STABILITY RESULTS FOR NONLINEAR PARABOLIC EQUATIONS BACKWARD IN TIME

NGUYEN VAN DUC, DINH NHO HÀO, AND NGUYEN VAN THANG

Communicated by ...

Abstract: Let T be a given positive constant. Denote $D := \{(x,t) : 0 < x < 1, 0 < t < T\}$. We establish stability estimates of Hölder type for general nonlinear parabolic equations backward in time

$$u_t = (a(x,t)\Phi(u_x))_x + \gamma u u_x + f(t,u), \quad (x,t) \in D,$$
$$u(0,t) = 0, \quad u(1,t) = 0, \quad 0 \leqslant t \leqslant T,$$
$$\|u(\cdot,T) - \chi\|_{L^2(0,1)} \leq \varepsilon,$$

under the condition

$$\max_{(x,t)\in\overline{D}}\{|u_x|, |u_{xt}|\} \leqslant E$$

with E being some given positive number. Here $\gamma \geq 0$ is a constant, $a(x,t)$ is a smooth function satisfying the conditions $0 < a_0 \leq a(x,t)$, $|a_t(x,t)| \leq M$, $(x,t) \in \overline{D}$, the function f satisfies the Lipschitz condition $\|f(t,\omega_1) - f(t,\omega_2)\|_{L^2(0,1)} \leq k\|\omega_1 - \omega_2\|_{L^2(0,1)}$ and χ is a given function.

Keywords: Nonlinear parabolic equations backward in time, stability estimates, log-convexity method.

1   Introduction

Let T be a given positive constant. Denote $D := \{(x,t) : 0 < x < 1, 0 < t < T\}$. Furthermore, let $\gamma \geq 0$ be a given constant, $a(x,t)$ a smooth function satisfying the conditions

$$0 < a_0 \leq a(x,t), \quad |a_t(x,t)| \leq M, \ (x,t) \in \overline{D},$$

the function f satisfying the Lipschitz condition

$$\|f(t,\omega_1) - f(t,\omega_2)\| \leq k\|\omega_1 - \omega_2\|, \tag{1}$$

and χ a given function. Here and henceforth, $\| \cdot \|$ denotes the L^2-norm $\| \cdot \|_{L^2(0,1)}$.

Consider nonlinear parabolic equations backward in time

$$u_t = (a(x,t)\Phi(u_x))_x + \gamma u u_x + f(t,u), \quad (x,t) \in D, \tag{2}$$

$$u(0,t) = 0, \quad u(1,t) = 0, \quad 0 \leqslant t \leqslant T, \tag{3}$$

$$\|u(\cdot,T) - \chi\| \leq \varepsilon. \tag{4}$$

The backward problem (2)–(4) has many applications in practice, for example in data assimilation [22, 27], in heat transfer processes [1, 3, 11], or in image processing [2, 5]. However, it is ill-posed as a small perturbation in $u(T)$ may cause arbitrarily large errors in the solution. One of the important questions in inverse and ill-posed problems is establishing stability estimates [19, 24]. Some results for nonlinear parabolic equations backward in time can be found in [4, 5, 7, 8, 10, 14, 15, 16, 18, 20, 21, 23, 25, 29, 30, 31, 32]. These estimates help us to know the degree of ill-posedness of the problem and based on them to develop efficient stable numerical methods. Despite many results on stability estimates for linear parabolic equations backward in time, those for fully nonlinear cases are very few. To our knowledge, there has been no result on stability estimates for the fully nonlinear problem (2)-(4) even for the case $\gamma = 0$ and $f \equiv 0$.

In this paper, by using the log-convexity method we obtain stability estimates for the problem (2)–(4) with Φ being a nonlinear function such as polynomials of higher degree, rational functions, roots, etc ... We note that when $\Phi(s) = s$, our results imply stability estimates for backward Burgers' equations, backward semi-linear parabolic equations with time-dependent coefficients and backward linear parabolic equations with time-dependent coefficients. Furthermore, for backward Burgers' equations (see, e.g. [4, 9, 10, 14, 16, 25], we establish stability estimates of Hölder type for more general equations and under weaker conditions than those by Carasso [4] and Ponomarev [25]. Even if for the general nonlinear problem (2)–(4), our conditions are also weaker than those required by Carasso [4] and Ponomarev [25] for backward Burgers' equations.

It is interesting to remark that although there are several regularization methods for the semi-linear parabolic equation backward in time with time-independent coefficients ([13], [20], [21], [23], [31, 32]), the results for fully nonlinear cases seem to be lacking. Furthermore, to stabilize the solutions of semi-linear parabolic equations backward in time, researchers usually impose very strong conditions on the solutions of these problems. For examples, in [31, 32], D. D. Trong and N. H. Tuan used the method of integral equations to regularize the one-dimensional semi-linear heat equation

$$\begin{cases} u_t - u_{xx} = f(x,t,u), \ (x,t) \in (0,\pi) \times (0,T), \\ u(0,t) = u(\pi,t) = 0, \ t \in (0,T). \end{cases} \tag{5}$$

To get a stability estimate of Hölder type, they required that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n^4 e^{2T\lambda_n^2} |\langle u(\cdot,t), \phi_n \rangle_{L^2(0,\pi)}|^2 < \infty, \forall t \in [0,T], \qquad (6)$$

where $\phi_n = sin(nx)$ and $\lambda_n = n$.

In [23], P. T. Nam considered the ill-posed semi-linear parabolic equation backward in time with time-independent coefficients in a Hilbert space H with scalar product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ and norm $\| \cdot \|$:

$$\begin{cases} u_t + Au = f(t, u(t)), & 0 < t < T, \\ u(T) = g \end{cases} \qquad (7)$$

where the datum $g \in H$ is approximately given and A is a positive self-adjoint unbounded linear operator which admits an orthonormal eigenbasis $\{\phi_i\}_{i \geqslant 1}$ in H, associated with the eigenvalues $\{\lambda_i\}_{i \geqslant 1}$ such that

$$0 < \lambda_1 \leqslant \lambda_2 \leqslant \dots, \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{i \to +\infty} \lambda_i = +\infty \qquad (8)$$

and f satisfies the Lipschitz condition

$$\|f(t, w_1) - f(t, w_2)\| \leqslant k \|w_1 - w_2\|$$

for some constant k independent of t, w_1, w_2. In order to get a stability estimate of Hölder type, P. T. Nam ([23]) had to impose one of the following conditions:

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e^{2\lambda_n \min(t,\beta)} |\langle u(t), \phi_n \rangle|^2 \leqslant E_0^2, \qquad (9)$$

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n^{2\beta'} e^{2\lambda_n \min(t,\beta)} |\langle u(t), \phi_n \rangle|^2 \leqslant E_1^2, \qquad (10)$$

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e^{2\lambda_n} |\langle u(t), \phi_n \rangle|^2 \leqslant E_2^2 \qquad (11)$$

for all $t \in [0,T]$, where β, β' stand for positive constants.

In [26] Quan $et.$ $al.$ considered the semi-linear parabolic equation backward in time with time-dependent coefficients

$$u_t = (a(x,t)u_x)_x + f(x,t,u,u_x,u_{xx}), \quad (x,t) \in \mathbb{R} \times [0,T),$$
$$u(x,T) = g(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R},$$

where $f(x,t,u,u_x,u_{xx})$ and $a(x,t)$ are given such that there exist $p,q,L > 0$ satisfying

$$0 < p \leq a(x,t) \leq q$$

and

$$|f(x,t,u_1,v_1,w_1) - f(x,t,u_2,v_2,w_2)| \leq L(|u_1 - u_2| + |v_1 - v_2| + |w_1 - w_2|).$$

Quan *et. al.* required the following condition:

$$\sup\left\{\int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{2m'|\xi|^{4+\alpha}}|\mathcal{F}(u)(\xi,t)|^2 d\xi : t \in [0,T]\right\} < \infty \qquad (12)$$

where $m' = \eta(T) + m + \dfrac{3}{2}$, with m being a positive number and

$$\eta(T) = \int_0^T k(s)ds,$$
$$k(s) = \lim_{x\to\infty} a(x,s).$$

Here, $\mathcal{F}: \mathcal{L}^2(\mathbb{R}) \to \mathcal{L}^2(\mathbb{R})$ is the Fourier transform defined by

$$\mathcal{F}(h)(\xi) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} h(x)e^{-ix\xi}dx.$$

The conditions given in the next section are much more reasonable than those above.

2   Stability estimates

Suppose that the function $\Phi: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ satisfies the condition

$$\Phi(s_1) - \Phi(s_2) = (s_1 - s_2)\varphi(s_1, s_2),$$

where $\varphi: \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ fulfills

i) $\varphi(s_1, s_2) \geq C_0 > 0$, for all $s_1, s_2 \in \mathbb{R}$,

ii) there exist constants $C_i, i = \overline{1,4}, p, q$ such that

$$|\varphi_t(\alpha, \beta)| \leq C_1\big(C_2(|\alpha| + |\beta|)^p + C_3(|\alpha_t| + |\beta_t|)^q + C_4\big),$$

with $\alpha(t), \beta(t)$ being smooth functions.

Suppose that E is a given positive number. Set

$$a_1(t) = \max_{x\in[0,1]}\left(\frac{a_t(x,t)}{a(x,t)} + \frac{E^2}{C_0 a_0} + \frac{C_5}{C_0}\right), \ t \in [0,T],$$

where $C_5 = C_1(C_2(2E)^p + C_3(2E)^q + C_4)$, and

$$a_2(t) = \exp\left(\int_0^t a_1(s)ds\right),$$

$$a_3(t) = \int_0^t a_2(\tau)d\tau,$$

$$\mu(t) = \frac{a_3(t)}{a_3(T)}, \quad t \in [0,T].$$

Further, set

$$H(t) = 2\exp\left(\frac{3}{2}\gamma ET + kT + \frac{1}{4}C_6\mu(t)(1-\mu(t))\right), \ t \in [0,T],$$

with

$$C_6 = \frac{3(\gamma^2 E^2 + k^2)}{2T^2} a_3^2(T) \exp\left(4\left(\frac{M}{a_0} + \frac{C_5}{C_0} + \frac{3\gamma^2 E^2}{2a_0 C_0}\right) T\right).$$

Theorem 1. *Suppose that the conditions i) and ii) are satisfied. Let $u_1(x,t)$ and $u_2(x,t)$ be smooth solutions of (2)–(4) satisfying*

$$\max_{(x,t)\in\overline{D}} \{|u_{ix}|, |u_{ixt}|\} \leqslant E. \tag{13}$$

Then

$$\|u_1(\cdot,t) - u_2(\cdot,t)\| \leq H(t) E^{1-\mu(t)} \varepsilon^{\mu(t)}, \ \ t \in [0,T]. \tag{14}$$

Remark 1. *If $a_1(t) < 0$, then $\mu(t) > \frac{t}{T}$, $t \in (0,T)$.*

Before proving Theorem 1, we discuss some corollaries of this theorem for certain forms of $\Phi(x)$.

Corollary 1. *Suppose that $u_i, i = 1, 2$, are two smooth solutions of the problem (2)–(4) subject to the constraint*

$$\max_{(x,t)\in\overline{D}} \{|u_{ix}|, |u_{ixt}|\} \leqslant E$$

where

$$\Phi(s) = s^3 - \frac{3}{2}s^2 + C_7 s + C_8, \ \ C_7 > \frac{9}{8}.$$

Then there exist functions $H_2(t) > 0$ and $\mu_2(t)$ with $0 \leq \mu_2(t) \leq 1$, $t \in [0,T]$ such that

$$\|u_1(\cdot,t) - u_2(\cdot,t)\| \leq H_2(t) E^{1-\mu_2(t)} \varepsilon^{\mu_2(t)}, \ \ t \in [0,T].$$

Proof. With $\Phi(s) = s^3 - \frac{3}{2}s^2 + C_7 s + C_8$, we have

$$\Phi(s_1) - \Phi(s_2) = (s_1 - s_2)\varphi(s_1, s_2)$$

where

$$\varphi(s_1, s_2) = s_1^2 + s_1 s_2 + s_2^2 - \frac{3}{2}(s_1 + s_2) + C_7.$$

We have

$$\varphi(s_1, s_2) \geq \frac{1}{2}(s_1 + s_2)^2 - \frac{3}{2}(s_1 + s_2) + C_7 \geq C_7 - \frac{9}{8}.$$

Since $\alpha(t)$ and $\beta(t)$ are smooth functions, we have

$$|\varphi_t(\alpha, \beta)| = |2\alpha\alpha_t + \alpha_t\beta + \alpha\beta_t + 2\beta\beta_t - \frac{3}{2}(\alpha_t + \beta_t)|$$

$$\leq \frac{3}{2}(|\alpha| + |\beta|)^2 + 2(|\alpha_t| + |\beta_t|)^2 + \frac{9}{8}$$

Therefore, we can apply Theorem 1 with $C_0 = C_7 - \frac{9}{8}, C_1 = 1, C_2 = \frac{3}{2}, C_3 = 2, C_4 = \frac{9}{8}, p = q = 2$.

The corollary is proved. $\qquad\square$

Corollary 2. *Suppose that $u_i, i = 1, 2$, are two smooth solutions of the problem (2)–(4) subject to the constraint*

$$\max_{(x,t)\in\overline{D}}\{|u_{ix}|, |u_{ixt}|\} \leqslant E$$

with

$$\Phi(s) = \frac{2s^3 + s}{1 + s^2}.$$

Then there exist functions $H_3(t) > 0$ and $\mu_3(t)$ with $0 \leq \mu_3(t) \leq 1$, $t \in [0, T]$ such that

$$\|u_1(\cdot, t) - u_2(\cdot, t)\| \leq H_3(t)E^{1-\mu_3(t)}\varepsilon^{\mu_3(t)}, \ t \in [0, T].$$

Proof. With $\Phi(s) = \dfrac{2s^3 + s}{1 + s^2}$, we have

$$\Phi(s_1) - \Phi(s_2) = (s_1 - s_2)\varphi(s_1, s_2)$$

where

$$\varphi(s_1, s_2) = \frac{2(s_1^2 + s_2^2) + 3s_1s_2 + 2s_1^2s_2^2 + 1}{(1 + s_1^2)(1 + s_2^2)}.$$

We have

$$\varphi(s_1, s_2) \geq \frac{1}{4}, \ \forall s_1, s_2 \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Since $\alpha(t)$ and $\beta(t)$ are smooth functions, we have

$$\varphi_t(\alpha, \beta) = \frac{4(\alpha\alpha_t + \beta\beta_t) + 3\alpha\beta_t + 3\alpha_t\beta + 4\alpha\alpha_t\beta^2 + 4\alpha^2\beta\beta_t}{(1 + \alpha^2)(1 + \beta^2)}$$
$$- \frac{(2(\alpha^2 + \beta^2) + 2\alpha^2\beta^2 + 3\alpha\beta + 1)(2\alpha\alpha_t(1 + \beta^2) + 2(1 + \alpha^2)\beta\beta_t)}{(1 + \alpha^2)^2(1 + \beta^2)^2},$$

or

$$|\varphi_t(\alpha, \beta)| \leq 2(|\alpha_t| + |\beta_t|) + \frac{3}{2}(|\alpha_t| + |\beta_t|) + |\alpha\beta_t| + |\alpha_t\beta|$$
$$+ \frac{8(|\alpha\alpha_t|(1 + \beta^2) + (1 + \alpha^2)|\beta\beta_t|)}{(1 + \alpha^2)(1 + \beta^2)}$$
$$\leq 8(|\alpha_t| + |\beta_t|) + |\alpha\beta_t| + |\alpha_t\beta|$$
$$\leq 8(|\alpha_t| + |\beta_t|) + \alpha^2 + \beta^2 + \alpha_t^2 + \beta_t^2$$
$$\leq (|\alpha| + |\beta|)^2 + 2(|\alpha_t| + |\beta_t|)^2 + 16.$$

Therefore, we can apply Theorem 1 with $C_0 = \frac{1}{4}, C_1 = 1, C_2 = 1, C_3 = 2, C_4 = 16, p = q = 2$.

The corollary is proved. $\square$

Corollary 3. *Suppose that $u_i, i = 1, 2$, are two smooth solutions of the problem (2)–(4) subject to the constraint*

$$\max_{(x,t)\in\overline{D}}\{|u_{ix}|, |u_{ixt}|\} \leqslant E$$

with

$$\Phi(s) = s\sqrt{1+s^2}.$$

Then there exist functions $H_4(t) > 0$ and $\mu_4(t)$ with $0 \leq \mu_4(t) \leq 1$, $t \in [0,T]$ such that

$$\|u_1(\cdot, t) - u_2(\cdot, t)\| \leq H_4(t) E^{1-\mu_4(t)} \varepsilon^{\mu_4(t)}, \ t \in [0,T].$$

Proof. With $\Phi(s) = s\sqrt{1+s^2}$, we have

$$\Phi(s_1) - \Phi(s_2) = (s_1 - s_2)\varphi(s_1, s_2)$$

where

$$\varphi(s_1, s_2) = \frac{\sqrt{1+s_1^2} + \sqrt{1+s_2^2}}{2} + \frac{1}{2}\frac{(s_1 + s_2)^2}{\sqrt{1+s_1^2} + \sqrt{1+s_2^2}}.$$

Therefore, we obtain

$$\varphi(s_1, s_2) \geq 1, \ \forall s_1, s_2 \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Since $\alpha(t)$ and $\beta(t)$ are smooth functions, we have

$$\varphi_t(\alpha, \beta) = \frac{\alpha\alpha_t}{2\sqrt{1+\alpha^2}} + \frac{\beta\beta_t}{2\sqrt{1+\beta^2}} + \frac{(\alpha+\beta)(\alpha_t+\beta_t)}{\sqrt{1+\alpha^2}+\sqrt{1+\beta^2}}$$
$$- \frac{(\alpha+\beta)^2}{2} \cdot \frac{\frac{\alpha\alpha_t}{2\sqrt{1+\alpha^2}} + \frac{\beta\beta_t}{\sqrt{1+\beta^2}}}{(\sqrt{1+\alpha^2}+\sqrt{1+\beta^2})^2}.$$

This implies that

$$|\varphi_t(\alpha, \beta)| \leq \frac{3}{4}\left|\frac{\alpha\alpha_t}{\sqrt{1+\alpha^2}} + \frac{\beta\beta_t}{\sqrt{1+\beta^2}}\right| + |\alpha_t + \beta_t|$$
$$\leq \frac{7}{4}(|\alpha_t| + |\beta_t|) < 2(|\alpha_t| + |\beta_t|).$$

Therefore, we can apply Theorem 1 with $C_0 = C_1 = 1, C_2 = 0, C_3 = 2, C_4 = 0, q = 1$.

The corollary is proved. □

In case $\Phi(s) = s$ and $f \equiv 0$, we obtain stability estimates for Burgers-type equations backward in time:

Corollary 4. *Suppose that $u_i, i = 1, 2$, are two smooth solutions of the Burgers-type equation*

$$u_t = (a(x,t)u_x)_x + \gamma u u_x, \quad (x,t) \in D,$$
$$u(0,t) = 0, \quad u(1,t) = 0, \quad 0 \leqslant t \leqslant T,$$

subject to the constraint

$$\max_{(x,t)\in\overline{D}} |u_{ix}| \leqslant E$$

with $\gamma \geq 0$ being a constant, $a(x,t)$ a smooth function satisfying the conditions $0 < a_0 \leq a(x,t),\ |a_t(x,t)| \leq M,\ (x,t) \in \overline{D}$. Then there exist functions $H_5(t) > 0$ and $\mu_5(t)$ with $0 \leq \mu_5(t) \leq 1,\ t \in [0,T]$ such that

$$\|u_1(\cdot,t) - u_2(\cdot,t)\| \leq H_5(t)E^{1-\mu_5(t)}\varepsilon^{\mu_5(t)},\ t \in [0,T].$$

Proof. Apply Theorem 1 with $C_0 = 1, C_1 = 0, k = 0$.

The corollary is proved. $\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\square$

Remark 2. *In* [4], *Carasso only considered the case* $\gamma = 1$ *and* $a(x,t) = \nu > 0$. *Furthermore, he required that*

$$\max_{(x,t)\in\overline{D}}\{|u_i|,\ |u_{i,t}|,\ |u_{i,tt}|,\ |u_{i,xt}|\} \leqslant E,\ i = 1, 2. \qquad (15)$$

In [25] *Ponomarev only considered the case* $a(x,t) = a(t) > 0$. *Furthermore, he required that*

$$\|u(x,t)\|_{C^2(\overline{D})} \leq E. \qquad (16)$$

In Corollary 4, we establish stability estimates of Hölder type for more general equations and under a weaker condition. Namely, we only require that

$$\max_{(x,t)\in\overline{D}}|u_{ix}| \leqslant E.$$

Even if in general cases, our conditions for the solution of the equations (2) *and* (3)

$$\max_{(x,t)\in\overline{D}}\{|u_{ix}|, |u_{ixt}|\} \leqslant E.$$

are much weaker than the conditions (15) *and* (16).

The proof of Theorem 1 will be presented in the next section.

3   Proof of the main result

Set $v = u_1 - u_2$. We have

$$\begin{cases} v_t = (av_x\varphi(u_{1x}, u_{2x}))_x + \gamma u_1 v_x + \gamma u_{2x}v + Bv,\ (x,t) \in D, \\ v(0,t) = v(1,t) = 0,\ 0 \leq t \leq T. \end{cases} \qquad (17)$$

Here, $Bv := f(t, u_1) - f(t, u_2)$ and so

$$\|Bv\| = \|f(t, u_1) - f(t, u_2)\| \leq k\|u_1 - u_2\| = k\|v\|. \qquad (18)$$

Let $F(t) = \|v(\cdot,t)\|^2 = \int_0^1 v^2 dx$. We have

$$F'(t) = 2\int_0^1 vv_t dx = 2\int_0^1 v\left((av_x\varphi(u_{1x}, u_{2x}))_x + \gamma u_1 v_x + \gamma u_{2x}v + Bv\right)dx$$

$$= -2\int_0^1 av_x^2\varphi(u_{1x}, u_{2x})dx + \int_0^1 \gamma(2u_{2x} - u_{1x})v^2 dx + \int_0^1 vBvdx. \qquad (19)$$

Suppose that $\|z(\cdot, t)\| > 0, \ \forall t \in [0, T]$, we have

$$\frac{F'(t)}{F(t)} = \frac{-2 \int_0^1 a v_x^2 \varphi(u_{1x}, u_{2x}) dx}{\int_0^1 v^2 dx} + \frac{\int_0^1 \gamma (2u_{2x} - u_{1x}) v^2 dx}{\int_0^1 v^2 dx} + \frac{\int_0^1 v B v dx}{\int_0^1 v^2 dx}. \tag{20}$$

From (13), (18), (19) and (20), we have

$$\frac{F'(t)}{F(t)} \leq -2G(t) + 3E\gamma + 2k \tag{21}$$

and

$$\frac{F'(t)}{F(t)} \geq -2G(t) - 3E\gamma - 2k, \tag{22}$$

where

$$G(t) = \frac{\int_0^1 a v_x^2 \varphi(u_{1x}, u_{2x}) dx}{\int_0^1 v^2 dx}.$$

Integrating both sides of (21) from 0 to t, we have

$$\ln F(t) \leq \ln F(0) - 2 \int_0^t G(s) ds + 3\gamma E t + 2kt.$$

Multiplying both sides of this inequality by $1 - \mu(t)$, noting that $0 \leq 1 - \mu(t) \leq 1$, we have

$$(1 - \mu(t)) \ln F(t) \leq (1 - \mu(t)) \ln F(0)$$
$$- 2(1 - \mu(t)) \int_0^t G(s) ds + 3\gamma E t + 2kt. \tag{23}$$

Integrating both sides of (22) from t to T, we obtain

$$\ln F(t) \leq \ln F(T) + 2 \int_t^T G(s) ds + 3\gamma E(T - t) + 2k(T - t).$$

Multiplying both sides of this inequality by $\mu(t) \geq 0$, we have

$$\mu(t) \ln F(t) \leq \mu(t) \ln F(T) + 2\mu(t) \int_t^T G(s) ds + 3\gamma E(T - t) + 2k(T - t). \tag{24}$$

From (23) and (24), we have

$$\ln F(t) \leq (1 - \mu(t)) \ln F(0) + \mu(t) \ln F(T) + 2\mu(t) \int_t^T G(s) ds$$
$$- 2(1 - \mu(t)) \int_0^t G(s) ds + 3\gamma E T + 2kT$$
$$= (1 - \mu(t)) \ln F(0) + \mu(t) \ln F(T) - 2 \int_0^t G(s) ds$$
$$+ 2\mu(t) \int_0^T G(s) ds + 3\gamma E T + 2kT. \tag{25}$$

Set

$$h(t) = -\int_0^t G(s)ds, \ t \in [0, T].$$

Since $\mu(t)$ is continuous and strictly increasing on $t \in [0, T]$ and $\mu(0) = 0$, $\mu(T) = 1$, it is reasonable to set

$$g(t) := h\left(\mu^{-1}\left(t/T\right)\right), \ t \in [0, T].$$

Therefore,

$$h(t) = g(T\mu(t)),$$

$$h_t(t) = \frac{Ta_2(t)}{a_3(T)} g_\mu(T\mu(t)), \tag{26}$$

$$h_{tt}(t) = \left(\frac{Ta_2(t)}{a_3(T)}\right)^2 g_{\mu\mu}(T\mu(t)) + \frac{Ta_1(t)a_2(t)}{a_3(T)} g_\mu(T\mu(t)). \tag{27}$$

This implies that

$$\left(\frac{Ta_2(t)}{a_3(T)}\right)^2 g_{\mu\mu}(T\mu(t)) = h_{tt}(t) - a_1(t)h_t(t). \tag{28}$$

On the other hand

$$h_t(t) = -G(t) = \frac{-\int_0^1 av_x^2\varphi(u_{1x}, u_{2x})dx}{\int_0^1 v^2 dx}.$$

Therefore,

$$\left(\int_0^1 v^2 dx\right)^2 h_{tt}(t) =$$

$$-2\int_0^1 av_x v_{xt}\varphi(u_{1x}, u_{2x})dx \int_0^1 v^2 dx + 2\int_0^1 av_x^2\varphi(u_{1x}, u_{2x})dx \int_0^1 vv_t dx$$

$$\int_0^1 (a\varphi(u_{1x}, u_{2x}))_t v_x^2 dx \int_0^1 v^2 dx$$

$$= 2\int_0^1 (av_x\varphi(u_{1x}, u_{2x}))_x v_t dx \int_0^1 v^2 dx$$

$$-2\int_0^1 (av_x\varphi(u_{1x}, u_{2x}))_x v dx \times$$

$$\int_0^1 \left((av_x\varphi(u_{1x}, u_{2x}))_x + \gamma u_1 v_x + \gamma u_{2x} v + Bv\right)v dx$$

$$-\int_0^1 (a_t\varphi(u_{1x}, u_{2x}) + a\varphi_t(u_{1x}, u_{2x}))v_x^2 dx \int_0^1 v^2 dx$$

$$= 2 \int_0^1 (av_x\varphi(u_{1x}, u_{2x}))_x \Big((av_x\varphi(u_{1x}, u_{2x}))_x + \gamma u_1 v_x + \gamma u_{2x} v + Bv \Big) dx$$

$$\times \int_0^1 v^2 dx$$

$$- 2 \left(\int_0^1 (av_x\varphi(u_{1x}, u_{2x}))_x v dx + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 (\gamma u_1 v_x + \gamma u_{2x} v + Bv) v dx \right)^2$$

$$+ \frac{1}{2} \left(\int_0^1 (\gamma u_1 v_x + \gamma u_{2x} v + Bv) v dx \right)^2$$

$$- \int_0^1 (a_t\varphi(u_{1x}, u_{2x}) + a\varphi_t(u_{1x}, u_{2x})) v_x^2 dx \int_0^1 v^2 dx$$

$$= 2 \int_0^1 \left((av_x\varphi(u_{1x}, u_{2x}))_x + \frac{1}{2}(\gamma u_1 v_x + \gamma u_{2x} v + Bv) \right)^2 \int_0^1 v^2 dx$$

$$- 2 \left(\int_0^1 \left(av_x\varphi(u_{1x}, u_{2x}) \right)_x + \frac{1}{2}(\gamma u_1 v_x + \gamma u_{2x} v + Bv) \right) v dx \right)^2$$

$$+ \frac{1}{2} \left(\int_0^1 (\gamma u_1 v_x + \gamma u_{2x} v + Bv) v dx \right)^2$$

$$- \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 (\gamma u_1 v_x + \gamma u_{2x} v + Bv)^2 dx \int_0^1 v^2 dx$$

$$- \int_0^1 (a_t\varphi(u_{1x}, u_{2x}) + a\varphi_t(u_{1x}, u_{2x})) v_x^2 dx \int_0^1 v^2 dx$$

$$\geq - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 (\gamma u_1 v_x + \gamma u_{2x} v + Bv)^2 dx \int_0^1 v^2 dx$$

$$- \int_0^1 (a_t\varphi(u_{1x}, u_{2x}) + a\varphi_t(u_{1x}, u_{2x})) v_x^2 dx \int_0^1 v^2 dx. \tag{29}$$

From (29) and using inequality $(a + b + c)^2 \leq 3(a^2 + b^2 + c^2)$, we have

$$\left(\int_0^1 v^2 dx \right)^2 h_{tt}(t) \geq - \frac{3}{2} \int_0^1 \left(\gamma^2 u_1^2 v_x^2 + \gamma^2 u_{2x}^2 v^2 + (Bv)^2 \right) dx \int_0^1 v^2 dx$$

$$- \int_0^1 (a_t\varphi(u_{1x}, u_{2x}) + a\varphi_t(u_{1x}, u_{2x})) v_x^2 dx \int_0^1 v^2 dx. \tag{30}$$

On the other hand

$$u_i^2(x, t) = \left(\int_0^x u_{i\eta}(\eta, t) d\eta \right)^2 \leq x \int_0^x u_{i\eta}^2(\eta, t) d\eta \leq E^2. \tag{31}$$

From (13), (18), (30) and (31), we have

$$\left(\int_0^1 v^2 dx\right)^2 h_{tt}(t) \geq -\frac{3}{2}\int_0^1 \left(\gamma^2 E^2 v_x^2 + \gamma^2 E^2 v^2 + k^2 v^2\right) dx \int_0^1 v^2 dx$$
$$- \int_0^1 (a_t \varphi(u_{1x}, u_{2x}) + a\varphi_t(u_{1x}, u_{2x}))v_x^2 dx \int_0^1 v^2 dx,$$

or

$$h_{tt}(t) \geq -\frac{3\gamma^2 E^2}{2}\frac{\int_0^1 v_x^2 dx}{\int_0^1 v^2 dx} - \frac{3}{2}(\gamma^2 E^2 + k^2)$$

$$- \frac{\int_0^1 \left(\frac{a_t}{a} + \frac{\varphi_t(u_{1x}, u_{2x})}{\varphi(u_{1x}, u_{2x})}\right) a v_x^2 \varphi(u_{1x}, u_{2x}) dx}{\int_0^1 v^2 dx}$$

$$\geq -\frac{\int_0^1 \left(\frac{a_t(x,t)}{a(x,t)} + \frac{\varphi_t(u_{1x}, u_{2x})}{\varphi(u_{1x}, u_{2x})} + \frac{3\gamma^2 E^2}{2a(x,t)\varphi(u_{1x}, u_{2x})}\right) a v_x^2 \varphi(u_{1x}, u_{2x}) dx}{\int_0^1 v^2 dx}$$

$$- \frac{3}{2}(\gamma^2 E^2 + k^2)$$

$$\geq -\frac{\int_0^1 \left(\frac{a_t(x,t)}{a(x,t)} + \frac{\varphi_t(u_{1x}, u_{2x})}{C_0} + \frac{3\gamma^2 E^2}{2a_0 C_0}\right) a v_x^2 \varphi(u_{1x}, u_{2x}) dx}{\int_0^1 v^2} dx$$

$$- \frac{3}{2}(\gamma^2 E^2 + k^2). \tag{32}$$

From (13), we have

$$|\varphi_t(u_{1x}, u_{2x})| \leq C_1 \left(C_2(|u_{1x}| + |u_{2x}|)^p + C_3(|u_{1xt}| + |u_{2xt}|)^q + C_4\right)$$
$$\leq C_1(C_2(2E)^p + C_3(2E)^q + C_4) = C_5. \tag{33}$$

From (32) and (33), we have

$$h_{tt}(t) \geq -\frac{\int_0^1 \left(\frac{a_t(x,t)}{a(x,t)} + \frac{C_5}{C_0} + \frac{3\gamma^2 E^2}{2a_0 C_0}\right) a v_x^2 \varphi(u_{1x}, u_{2x}) dx}{\int_0^1 v^2 dx}$$

$$- \frac{3}{2}(\gamma^2 N^2 + k^2)$$

$$\geq \frac{a_1(t)\int_0^1 a v_x^2 \varphi(u_{1x}, u_{2x}) dx}{\int_0^1 v^2 dx} - \frac{3}{2}(\gamma^2 E^2 + k^2)$$

$$\geq a_1(t)h_t(t) - \frac{3}{2}(\gamma^2 E^2 + k^2). \tag{34}$$

From (28) and (34), we obtain

$$\left(\frac{Ta_2(t)}{a_3(T)}\right)^2 g_{\mu\mu}(T\mu(t)) \geq -\frac{3}{2}(\gamma^2 E^2 + k^2),$$

or

$$g_{\mu\mu}(T\mu(t)) \geq -\frac{3}{2}(\gamma^2 E^2 + k^2)\left(\frac{Ta_2(t)}{a_3(T)}\right)^{-2}.$$

Since

$$(a_2(t))^{-2} = \exp\left(-2\int_0^t a_1(s)ds\right) \leq \exp\left(2\left(\frac{M}{a_0} + \frac{C_5}{C_0} + \frac{3\gamma^2 E^2}{2a_0 C_0}\right)T\right),$$

we have

$$g_{\mu\mu}(T\mu(t)) \geq -C_6.$$

This implies that $g(T\mu(t)) + \frac{1}{2}C_6\mu^2(t)$ is a convex function with respect to $\mu(t)$. Therefore, we have

$$g(T\mu(t)) + \frac{1}{2}C_6\mu^2(t) \leq (1 - \mu(t))g(0) + \mu(t)(g(T) + \frac{1}{2}C_6).$$

Since $g(T\mu(t)) = h(t) = -\int_0^t G(s)ds, g(0) = 0$ and $g(T) = -\int_0^T G(s)ds$, we obtain

$$-\int_0^t G(s)ds \leq -\mu(t)\int_0^T G(s)ds + \frac{1}{2}C_6\mu(t)(1 - \mu(t)). \qquad (35)$$

From (25) and (35), we have

$$\ln F(t) \leq (1 - \mu(t))\ln F(0) + \mu(t)\ln F(T) +$$

$$3\gamma ET + 2kT + \frac{1}{2}C_6\mu(t)(1 - \mu(t)),$$

or

$$\|v(\cdot, t)\| \leq \|v(\cdot, 0)\|^{1-\mu(t)}\|v(\cdot, T)\|^{\mu(t)}\exp\left(\frac{3}{2}\gamma ET + kT + \frac{1}{4}C_6\mu(t)(1 - \mu(t))\right)$$

$$(36)$$

for all $t \in [0, T]$. From (31), we obtain

$$\|v(\cdot, 0)\| \leq \|u_1(\cdot, 0)\| + \|u_2(\cdot, 0)\| \leq 2E.$$

On the other hand

$$\|v(\cdot, T)\| \leq \|u_1(\cdot, T) - \chi\| + \|u_2(\cdot, T) - \chi\| \leq 2\varepsilon.$$

Therefore, for $t \in [0, T]$ we obtain

$$\|v(\cdot, t)\| \leq 2E^{1-\mu(t)}\varepsilon^{\mu(t)}\exp\left(\frac{3}{2}\gamma ET + kT + \frac{1}{4}C_6\mu(t)(1 - \mu(t))\right).$$

If $\|v(\cdot, 0)\| = 0$, then $\|v(\cdot, t)\| = 0, \forall t \in [0, T]$ and the inequality (14) is obvious. If $\|v(\cdot, 0)\| > 0$, then $\|v(\cdot, t)\| > 0$, $\forall t \in [0, T]$. In fact, supposing the contrary, let t_0 be the first point where $\|v(\cdot, t)\| = 0$. By continuity, $\|v(\cdot, t)\| > 0$ for $0 \leqslant t < t_0$. Therefore $\|v(\cdot, t)\| > 0$ for $0 \leqslant t \leqslant s < t_0$. Using the stability estimate (36) with T replaced by $s < t_0$ and by letting $s \uparrow t_0$ we obtain a contradiction.

The theorem is proved.

References

[1] O. M. Alifanov, *Inverse Heat Transfer Problems*, Wiley, New York, 1994.

[2] G. Aubert and P. Kornprobst, *Mathematical Problems in Image Processing*, Springer, New York, 2006.

[3] J. V. Beck, B. Blackwell, St. C. R. Clair, *Inverse Heat Conduction, Ill-Posed Problems*, Wiley, New York, 1985.

[4] A. Carasso. Computing small solutions of Burgers' equation backwards in time. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 59(1977), 169–209.

[5] A. S. Carasso, Hazardous continuation backward in time in nonlinear parabolic equations, and an experiment in deblurring nonlinearly blurred imagery, *Journal of Research of the National Institute of Standards and Technology* 118(2013), 199–217.

[6] A. S. Carasso, Compensating operators and stable backward in time marching in nonlinear parabolic equations. *GEM Int. J. Geomath.* 5(2014), 1–16.

[7] N. V. Duc, D. N. Hào and M. Shishlenin, Regularization of backward parabolic equations in Banach spaces by generalized Sobolev equations. *J. Inverse Ill-Posed Probl.* 32(2024), 9–20. https://doi.org/10.1515/jiip-2023-0046

[8] N. V. Duc and N. V. Thang. Stability results for semi-linear parabolic equations backward in time. *Acta Math. Vietnam.*, 42(2017), 99–111.

[9] G. P. Galdi and B. Straughan. Stability of solutions of the Navier-Stokes equations backward in time. *Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.*, 101(1988), 107–114.

[10] J. M. Ghidaglia, Some backward uniqueness results, *Nonlinear Anal.*, 8(1986), 777–790.

[11] D. N. Hào, *Methods for Inverse Heat Conduction Problems*. Peter Lang Verlag, Frankfurt/Main, Bern, New York, Paris, 1998.

[12] D. N. Hào and N. V. Duc. Stability results for backward parabolic equations with time-dependent coefficients. *Inverse Problems*, 27(2011):025003.

[13] D. N. Hào and N. V. Duc. A non-local boundary value problem method for semi-linear parabolic equations backward in time. *Appl. Anal.*, 94(2015), 446–463.

[14] D. N. Hào, N. V. Duc, and N. V. Thang. Stability estimates for Burgers-type equations backward in time. *J. Inverse Ill-Posed Probl.*, 23(2015), 41–49.

[15] D. N. Hào, N. V. Duc, and N. V. Thang. Backward semi-linear parabolic equations with time-dependent coefficients and local Lipschitz source. *Inverse Problems*, 34(2018):055010.

[16] R. J. Knops and L. E. Payne. On the stability of solutions of the Navier-Stokes equations backward in time. *Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.*, 29(1968), 331–335.

[17] I. Kukavica, Backward uniqueness for solutions of linear parabolic equations. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 132(2004), 1755–1760.

[18] I. Kukavica, Log-log convexity and backward uniqueness. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 135(2007), 2415–2421.

[19] M. M. Lavrent'ev, V. G. Romanov, and G. P. Shishatskii, *Ill-posed Problems in Mathematical Physics and Analysis*. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., 1986.

[20] N. T. Long and A. Pham Ngoc Dinh, Approximation of a parabolic non-linear evolution equation backward in time, *Inverse Problems* 10(1994), 905–914.

[21] N. T. Long and A. Pham Ngoc Dinh, Note on a regularization of a parabolic nonlinear evolution equation backwards in time, *Inverse Problems* 12(1996), 455–462.

[22] Marchuk G. I., Agoshkov V. I., Shutyaev V. P., *Adjoint Equations and Perturbation Algorithms in Nonlinear Problems*. CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL, 1996.

[23] P. T. Nam, An approximate solution for nonlinear backward parabolic equations, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 367(2010), 337–349.

[24] L. Payne, *Improperly Posed Problems in Partial Differential Equations*. SIAM, Philadelphia, 1975.

[25] S. M. Ponomarev, On an ill-posed problem in nonlinear wave theory, *Soviet. Math. Dokl.*, 33(1986), 621–624.

[26] P. H. Quan, D. D. Trong, L. M. Triet, On a backward nonlinear parabolic equation with time and space dependent thermal conductivity: regularization and error estimates. *J. Inverse Ill-Posed Probl.* 22(2014), 375–401.

[27] V. P. Shutyaev, *Control Operators and Iterative Algorithms in Variational Data Assimilation Problems.* Nauka, Moscow, 2001. (Russian).

[28] D. D. Trong, B. T. Duy and M. M. Nguyet, Backward heat equations with locally Lipchitz source, *Appl. Anal.*, 94(2015), 2023–2036.

[29] N. H. Tuan, Stability estimates for a class of semi-linear ill-posed problems. *Nonlinear Anal. Real World Appl.* 14(2013), 1203–1215.

[30] N. H. Tuan and D. D. Trong, On a backward parabolic problem with local Lipschitz source, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 414(2014), 678–692.

[31] N. H. Tuan and D. D. Trong, Sharp estimates for approximations to a nonlinear backward heat equation. *Nonlinear Anal.* 73(2010), 3479–3488.

[32] N. H. Tuan and D. D. Trong, A nonlinear parabolic equation backward in time: regularization with new error estimates, *Nonlinear Anal.* 73(2010), 1842–1852.

Nguyen Van Duc
Department of Mathematics, Vinh University
Truong Vinh Ward, Nghe An Province, Vietnam
Email address: ducnv@vinhuni.edu.vn

Dinh Nho Hao
Hanoi Institute of Mathematics, VAST
18 Hoang Quoc Viet Road, Hanoi, Vietnam
Email address: hao@math.ac.vn

Nguyen Van Thang
Quan Hanh Secondary School
Nghi Loc Commune, Nghe An Province, Vietnam
Email address: nguyenvanthangk17@gmail.com