S}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$  ( $i = 2, 3$ ) is assumed to satisfy the following

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{(i) There exists } L_{\mathcal{G}_i} > 0 \text{ such that} \\ |\mathcal{G}_i(x, \sigma_1, D_1, B_1, \varepsilon_1, w_1, v_1) - \mathcal{G}_i(x, \sigma_2, D_2, B_2, \varepsilon_2, w_2, v_2)| \leq \\ L_{\mathcal{G}_i} (|\sigma_1 - \sigma_2| + |D_1 - D_2| + |B_1 - B_2|) \\ + L_{\mathcal{G}_i} (|\varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_2| + |w_1 - w_2| + |v_1 - v_2|) \text{ a.e. } x \in \Omega, \\ \text{for all } \sigma_1, \varepsilon_1, \sigma_2, \varepsilon_2 \in \mathbb{S}^d \\ \text{and for all } D_1, B_1, w_1, v_1, D_2, B_2, w_2, v_2 \in \mathbb{R}^d; \\ \text{(ii) The mapping } x \mapsto \mathcal{G}_i(x, \sigma, D, B, \varepsilon, w, v) \text{ is Lebesgue} \\ \text{measurable on } \Omega \text{ for all } \sigma, \varepsilon \in \mathbb{S}^d \\ \text{and for all } D, B, w, v \in \mathbb{R}^d; \\ \text{(iii) The mapping } x \mapsto \mathcal{G}_i(x, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0) \in \mathbf{H}. \end{array} \right. \quad (34)$$

We assume that the function  $h_\tau : \Gamma_3 \times \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  satisfies

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{(i) The mapping } x \mapsto h_\tau(x, w) \text{ is Lebesgue measurable on } \Gamma_3, \\ \forall w \in \mathbb{R}^d; \\ \text{(ii) } \exists v_0 \in \mathbf{L}^2(\Gamma_3) \text{ such that } x \mapsto h_\tau(x, v_0(x)) \in L^1(\Gamma_3); \\ \text{(iii) } h_\tau(x, \cdot) \text{ is locally Lipschitz on } \mathbb{R}^d \text{ a.e. } x \in \Gamma_3; \\ \text{(iv) There exists } l_\tau > 0 \text{ such that} \\ |\partial h_\tau(x, w)| \leq l_\tau \text{ a.e. } x \in \Gamma_3, \text{ for all } w \in \mathbb{R}^d; \\ \text{(v) There exists } L_\tau \geq 0 \text{ such that} \\ h_\tau^\circ(x, w_1; w_2 - w_1) + h_\tau^\circ(x, w_2; w_1 - w_2) \leq L_\tau |w_1 - w_2|^2 \\ \text{a.e. } x \in \Gamma_3, \text{ for all } w_1, w_2 \in \mathbb{R}^d. \end{array} \right. \quad (35)$$

We assume that the contact function  $\mu : \Gamma_3 \times \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$  satisfies

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{(i) The mapping } x \mapsto \mu(x, r) \text{ is Lebesgue measurable on } \Gamma_3 \\ \text{for all } r \in \mathbb{R}_+; \\ \text{(ii) There exists } L_\mu > 0 \text{ such that;} \\ |\mu(x, r_1) - \mu(x, r_2)| \leq L_\mu |r_1 - r_2| \text{ for all } r_1, r_2 \in \mathbb{R}_+, \text{ a.e. } x \in \Gamma_3; \\ \text{(iii) There exists } l_\mu > 0 \text{ such that} \\ \mu(x, r) \leq l_\mu \text{ for all } r \in \mathbb{R}_+, \text{ a.e. } x \in \Gamma_3. \end{array} \right. \quad (36)$$

We assume that the initial data satisfy

$$(i) \ u_0 \in V, \ (ii) \ \varphi_0 \in W_{el}, \ (iii) \ \psi_0 \in W_{ma}, \quad (37)$$

$$(i) \ \sigma_0 \in \mathcal{Q}, \ (ii) \ D_0 \in \mathbf{H}, \ (iii) \ B_0 \in \mathbf{H}. \quad (38)$$

Finally, we assume that

$$(i) \ f_0 \in C(\mathbb{R}_+; \mathbf{H}), \ (ii) \ f_2 \in C(\mathbb{R}_+; \mathbf{L}^2(\Gamma_2)), \quad (39)$$

$$(i) \ q_0 \in C(\mathbb{R}_+; H), \ (ii) \ q_2 \in C(\mathbb{R}_+; L^2(\Gamma_2^{el})), \quad (40)$$

$$(i) \ p_0 \in C(\mathbb{R}_+; H), \ (ii) \ p_2 \in C(\mathbb{R}_+; L^2(\Gamma_2^{ma})). \quad (41)$$

Let  $f : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow V$ ,  $q : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow W_{el}$  and  $p : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow W_{ma}$  be functions defined by

$$(f(t), w)_V = \int_{\Omega} f_0(t) \cdot w dx + \int_{\Gamma_2} f_2(t) \cdot w da \text{ for all } w \in V, \quad (42)$$

$$(q(t), \phi)_{W_{el}} = \int_{\Omega} q_0(t) \phi dx - \int_{\Gamma_2^{el}} q_2(t) \phi da \text{ for all } \phi \in W_{el}, \quad (43)$$

$$(p(t), \vartheta)_{W_{ma}} = \int_{\Omega} p_0(t) \vartheta dx - \int_{\Gamma_2^{ma}} p_2(t) \vartheta da \text{ for all } \vartheta \in W_{ma}. \quad (44)$$

Using (39)-(41), we get

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} (i) \ f \in C(\mathbb{R}_+; V), \ (ii) \ q \in C(\mathbb{R}_+; W_{el}), \\ (i) \ p \in C(\mathbb{R}_+; W_{ma}). \end{array} \right. \quad (45)$$

Under the assumptions (24)-(32), there are  $L_A > 0$ ,  $L_C > 0$ ,  $L_Z > 0$ ,  $L_S > 0$ ,  $L_{\mathcal{E}} > 0$  and  $L_{\mathcal{M}} > 0$  such that

$$\|\mathcal{A}\varepsilon\|_{\mathcal{Q}} \leq L_A \|\varepsilon\|_{\mathcal{Q}} \text{ for all } \varepsilon \in \mathcal{Q}, \quad (46)$$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} (i) \ \|\mathcal{C}w\|_{\mathbf{H}} \leq L_C \|w\|_{\mathbf{H}}, \ (ii) \ \|\mathcal{Z}w\|_{\mathbf{H}} \leq L_Z \|w\|_{\mathbf{H}}, \\ (iii) \ \|\mathcal{S}w\|_{\mathbf{H}} \leq L_S \|w\|_{\mathbf{H}} \text{ for all } w \in \mathbf{H}, \end{array} \right. \quad (47)$$

$$(i) \ \|\mathcal{E}\varepsilon\|_{\mathbf{H}} \leq L_{\mathcal{E}} \|\varepsilon\|_{\mathcal{Q}}, \ (ii) \ \|\mathcal{M}\varepsilon\|_{\mathbf{H}} \leq L_{\mathcal{M}} \|\varepsilon\|_{\mathcal{Q}} \text{ for all } \varepsilon \in \mathcal{Q}, \quad (48)$$

$$(i) \ \|\mathcal{E}^*w\|_{\mathcal{Q}} \leq L_{\mathcal{E}} \|w\|_{\mathbf{H}}, \ (ii) \ \|\mathcal{M}^*w\|_{\mathcal{Q}} \leq L_{\mathcal{M}} \|w\|_{\mathbf{H}} \text{ for all } w \in \mathbf{H}. \quad (49)$$

Moreover, we have

$$(\mathcal{A}\varepsilon, \varepsilon)_{\mathcal{Q}} \geq m_A \|\varepsilon\|_{\mathcal{Q}}^2 \text{ for all } \varepsilon \in \mathcal{Q}, \quad (50)$$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} (\mathcal{C}w_1, w_1)_{\mathbf{H}} + 2(\mathcal{S}w_1, w_2)_{\mathbf{H}} + (\mathcal{Z}w_2, w_2)_{\mathbf{H}} \\ \geq m (\|w_1\|_{\mathbf{H}}^2 + \|w_2\|_{\mathbf{H}}^2) \text{ for all } w_1, w_2 \in \mathbf{H}, \end{array} \right. \quad (51)$$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} (i) \ (\mathcal{E}^*w, \varepsilon)_{\mathcal{Q}} = (\mathcal{E}\varepsilon, w)_{\mathbf{H}}, \ (ii) \ (\mathcal{M}^*w, \varepsilon)_{\mathcal{Q}} = (\mathcal{M}\varepsilon, w)_{\mathbf{H}} \\ \text{for all } (w, \varepsilon) \in \mathbf{H} \times \mathcal{Q}. \end{array} \right. \quad (52)$$

Let  $\gamma : V \rightarrow \mathbf{L}^2(\Gamma_3)$  be the trace map. Then, by the Sobolev trace theorem, there is a positive constant  $c_0$  depending only on the domain  $\Omega$ ,  $\Gamma_1$  and  $\Gamma_3$  such that

$$\|\gamma w\|_{\mathbf{L}^2(\Gamma_3)} \leq c_0 \|w\|_V \text{ for all } w \in V. \quad (53)$$

Define the operator  $\gamma^* : \mathbf{L}^2(\Gamma_3) \rightarrow V^*$  by

$$\langle \gamma^* \zeta, w \rangle_{V^* \times V} = (\zeta, \gamma w)_{\mathbf{L}^2(\Gamma_3)} \text{ for all } (\zeta, w) \in \mathbf{L}^2(\Gamma_3) \times V. \quad (54)$$

We note that  $\gamma$  and  $\gamma^*$  are continuous linear operators. In the sequel, we use the functional  $\mathcal{R} : V \times V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  defined by

$$\mathcal{R}(w, v) = \int_{\Gamma_3} \mu (|\gamma w_{\tau}|) h_{\tau}^{\circ}(\gamma w_{\tau}; \gamma v_{\tau}) da \text{ for all } w, v \in V. \quad (55)$$

It follows from Proposition 2, (35), (36), (53) and (55) that

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \mathcal{R}(w, v - w) + \mathcal{R}(v, w - v) \leq c_0^2 (l_{\mu} L_{\tau} + l_{\tau} L_{\mu}) \|w - v\|_V^2 \\ \text{for all } w, v \in V. \end{array} \right. \quad (56)$$

Assume  $u$ ,  $\varphi$ ,  $\psi$ ,  $\sigma$ ,  $D$  and  $B$  are smooth functions satisfying (1)-(18) and use integration by parts to obtain the following variational formulation.

**Problem 5** Find a displacement field  $u : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow V$ , a stress field  $\sigma : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}$ , an electric potential field  $\varphi : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow W_{el}$ , an electric displacement field  $D : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbf{H}$ , a magnetic potential field  $\psi : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow W_{ma}$  and a magnetic induction field  $B : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbf{H}$  such that for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma(t) &= \sigma_0 - \mathcal{A}\varepsilon(u_0) - \mathcal{E}^*\nabla\varphi_0 - \mathcal{M}^*\nabla\psi_0 + \mathcal{A}\varepsilon(u(t)) + \mathcal{E}^*\nabla\varphi(t) + \mathcal{M}^*\nabla\psi(t) \\ &\quad + \int_0^t \mathcal{G}_1(\sigma(s), D(s), B(s), \varepsilon(u(s)), \nabla\varphi(s), \nabla\psi(s)) ds, \end{aligned} \quad (57)$$

$$\begin{aligned} D(t) &= D_0 - \mathcal{E}\varepsilon(u_0) + \mathcal{C}\nabla\varphi_0 + \mathcal{S}\nabla\psi_0 + \mathcal{E}\varepsilon(u(t)) - \mathcal{C}\nabla\varphi(t) - \mathcal{S}\nabla\psi(t) \\ &\quad + \int_0^t \mathcal{G}_2(\sigma(s), D(s), B(s), \varepsilon(u(s)), \nabla\varphi(s), \nabla\psi(s)) ds, \end{aligned} \quad (58)$$

$$\begin{aligned} B(t) &= B_0 - \mathcal{M}\varepsilon(u_0) + \mathcal{S}\nabla\varphi_0 + \mathcal{Z}\nabla\psi_0 + \mathcal{M}\varepsilon(u(t)) - \mathcal{S}\nabla\varphi(t) - \mathcal{Z}\nabla\psi(t) \\ &\quad + \int_0^t \mathcal{G}_3(\sigma(s), D(s), B(s), \varepsilon(u(s)), \nabla\varphi(s), \nabla\psi(s)) ds, \end{aligned} \quad (59)$$

$$\begin{cases} u(t) \in U, (\sigma(t), \varepsilon(w - u(t)))_{\mathcal{Q}} + \mathcal{R}(u(t), w - u(t)) \\ \geq (f(t), w - u(t))_V \text{ for all } w \in U, \\ - (D(t), \nabla\phi)_{\mathbf{H}} - (B(t), \nabla\vartheta)_{\mathbf{H}} = (q(t), \phi)_{W_{el}} \\ + (p(t), \vartheta)_{W_{ma}} \text{ for all } (\phi, \vartheta) \in W. \end{cases} \quad (60)$$

$$(i) u(0) = u_0, (ii) \varphi(0) = \varphi_0, (iii) \psi(0) = \psi_0, \quad (61)$$

$$(i) \sigma(0) = \sigma_0, (ii) D(0) = D_0, (iii) B(0) = B_0. \quad (62)$$

We conclude this section with some comments on assumptions (24)-(41) and (63). First, we note that the function  $h_\tau$  given by (19) satisfies (35) with  $(l_\tau, L_\tau) = (1, 1)$  (see [2, 18]). Second, the functions  $\mu$  defined by (20) satisfies (36) with  $(L_\mu, l_\mu) = ((\delta_1 - \delta_2)\delta_3, \delta_1)$ . Finally, the conditions (63) are formulated for mathematical reasons; otherwise, the results obtained in Theorem 6 might be incorrect. For example, if we consider the case in which the function  $h_\tau$  is defined by (19), and if the parameters of the function  $\mu$  are small enough to satisfy the following inequality

$$l_\mu + L_\mu < \frac{m_{\mathcal{A}}}{c_0^2},$$

then Theorem 6 holds.

## 4 Existence and uniqueness results

The following theorem is the main result of this paper.

**Theorem 6** *Assume (24)-(41) and, in addition, assume the smallness condition*

$$l_\mu L_\tau + l_\tau L_\mu < \frac{m_A}{c_0^2}. \quad (63)$$

*Then, Problem (57)-(62) has a unique solution which satisfies*

$$(i) \ u \in C(\mathbb{R}_+; V), \quad (ii) \ \varphi \in C(\mathbb{R}_+; W_{el}), \quad (iii) \ \psi \in C(\mathbb{R}_+; W_{ma}), \quad (64)$$

$$(i) \ \sigma \in C(\mathbb{R}_+; \mathcal{H}), \quad (ii) \ D \in C(\mathbb{R}_+; \mathcal{W}), \quad (iii) \ B \in C(\mathbb{R}_+; \mathcal{W}). \quad (65)$$

We will divide the proof into several steps.

**Step 1.** Let  $\mathcal{K} : W \rightarrow W$ ,  $\mathcal{F} : W \rightarrow V$  and  $\mathcal{N} : V \rightarrow W$  be linear operators defined by

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} (\mathcal{K}(\varphi, \psi), (\phi, \vartheta))_W = (\mathcal{C}\nabla\varphi, \nabla\phi)_\mathbf{H} + \\ (\mathcal{Z}\nabla\psi, \nabla\vartheta)_\mathbf{H} + (\mathcal{S}\nabla\varphi, \nabla\vartheta)_\mathbf{H} + (\mathcal{S}\nabla\psi, \nabla\phi)_\mathbf{H}, \\ \text{for all } (\varphi, \psi), (\phi, \vartheta) \in W, \end{array} \right. \quad (66)$$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} (\mathcal{F}(\phi, \vartheta), w)_V = (\mathcal{E}^*\nabla\phi, \varepsilon(w))_\mathcal{Q} + (\mathcal{M}^*\nabla\vartheta, \varepsilon(w))_\mathcal{Q}, \\ \text{for all } w \in V, \text{ for all } (\phi, \vartheta) \in W, \end{array} \right. \quad (67)$$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} (\mathcal{N}w, (\phi, \vartheta))_W = (\mathcal{E}\varepsilon(w), \nabla\phi)_\mathbf{H} + (\mathcal{M}\varepsilon(w), \nabla\vartheta)_\mathbf{H}, \\ \text{for all } w \in V, \text{ for all } (\phi, \vartheta) \in W. \end{array} \right. \quad (68)$$

It is easy to show that  $\mathcal{K}$  is a bijection. Moreover, the operator  $A : V \rightarrow V^*$  defined by

$$\langle Aw, v \rangle_{V^* \times V} = (\mathcal{A}\varepsilon(w), \varepsilon(v))_\mathcal{Q} + (\mathcal{F}\mathcal{K}^{-1}\mathcal{N}w, v)_V \text{ for all } w, v \in V, \quad (69)$$

satisfies

$$\|Aw\|_{V^*} \leq L_A \|w\|_V \text{ for all } w \in V, \quad (70)$$

with  $L_A > 0$ ;

$$m_{\mathcal{A}} \|w\|_V^2 \leq \langle Aw, w \rangle_{V^* \times V} \text{ for all } w \in V. \quad (71)$$

Let  $\Pi : V \rightarrow 2^{V^*}$  be the operator defined by

$$\Pi w = \{Aw\} \text{ for all } w \in V. \quad (72)$$

**Lemma 7** *The operator  $\Pi$  is bounded and pseudomonotone.*

**Proof.** The proof can be obtained using arguments similar to those used in [11, proof of Lemma 17]. ■

**Step 2.** Let  $j_\tau : \mathbf{L}^2(\Gamma_3) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a functional defined by

$$j_\tau(w) = \int_{\Gamma_3} h_\tau(w) da \text{ for all } w \in \mathbf{L}^2(\Gamma_3).$$

From (35), using Aubin–Clarke theorem (see [6, Theorem 2.181]), it follows that  $j_\tau$  is a locally Lipschitz function satisfying

$$\partial j_\tau(w_\tau) \subset \left\{ \varrho \in \mathbf{L}^2(\Gamma_3), \varrho \in \partial h_\tau(w_\tau) \text{ for a.e } x \in \Gamma_3 \right\} \quad (73)$$

for all  $w \in \mathbf{L}^2(\Gamma_3)$ . Let  $w \in \mathbf{L}^2(\Gamma_3)$  and let  $\varrho \in \partial j_\tau(w_\tau)$ . Due to (35)-(36), the following mapping  $j_{(w,\varrho)} : \mathbf{L}^2(\Gamma_3) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  defined by

$$j_{(w,\varrho)}(v) = \int_{\Gamma_3} \mu(|w_\tau|) \varrho \cdot v_\tau da \text{ for all } v \in \mathbf{L}^2(\Gamma_3) \quad (74)$$

is a continuous linear functional. Let  $J : \mathbf{L}^2(\Gamma_3) \rightarrow 2^{\mathbf{L}^2(\Gamma_3)}$  and  $\Theta : V \rightarrow 2^{V^*}$  be multivalued operators defined by

$$J(w) = \left\{ \zeta \in \mathbf{L}^2(\Gamma_3) \mid \exists \varrho \in \partial j_\tau(w_\tau) : (\zeta, v)_{\mathbf{Y}} = j_{(w,\varrho)}(v) \text{ for all } v \in \mathbf{L}^2(\Gamma_3) \right\} \quad (75)$$

for all  $w \in \mathbf{L}^2(\Gamma_3)$ .

$$\Theta(w) = \gamma^* J(\gamma w) \text{ for all } w \in V. \quad (76)$$

We have the following result.

**Lemma 8** *The operator  $\Theta$  is bounded and pseudomonotone.*

**Proof.** The proof can be obtained using arguments similar to those used in [11, proof of Lemma 18]. ■

**Step 3.** Let  $\mathcal{X} = \mathcal{Q} \times \mathbf{H} \times \mathbf{H} \times V \times W_{el} \times W_{ma}$ . Then, for all  $\eta \in C(\mathbb{R}_+; \mathcal{X})$  there are  $\eta_1 \in C(\mathbb{R}_+; \mathcal{Q})$ ,  $\eta_2 \in C(\mathbb{R}_+; \mathbf{H})$ ,  $\eta_3 \in C(\mathbb{R}_+; \mathbf{H})$ ,  $\eta_4 \in C(\mathbb{R}_+; V)$ ,  $\eta_5 \in C(\mathbb{R}_+; W_{el})$  and  $\eta_6 \in C(\mathbb{R}_+; W_{ma})$  such that  $\eta(t) = (\eta_i(t))_{1 \leq i \leq 6}$ ,  $\forall t \in \mathbb{R}_+$ . Let  $b_\eta \in C(\mathbb{R}_+; W)$  and  $f_\eta \in C(\mathbb{R}_+; V^*)$  be defined by

$$\begin{cases} (b_\eta(t), (\phi, \vartheta))_W = (q(t), \phi)_{W_{el}} + (p(t), \vartheta)_{W_{ma}} \\ + (I_2\eta(t), \nabla\phi)_{\mathbf{H}} + (I_3\eta(t), \nabla\vartheta)_{\mathbf{H}} \\ \text{for all } (\phi, \vartheta) \in W, \text{ for all } t \in \mathbb{R}_+, \end{cases} \quad (77)$$

$$\begin{cases} \langle f_\eta(t), w \rangle_{V^* \times V} = (f(t) - \mathcal{F}\mathcal{K}^{-1}b_\eta(t), w)_V + \\ - (I_1\eta(t), \varepsilon(w))_{\mathcal{Q}} \text{ for all } w \in V, \text{ for all } t \in \mathbb{R}_+, \end{cases} \quad (78)$$

where

$$\begin{cases} I_1\eta(t) = \sigma_0 - \mathcal{A}\varepsilon(u_0) - \mathcal{E}^*\nabla\varphi_0 - \mathcal{M}^*\nabla\psi_0 \\ + \int_0^t \mathcal{G}_1(\eta_1(s), \eta_2(s), \eta_3(s), \varepsilon(\eta_4(s)), \nabla\eta_5(s), \nabla\eta_6(s)) ds. \end{cases} \quad (79)$$

$$\begin{cases} I_2\eta(t) = D_0 - \mathcal{E}\varepsilon(u_0) + \mathcal{C}\nabla\varphi_0 + \mathcal{S}\nabla\psi_0 \\ + \int_0^t \mathcal{G}_2(\eta_1(s), \eta_2(s), \eta_3(s), \varepsilon(\eta_4(s)), \nabla\eta_5(s), \nabla\eta_6(s)) ds, \end{cases} \quad (80)$$

$$\begin{cases} I_3\eta(t) = B_0 - \mathcal{M}\varepsilon(u_0) + \mathcal{S}\nabla\varphi_0 + \mathcal{Z}\nabla\psi_0 \\ + \int_0^t \mathcal{G}_3(\eta_1(s), \eta_2(s), \eta_3(s), \varepsilon(\eta_4(s)), \nabla\eta_5(s), \nabla\eta_6(s)) ds. \end{cases} \quad (81)$$

Let  $I_U : V \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$  be the indicator function of the set  $U$ , that is

$$I_U(w) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } w \in U, \\ +\infty & \text{if } w \notin U, \end{cases}$$

and let  $\partial I_U$  be its subdifferential in the sense of convex analysis. Consider the following auxiliary problem.

**Problem 9** Let  $\eta \in C(\mathbb{R}_+; \mathcal{X})$ . Find a function  $u_\eta : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow V$  such that

$$\begin{cases} u_\eta(t) \in U, \\ f_\eta(t) \in Au_\eta(t) + \gamma^*J(\gamma u_\eta(t)) + \partial I_U(u_\eta(t)) \text{ for all } t \in \mathbb{R}_+. \end{cases} \quad (82)$$

**Lemma 10** *Assume that (24)-(41) and (63) are fulfilled. Then, Problem (82) has a solution.*

**Proof.** Since  $U$  is a nonempty, closed and convex subset of  $V$ , it is known that  $I_U$  is a proper, convex and lower semicontinuous function on  $V$ . Therefore, the operator  $\partial I_U: V \rightarrow 2^{V^*}$  is maximal monotone see [8, Theorem 1.3.19]. Moreover, we have  $U = \text{Dom}(\partial I_U)$  and, therefore,  $0_V \in \text{Dom}(\partial I_U)$ . On the other hand, let  $\Lambda: V \rightarrow 2^{V^*}$  be a multivalued operator defined by

$$\Lambda(w) = \Pi(w) + \gamma^* J(\gamma w) \text{ for all } w \in V. \quad (83)$$

It follows from Lemma 7, Lemma 8 and [8, Proposition 1.3.68] that  $\Lambda$  is a bounded pseudomonotone operator. We now show the coercivity of  $\Lambda$ . Let  $(w, w^*) \in \text{Gra}(\Lambda)$ . So, there is an element  $\zeta \in J(\gamma w)$  such that

$$w^* = Aw + \gamma^* \zeta. \quad (84)$$

Let  $v \in V$ , let  $\xi \in J(\gamma v)$ . From (55)-(56) and (73)-(75), we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} (\zeta - \xi, \gamma v - \gamma w)_{\mathbf{Y}} &\leq \int_{\Gamma_3} \mu(|\gamma w_\tau|) h_\tau^\circ(\gamma w_\tau; \gamma v_\tau - \gamma w_\tau) da \\ &\quad + \int_{\Gamma_3} \mu(|\gamma v_\tau|) h_\tau^\circ(\gamma v_\tau; \gamma w_\tau - \gamma v_\tau) da \\ &\leq c_0^2 (l_\mu L_\tau + l_\tau L_\mu) \|w - v\|_V^2, \end{aligned}$$

which gives

$$\langle \gamma^* \zeta - \gamma^* \xi, w - v \rangle_{V^* \times V} \geq -c_0^2 (l_\mu L_\tau + l_\tau L_\mu) \|w - v\|_V^2. \quad (85)$$

Using (84), we obtain

$$\langle w^*, w \rangle_{V^* \times V} = \langle Aw, w \rangle_{V^* \times V} + \langle \gamma^* \zeta - \gamma^* \xi, w \rangle_{V^* \times V} + \langle \gamma^* \xi, w \rangle_{V^* \times V}. \quad (86)$$

Let  $v = 0_V$ . Taking into account (35)-(iv), (53), (71), (85) and (86), we get

$$\langle w^*, w \rangle_{V^* \times V} \geq (m_{\mathcal{A}} - c_0^2 (l_\mu L_\tau + l_\tau L_\mu)) \|w\|_V^2 - \alpha_3 \|w\|_V,$$

with  $\alpha_3 > 0$ . Therefore, it is sufficient to use the function  $\kappa: \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  defined by

$$\kappa(s) = (m_{\mathcal{A}} - c_0^2 (l_\mu L_\tau + l_\tau L_\mu)) s - \alpha_3$$

to conclude that  $\Lambda$  is a coercive operator. We apply now Proposition 1 to obtain a solution to Problem (82). This completes the proof of the lemma.

■

Consider the following problem.

**Problem 11** *Let  $\eta \in C(\mathbb{R}_+; \mathcal{X})$ . Find a function  $u_\eta : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow V$  such that*

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} u_\eta(t) \in U, \\ \langle Au_\eta(t), w - u_\eta(t) \rangle_{V^* \times V} \\ + \int_{\Gamma_3} \mu(|\gamma u_{\eta\tau}(t)|) h_\tau^\circ(\gamma u_{\eta\tau}(t); \gamma w_\tau - \gamma u_{\eta\tau}(t)) da \\ \geq \langle f_\eta(t), w - u_\eta(t) \rangle_{V^* \times V} \text{ for all } w \in U, \text{ for all } t \in \mathbb{R}_+. \end{array} \right. \quad (87)$$

**Lemma 12** *Problem (87) has a unique solution  $u_\eta \in C(\mathbb{R}_+; V)$ .*

**Proof.** From Lemma 10, there exists two functions  $u_\eta : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow V$  and  $\zeta_\eta : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$  such that

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} (u_\eta(t), \zeta_\eta(t)) \in U \times J(\gamma u_\eta(t)), \\ \langle Au_\eta(t), w - u_\eta(t) \rangle_{V^* \times V} + \langle \gamma^* \zeta_\eta(t), w - u_\eta(t) \rangle_{V^* \times V} \\ \geq \langle f_\eta(t), w - u_\eta(t) \rangle_{V^* \times V} \text{ for all } w \in U, \text{ for all } t \in \mathbb{R}_+. \end{array} \right. \quad (88)$$

Using (74)-(75), we have for all  $w \in U$

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \gamma^* \zeta_\eta(t), w - u_\eta(t) \rangle_{V^* \times V} &= (\zeta_\eta(t), \gamma w - \gamma u_\eta(t))_{\mathbf{Y}} \\ &= \int_{\Gamma_3} \mu(|\gamma u_{\eta\tau}(t)|) \varrho_\eta(t) \cdot (\gamma w_\tau - \gamma u_{\eta\tau}(t)) da, \end{aligned}$$

with  $\varrho_\eta(t) \in \partial j_\tau(\gamma u_{\eta\tau}(t))$ . Therefore, it follows from (73) that

$$\langle \gamma^* \zeta_\eta(t), w - u_\eta(t) \rangle_{V^* \times V} \leq \int_{\Gamma_3} \mu(|\gamma u_{\eta\tau}(t)|) h_\tau^\circ(\gamma u_{\eta\tau}(t); \gamma w_\tau - \gamma u_{\eta\tau}(t)) da,$$

which, together with (88), implies that Problem (87) has a solution. Let  $t_1, t_2 \in \mathbb{R}_+$ . Using (87) with  $(u_\eta(t), w) = (u_\eta(t_1), u_\eta(t_2))$  and  $(u_\eta(t), w) = (u_\eta(t_2), u_\eta(t_1))$ , adding side-by-side and taking into account (55) and (71), we get

$$\begin{cases} m_{\mathcal{A}} \|u_\eta(t_1) - u_\eta(t_2)\|_V^2 \leq \mathcal{R}(u_\eta(t_1), u_\eta(t_2) - u_\eta(t_1)) \\ + \mathcal{R}(u_\eta(t_2), u_\eta(t_1) - u_\eta(t_2)) + \langle f_\eta(t_1) - f_\eta(t_2), u_\eta(t_1) - u_\eta(t_2) \rangle_{V^* \times V}, \end{cases}$$

which with (56) and (63) gives

$$\|u_\eta(t_1) - u_\eta(t_2)\|_V \leq \frac{1}{m_{\mathcal{A}} - c_0^2(l_\mu L_\tau + l_\tau L_\mu)} \|f_\eta(t_1) - f_\eta(t_2)\|_{V^*}.$$

Therefore,  $u_\eta \in C(\mathbb{R}_+; V)$ . Let  $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$ , let  $u_1$  and  $u_2$  be two solutions to problem (87). Using (87) with  $(u_\eta(t), w) = (u_1(t), u_2(t))$  and  $(u_\eta(t), w) = (u_2(t), u_1(t))$ , adding side-by-side and taking into account (55) and (71), we get

$$m_{\mathcal{A}} \|u_1(t) - u_2(t)\|_V^2 \leq \mathcal{R}(u_1(t), u_2(t) - u_1(t)) + \mathcal{R}(u_2(t), u_1(t) - u_2(t)),$$

which with (56) and (63) gives

$$\|u_1(t) - u_2(t)\|_V \leq 0 \text{ for all } t \in \mathbb{R}_+.$$

It follows that  $u_1 = u_2$ . This completes the proof of the lemma.  $\blacksquare$

**Step 4.** In the rest of this paper, the same letter  $c$  will be used to denote different positive constants which do not depend on  $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$ . Let  $u_\eta \in C(\mathbb{R}_+; V)$  be the unique solution to Problem (87). Let  $\varphi_\eta \in C(\mathbb{R}_+; W_{el})$ ,  $\psi_\eta \in C(\mathbb{R}_+; W_{ma})$ ,  $\sigma_\eta \in C(\mathbb{R}_+; \mathcal{Q})$ ,  $D_\eta \in C(\mathbb{R}_+; \mathbf{H})$  and  $B_\eta \in C(\mathbb{R}_+; \mathbf{H})$  be defined, for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$ , by

$$(\varphi_\eta(t), \psi_\eta(t)) = \mathcal{K}^{-1} \mathcal{N} u_\eta(t) + \mathcal{K}^{-1} b_\eta(t), \quad (89)$$

$$\sigma_\eta(t) = \mathcal{A} \varepsilon(u_\eta(t)) + \mathcal{E}^* \nabla \varphi_\eta(t) + \mathcal{M}^* \nabla \psi_\eta(t) + I_1 \eta(t), \quad (90)$$

$$D_\eta(t) = \mathcal{E} \varepsilon(u_\eta(t)) - \mathcal{C} \nabla \varphi_\eta(t) - \mathcal{S} \nabla \psi_\eta(t) + I_2 \eta(t), \quad (91)$$

$$B_\eta(t) = \mathcal{M} \varepsilon(u_\eta(t)) - \mathcal{S} \nabla \varphi_\eta(t) - \mathcal{Z} \nabla \psi_\eta(t) + I_3 \eta(t). \quad (92)$$

Using (67) and (89), we obtain

$$\begin{cases} (\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{K}^{-1} \mathcal{N} u_\eta(t)) + \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{K}^{-1} b_\eta(t)), w)_V = (\mathcal{E}^* \nabla \varphi_\eta(t), \varepsilon(w))_{\mathcal{Q}} \\ + (\mathcal{M}^* \nabla \psi_\eta(t), \varepsilon(w))_{\mathcal{Q}} \text{ for all } w \in V, \text{ for all } t \in \mathbb{R}_+. \end{cases} \quad (93)$$

Taking into account (55), (69), (78), (87) and (93), we infer that

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} (\mathcal{A}\varepsilon(u_\eta(t)), \varepsilon(w - u_\eta(t)))_{\mathcal{Q}} + (\mathcal{E}^*\nabla\varphi_\eta(t), \varepsilon(w - u_\eta(t)))_{\mathcal{Q}} \\ \quad + (\mathcal{M}^*\nabla\psi_\eta(t), \varepsilon(w - u_\eta(t)))_{\mathcal{Q}} \\ \quad + (I_1\eta(t), \varepsilon(w - u_\eta(t)))_{\mathcal{Q}} + \mathcal{R}(u_\eta(t), w - u_\eta(t)) \\ \geq (f(t), w - u_\eta(t))_V \text{ for all } w \in U, \text{ for all } t \in \mathbb{R}_+. \end{array} \right. \quad (94)$$

On the other hand, using (66), (68), (77) and (89), we find that

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} (\mathcal{C}\nabla\varphi_\eta(t), \nabla\phi)_{\mathbf{H}} + (\mathcal{Z}\nabla\psi_\eta(t), \nabla\vartheta)_{\mathbf{H}} + (\mathcal{S}\nabla\psi_\eta(t), \nabla\phi)_{\mathbf{H}} \\ \quad + (\mathcal{S}\nabla\varphi_\eta(t), \nabla\vartheta)_{\mathbf{H}} = (\mathcal{E}\varepsilon(u_\eta(t)), \nabla\phi)_{\mathbf{H}} \\ \quad + (\mathcal{M}\varepsilon(u_\eta(t)), \nabla\vartheta)_{\mathbf{H}} + (q(t), \phi)_{W_{el}} + (p(t), \vartheta)_{W_{ma}} \\ \quad + (I_2\eta(t), \nabla\phi)_{\mathbf{H}} + (I_3\eta(t), \nabla\vartheta)_{\mathbf{H}} \\ \text{for all } (\phi, \vartheta) \in W, \text{ for all } t \in \mathbb{R}_+. \end{array} \right. \quad (95)$$

Define the operator  $\Phi : C(\mathbb{R}_+; \mathcal{X}) \rightarrow C(\mathbb{R}_+; \mathcal{X})$  by

$$\Phi\eta(t) = (\sigma_\eta(t), D_\eta(t), B_\eta(t), u_\eta(t), \varphi_\eta(t), \psi_\eta(t)) \quad (96)$$

for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$ , for all  $\eta \in C(\mathbb{R}_+; \mathcal{X})$ . We have the following result.

**Lemma 13** *The operator  $\Phi$  has a unique fixed point.*

**Proof.** Let  $\eta, \theta \in C(\mathbb{R}_+; \mathcal{X})$ . Then, using (94)-(95) with

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{(i)} \quad (u_\eta(t), \varphi_\eta(t), \psi_\eta(t), w, \phi, \vartheta) \\ = (u_\eta(t), \varphi_\eta(t), \psi_\eta(t), u_\theta(t), \varphi_\theta(t) - \varphi_\eta(t), \psi_\theta(t) - \psi_\eta(t)) \\ \text{(ii)} \quad (u_\eta(t), \varphi_\eta(t), \psi_\eta(t), w, \phi, \vartheta) \\ = (u_\theta(t), \varphi_\theta(t), \psi_\theta(t), u_\eta(t), \varphi_\eta(t) - \varphi_\theta(t), \psi_\eta(t) - \psi_\theta(t)), \end{array} \right. ,$$

adding side-by-side and taking into account (21), (22), (23), (33), (34), (50), (51), (52), (79), (80) and (81), we get

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} m_{\mathcal{A}} \|u_{\eta}(t) - u_{\theta}(t)\|_V^2 + m \|\varphi_{\eta}(t) - \varphi_{\theta}(t)\|_{W_{el}}^2 \\ + m \|\psi_{\eta}(t) - \psi_{\theta}(t)\|_{W_{ma}}^2 \leq c \int_0^t \|\eta(s) - \theta(s)\|_{\mathcal{X}} ds \times \|u_{\eta}(t) - u_{\theta}(t)\|_V \\ + c \int_0^t \|\eta(s) - \theta(s)\|_{\mathcal{X}} ds \times \|\varphi_{\eta}(t) - \varphi_{\theta}(t)\|_{W_{el}} \\ + c \int_0^t \|\eta(s) - \theta(s)\|_{\mathcal{X}} ds \times \|\psi_{\eta}(t) - \psi_{\theta}(t)\|_{W_{ma}} \\ + \mathcal{R}(u_{\eta}(t), u_{\theta}(t) - u_{\eta}(t)) + \mathcal{R}(u_{\theta}(t), u_{\eta}(t) - u_{\theta}(t)), \end{array} \right.$$

which with (90)-(92), (56) and (63) gives

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \|\sigma_{\eta}(t) - \sigma_{\theta}(t)\|_{\mathcal{Q}}^2 + \|D_{\eta}(t) - D_{\theta}(t)\|_{\mathbf{H}}^2 + \|B_{\eta}(t) - B_{\theta}(t)\|_{\mathbf{H}}^2 \\ + \|u_{\eta}(t) - u_{\theta}(t)\|_V^2 + \|\varphi_{\eta}(t) - \varphi_{\theta}(t)\|_{W_{el}}^2 \\ + \|\psi_{\eta}(t) - \psi_{\theta}(t)\|_{W_{ma}}^2 \leq c \int_0^t \|\eta(s) - \theta(s)\|_{\mathcal{X}}^2 ds. \end{array} \right. \quad (97)$$

It follows from (96) that

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \|\Phi\eta(t) - \Phi\theta(t)\|_{\mathcal{X}}^2 = \|\sigma_{\eta}(t) - \sigma_{\theta}(t)\|_{\mathcal{Q}}^2 + \|D_{\eta}(t) - D_{\theta}(t)\|_{\mathbf{H}}^2 \\ + \|B_{\eta}(t) - B_{\theta}(t)\|_{\mathbf{H}}^2 + \|u_{\eta}(t) - u_{\theta}(t)\|_V^2 + \|\varphi_{\eta}(t) - \varphi_{\theta}(t)\|_{W_{el}}^2 \\ + \|\psi_{\eta}(t) - \psi_{\theta}(t)\|_{W_{ma}}^2 \quad \text{for all } t \in \mathbb{R}_+, \end{array} \right.$$

which, due to (97), implies that

$$\|\Phi\eta(t) - \Phi\theta(t)\|_{\mathcal{X}}^2 \leq c \int_0^t \|\eta(s) - \theta(s)\|_{\mathcal{X}}^2 ds \quad \text{for all } t \in \mathbb{R}_+.$$

Therefore, the operator  $\Phi$  has a unique fixed point  $\eta^*$  which satisfies

$$\eta^*(t) = (\sigma_{\eta^*}(t), D_{\eta^*}(t), B_{\eta^*}(t), u_{\eta^*}(t), \varphi_{\eta^*}(t), \psi_{\eta^*}(t))$$

for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$  (cf. Theorem 2.1 of [26]). This completes the proof of the lemma. ■

**Step 5.** We have all the ingredients to prove Theorem 6. Let  $\eta^* \in C(\mathbb{R}_+; \mathcal{X})$  be the fixed point of  $\Phi$  defined by (96), let  $u = u_{\eta^*}$  be the unique solution to Problem (87). Let  $\varphi = \varphi_{\eta^*}$ ,  $\psi = \psi_{\eta^*}$ ,  $\sigma = \sigma_{\eta^*}$ ,  $D = D_{\eta^*}$  and  $B = B_{\eta^*}$  be defined by (89)-(92). Taking into account (94), (95), (79), (80) and (81), we conclude that  $(\sigma, D, B, u, \varphi, \psi)$  is a solution to Problem (57)-(62) such that  $(u, \varphi, \psi)$  satisfies (64) and  $(\sigma, D, B)$  satisfies

$$\begin{cases} (i) \ \sigma \in C(\mathbb{R}_+; \mathcal{Q}), & (ii) \ D \in C(\mathbb{R}_+; \mathbf{H}), \\ & (iii) \ B \in C(\mathbb{R}_+; \mathbf{H}). \end{cases} \quad (98)$$

For the uniqueness of the solution, we suppose that (24)-(41) and (63) are fulfilled. Let  $(\sigma_1, D_1, B_1, u_1, \varphi_1, \psi_1)$  and  $(\sigma_2, D_2, B_2, u_2, \varphi_2, \psi_2)$  be two solutions. Then, using (57)-(62) with

$$\begin{cases} (i) \ (\sigma(t), D(t), B(t), u(t), \varphi(t), \psi(t), w, \phi, \vartheta) \\ = (\sigma_1(t), D_1(t), B_1(t), u_1(t), \varphi_1(t), \psi_1(t), u_2(t), \varphi_2(t) - \varphi_1(t), \psi_2(t) - \psi_1(t)), \\ \\ (ii) \ (\sigma(t), D(t), B(t), u(t), \varphi(t), \psi(t), w, \phi, \vartheta) \\ = (\sigma_2(t), D_2(t), B_2(t), u_2(t), \varphi_2(t), \psi_2(t), u_1(t), \varphi_1(t) - \varphi_2(t), \psi_1(t) - \psi_2(t)), \end{cases} ,$$

adding side-by-side, we get

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \|\sigma_1(t) - \sigma_2(t)\|_{\mathcal{Q}}^2 + \|D_1(t) - D_2(t)\|_{\mathbf{H}}^2 \\ + \|B_1(t) - B_2(t)\|_{\mathbf{H}}^2 + \|u_1(t) - u_2(t)\|_V^2 \\ + \|\varphi_1(t) - \varphi_2(t)\|_{W_{el}}^2 + \|\psi_1(t) - \psi_2(t)\|_{W_{ma}}^2 \\ \leq c \int_0^t \|\sigma_1(s) - \sigma_2(s)\|_{\mathcal{Q}}^2 ds \\ + c \int_0^t \|D_1(s) - D_2(s)\|_{\mathbf{H}}^2 ds + c \int_0^t \|B_1(s) - B_2(s)\|_{\mathbf{H}}^2 ds \\ + c \int_0^t \|u_1(s) - u_2(s)\|_V^2 ds + c \int_0^t \|\varphi_1(s) - \varphi_2(s)\|_{W_{el}}^2 ds \\ + c \int_0^t \|\psi_1(s) - \psi_2(s)\|_{W_{ma}}^2 ds \text{ for all } t \in \mathbb{R}_+. \end{array} \right.$$

Using Gronwall's lemma in the last inequality, we deduce that  $\sigma_1 = \sigma_2$ ,  $D_1 = D_2$ ,  $B_1 = B_2$ ,  $u_1 = u_2$ ,  $\varphi_1 = \varphi_2$ ,  $\psi_1 = \psi_2$ . Taking  $w = u(t) \pm z$  with  $z \in [D(\Omega)]^d$ ,  $\phi \in D(\Omega)$ ,  $\vartheta \in D(\Omega)$  in (60)-(62) and using (42)-(44), we get

$$\begin{cases} \operatorname{Div} \sigma(t) = -f_0(t) \text{ in } \Omega, \\ \operatorname{div} D(t) = q_0(t) \text{ in } \Omega, \\ \operatorname{div} B(t) = p_0(t) \text{ in } \Omega \text{ for all } t \in \mathbb{R}_+. \end{cases} \quad (99)$$

Combining (99) with (39)-(i), (40)-(i), (41)-(i) and (98), we conclude that  $(\sigma, D, B)$  satisfies (65). This completes the proof of Theorem 6.

## References

- [1] M. Aouadi, The Generalized Theory of Thermo-Magneto-electroelasticity, *Technische Mechanik*, Band 27, Heft 2, (2007), 133–146.
- [2] M. Barboteu, W. Han, S. Migórski, On numerical approximation of a variational–hemivariational inequality modeling contact problems for locking materials, *Computers and Mathematics with Applications*, (2018).
- [3] R. C. Batra, J. S. Yang, Saint-Venant’s principle in linear piezoelectricity, *J. Elasticity* 38 (1995), no. 2, 209–218.
- [4] M. I. Bichurin, V. M. Petrov, Modeling of Magnetolectric Interaction in Magnetostrictive-Piezoelectric Composites, Hindawi Publishing Corporation *Advances in Condensed Matter Physics* Volume 2012, Article ID 798310, 12 pages doi:10.1155/2012/798310.
- [5] F. H. Clarke, *Optimization and Nonsmooth Analysis*, vol. 5 of *Classics in Applied Mathematics*, SIAM, Philadelphia, Pa, USA, 2nd edition, 1990.
- [6] S. Carl, V. K. Le, D. Motreanu, *Nonsmooth Variational Problems and Their Inequalities: Comparison Principles and Applications*, Springer, New York, 2007.
- [7] K.C. Chang, Variational methods for nondifferentiable functionals and their applications to partial differential equations, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 80 pp. 102-129. 1, 4 (1981).
- [8] Z. Denkowski, S. Migorski, N. S. Papageorgiou, *An Introduction to Non-linear Analysis: Applications*, Kluwer, Boston, 2003.
- [9] W. Han, F. Feng, F. Wang and J. Huang, Numerical analysis of variational-hemivariational inequalities with applications in contact mechanics, *Advances in Applied Mechanics* 60 (2025).

- [10] W. Han and M. Sofonea, Numerical analysis of hemivariational inequalities in contact mechanics, *Acta Numer.* 28 (2019), 175–286.
- [11] A. Kasri, A magneto-electro-elastic problem with non-monotone contact conditions, *Applications of Mathematics* (2026) accepted.
- [12] A. Kasri, A piezoelectric contact problem with slip dependent friction and damage, *Journal of applied analysis*, 2021-06, Vol.27 (1), p.73-86.
- [13] A. Kasri, A bilateral contact problem with nonlocal friction, damage and adhesion, *Applicationes Mathematicae* 48 (2021), 37-63.
- [14] S. Kopyl, R. Surmenev, M. Surmeneva, Y. Fetisov, A. Kholkin, Magneto-electric effect: principles and applications in biology and medicine a review, *Materials Today Bio*, Volume 12, September 2021, 100149.
- [15] J. Y. Li, Uniqueness and reciprocity theorems for linear thermo-electro-magneto-elasticity, *Q. Jl Mech. Appl. Math.* (2003) 56 (1), 35–43.
- [16] P. Moreno-Navarro, A. Ibrahimbegovic, A. Ospina, Multi-field variational formulations and mixed finite element approximations for electrostatics and magnetostatics, *Comput. Mech.* 65 (1) (2020) 41–59.
- [17] S. Migórski, A. Ochal, M. Sofonea, Weak solvability of a piezoelectric contact problem, *Euro. Jnl of Applied Mathematics* (2009), vol. 20, pp. 145–167.
- [18] S. Migórski, A. Ochal, M. Sofonea, *Nonlinear Inclusions and Hemivariational Inequalities. Models and Analysis of Contact Problems. Advances in Mechanics and Mathematics*, vol. 26. Springer, New York (2013).
- [19] S. Migórski, A. Ochal, M. Sofonea, Analysis of a piezoelectric contact problem with subdifferential boundary condition. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of Edinburgh*, 144A, 1007–1025, 2014.
- [20] Z. Naniewicz, P. D. Panagiotopoulos, *Mathematical Theory of Hemivariational Inequalities and Applications*, Marcel Dekker, New York, 1995.
- [21] J. Nečas, I. Hlavaček, *Mathematical Theory of Elastic and Elastoplastic Bodies: An Introduction*, Elsevier, Amsterdam, (1981).

- [22] H. Palneedi, V. Annapureddy, S. Priya, J. Ryu, Status and Perspectives of Multiferroic Magnetolectric Composite Materials and Applications, *Actuators* 2016, 5, 9.
- [23] L. Rodríguez-Tembleque, F. C. Buroni, A. Sáez, and M. H. Ferri Aliabadi, Piezoelectric and Magneto-electro-elastic Frictional Contact Modelling. *Advances in Computational Coupling and Contact Mechanics*, pp. 357-396 (2018). World Scientific Publishing.
- [24] M. Shillor, M. Sofonea, J.J. Telega, *Models and Analysis of Quasistatic Contact*, Springer, Berlin, 2004.
- [25] L.M. Sixto-Camacho, J. Bravo-Castillero, R. Brenner et al., Asymptotic homogenization of periodic thermo-magneto-electro-elastic heterogeneous media. *Comput. Math. Appl.* 66, 2056–2074 (2013).
- [26] M. Sofonea, C. Avramescu and A. Matei, A Fixed point result with applications in the study of viscoplastic frictionless contact problems, *Communications on Pure and Applied Analysis*, 7(3), (2008), 645–658.
- [27] M. Sofonea, S. Migórski, *Variational–Hemivariational Inequalities with Applications*, Pure Appl. Math., Chapman & Hall/CRC Press, Boca Raton-London, 2018.