

Vertex-disjoint cycles of different lengths in tournaments of cycles

Le Xuan Hung

Hanoi University of Natural Resources and Environment, 41A Phu Dien Road, North-Tu Liem district, 11916 Hanoi, Vietnam

Email: lxhung@hunre.edu.vn

Abstract

We show in this paper that every tournament of k cycles $D = (V, A)$ with $k \geq 2$ and minimum out-degree 3, except the digraph D_8^3 , contains two disjoint cycles of different lengths.

Key words: digraph, tournament of cycles, vertex-disjoint cycles, cycles of different lengths

AMS Mathematics Subject Classification (2020): Primary 05C20, Secondary 05C38.

1 Introduction

We consider here only a *finite simple digraph*, i.e., a digraph that has a finite number of vertices, no loop, and no multiple arc. Unless otherwise indicated, our graph-theoretic terminology will follow [2]. We will also adopt notation and basic definitions that are used in [16].

Let D be a digraph. Then the vertex set and the arc set of D are denoted by $V(D)$ and $A(D)$ (or by V and A for short), respectively. A vertex $v \in V$ is called an *out-neighbor* of a vertex $u \in V$ if $(u, v) \in A$. We denote the set of all out-neighbors of u by $N_D^+(u)$. The *out-degree* of $u \in V$, denoted by $d_D^+(u)$, is $|N_D^+(u)|$. The *minimum out-degree* of D is $\min\{d_D^+(u) \mid u \in V\}$. Similarly, a vertex $w \in V$ is called an *in-neighbor* of a vertex $u \in V$ if $(w, u) \in A$. We denote the set of all in-neighbors of u by $N_D^-(u)$. The *in-degree* of $u \in V$, denoted by $d_D^-(u)$, is $|N_D^-(u)|$. If $W \subseteq V$, then the subdigraph of D induced by W is denoted by $D[W]$.

Let $D = (V, A)$ be a digraph. Then we write uv for an arc $(u, v) \in A$ for short. By a *cycle* (resp., *path*) in D , we always mean a directed cycle (resp., directed path). By *disjoint cycles* in D , we always mean vertex disjoint cycles. A *chord* of a cycle C of D is an arc $uv \in A \setminus A(C)$ with $u, v \in V(C)$.

Thomassen in [18] has proved that every digraph with minimum out-degree at least 3 contains two vertex-disjoint cycles. Recently, in connection with 2-coloring of hypergraphs, Henning and Yeo have begun to study in

[7] the existence of vertex-disjoint cycles of different lengths in digraphs and they have posed there several conjectures. One of these conjectures has been solved by Lichiardopol in [10], which asserts that every digraph with minimum out-degree at least 4 contains two vertex-disjoint cycles of different lengths. By the results obtained by Thomassen [18] and Lichiardopol [10], the investigation of structure for digraphs without vertex-disjoint cycles of different lengths can be restricted to digraphs with minimum out-degree 3. Up to now there has been a lot of research on this topic, especially the results achieved recently (see [4, 5, 6, 8, 9, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16]). Although the results achieved are relatively diverse, the problem has not been completely resolved, there are still many other classes of graphs that need to be researched. Therefore, in this article, we continue to contribute a research result on this topic, contributes to perfecting the research of the problem digraphs without vertex-disjoint cycles of different lengths.

An *oriented graph* is a digraph with no cycle of length 2. A *tournament of k cycles* with $k \geq 2$ is an oriented graph $D = (V, A)$ with a partition $V = V_1 \cup V_2 \cup \dots \cup V_k$ such that the subdigraphs $D[V_1], D[V_2], \dots, D[V_k]$ are cycles and for every two vertices $u \in V_i$ and $v \in V_j$ with $i, j \in \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$ and $i \neq j$ exactly one of the arcs uv and vu is in A . We study the existence of vertex-disjoint cycles of different lengths in tournaments of k cycles with $k \geq 2$ and minimum out-degree 3.

In [7], Henning and Yeo have given an example of 3-regular digraphs having no vertex-disjoint cycles of different lengths. We denote this digraph here by D_8^3 . This digraph has the vertex set $V(D_8^3) = \{v_0, v_1, \dots, v_5, v, w\}$ and the arc set $A(D_8^3) = A_1 \cup A_2 \cup A_3$, where $A_1 = \{v_i v_j \mid j - i = 1 \text{ or } 2 \pmod{6}\}$, $A_2 = \{v v_i, v_i w \mid i = 0, 2, 4\}$ and $A_3 = \{w v_i, v_i v \mid i = 1, 3, 5\}$. The digraph D_8^3 is illustrated in Figure 1.

We note that the digraph D_8^3 is a tournament of two cycles with minimum out-degree 3. So, it is natural to ask whether there are other tournaments of k cycles with $k \geq 2$ and minimum out-degree 3 without vertex-disjoint cycles of different lengths. In this paper, we will give the answer to this question by proving the following main result.

Theorem 1. *Every tournament of k cycles $D = (V, A)$ with $k \geq 2$ and minimum out-degree 3, except the digraph D_8^3 , contains two disjoint cycles of different lengths.*

Further information can be found for tournaments in the recent surveys [3, 19].




Figure 1: The digraph D_8^3

2 Preliminary results

Let $D = (V, A)$ be a tournament of k cycles with $k \geq 2$ and minimum out-degree 3, having no disjoint cycles of different lengths. Further, let $V = V_0 \cup V_1 \cup \dots \cup V_{k-1}$ be the partition of D and $D[V_j] = A^j$, where $j \in \{0, 1, \dots, k-1\}$, be the cycle. A^0, A^1, \dots, A^{k-1} must have same length $t \geq 3$ because D has no disjoint cycles of different lengths. Let

$$A^j = (a_0^j, a_1^j, \dots, a_{t-1}^j, a_0^j), \text{ where } j \in \{0, 1, \dots, k-1\}.$$

A collection $\mathcal{C} = \{C_1, C_2, \dots, C_k\}$ of cycles C_1, C_2, \dots, C_k in a digraph is called *bad* if there exist two vertex-disjoint cycles of different lengths in \mathcal{C} .

Let X and X' be two disjoint subsets of the vertex set V of a digraph $D = (V, A)$. We say that X *dominates* X' or X' *is dominated by* X if $X' \subseteq N_D^+(x)$ for each $x \in X$. We write $X \rightarrow X'$ if X dominates X' . If $X \rightarrow X'$ and $X = \{v\}$, then we simply say that v dominates X' and simply write $v \rightarrow X'$. Similarly, if $X \rightarrow X'$ and $X' = \{v'\}$, then we simply say that X dominates v' and simply write $X \rightarrow v'$. If $X = \{x\}$ and $X' = \{x'\}$, then we simply say that x dominates x' , which means that $xx' \in A$, and simply write $x \rightarrow x'$. The set X dominates a subdigraph D' if X dominates $V(D')$. Similarly, a subdigraph D' dominates a subset X' if $V(D')$ dominates X' .

Then we have the following trivial observations.

Observation 2. *Each of A^0, A^1, \dots and A^{k-1} has no chord.*

Observation 3. *D has no bad collection of cycles.*

Observation 4. *By renaming the vertices of D , we may assume that $d_D^+(a_0^0) = 3$, $a_0^0 \rightarrow a_0^1$ and $a_1^1 \rightarrow a_0^0$.*

By these observations and assumption about D , we have immediately the following Lemma 5 and Lemma 6

Lemma 5. $k = 2$.

Proof. For a contradiction, let $k \geq 3$. If $a_0^0 \rightarrow a_2^1$ (resp. $a_2^1 \rightarrow a_0^0$) then $(a_0^0, a_1^1, a_1^1, a_0^0)$, $(a_0^0, a_2^1 A^1 a_1^1, a_0^0)$ and A^2 (resp. $(a_0^0, a_0^1, a_1^1, a_0^0)$, $(a_0^0, a_0^1, a_1^1, a_2^1, a_0^0)$ and A^2) form a bad collection of cycles, a contradiction to Observation 3. Thus, we must have $k = 2$. \square

Lemma 6. $t \geq 4$.

Proof. For a contradiction, let $t = 3$. Then

$$|A(D)| \leq \binom{6}{2} = 15 < 18 \leq \sum_{v \in V(D)} d^+(v),$$

a contradiction. Thus, we must have $t \geq 4$. \square

From now on, we always assume that

- (1) $d_D^+(a_0^0) = 3$, $N_D^+(a_0^0) = \{a_1^0, a_0^1, a_m^1\}$ with $1 < m < t$, $a_1^1 \rightarrow a_0^0$,
- (2) $a_m^1 \rightarrow \{a_p^0, a_q^0\}$ with $0 < p < q < t$ such that if $0 < i < q$, $i \neq p$ then $a_i^0 \rightarrow a_m^1$.

Lemma 7. a_p^0 and a_q^0 cannot both be out-neighbors of a_0^1 .

Proof. Suppose, on the contrary, that a_p^0 and a_q^0 are both out-neighbors of a_0^1 . Since $d_D^+(a_p^0) \geq 3$, there exists a_n^1 in A^1 with $1 < n < t$ such that $a_p^0 \rightarrow a_n^1$. Then $a_n^1 \rightarrow a_0^0$ because $d_D^+(a_0^0) = 3$.

First, we assume that $1 < n < m$. If $m > n + 1$ then $(a_0^0, a_0^1, a_1^1, a_0^0)$ and $(a_p^0, a_n^1 A^1 a_m^1, a_p^0)$ form a bad collection of cycles, a contradiction. Thus, $m = n + 1$. If $a_1^1 \rightarrow a_p^0$ then $(a_p^0, a_n^1, a_m^1, a_p^0)$ and $(a_0^0, a_0^1, a_1^1, a_q^0 A^0 a_0^0)$ form a bad collection of cycles, a contradiction. Thus, $a_p^0 \rightarrow a_1^1$. It follows that $(a_p^0, a_n^1, a_m^1, a_p^0)$ and $(a_q^0, a_1^1, a_0^0, a_q^0)$ form a bad collection of cycles, a contradiction.

Now, we assume that $m < n < t$. Then $q = n$ (if $q \neq n$ then $(a_0^0, a_m^1, a_q^0 A^0 a_0^0)$ and $(a_0^1, a_p^0, a_n^1 A^1 a_0^1)$ form a bad collection of cycles, a contradiction) and $t - q = m - 1$ (if $t - q \neq m - 1$ then $(a_0^1, a_p^0, a_n^1 A^1 a_0^1)$, $(a_0^1, a_1^1, a_p^0, a_n^1 A^1 a_0^1)$ and $(a_0^0, a_m^1, a_q^0 A^0 a_0^0)$ form a bad collection of cycles, a contradiction). If $a_1^1 \rightarrow a_p^0$ then $(a_0^1, a_p^0, a_n^1 A^1 a_0^1)$, $(a_0^1, a_1^1, a_p^0, a_n^1 A^1 a_0^1)$ and $(a_0^0, a_m^1, a_q^0 A^0 a_0^0)$ form

a bad collection of cycles, a contradiction. Thus, $a_p^0 \rightarrow a_1^1$. Since $d_D^+(a_1^1) \geq 3$, there exists a_h^0 in A^0 such that $a_1^1 \rightarrow a_h^0$. If $0 < h < p$ then $a_h^0 \rightarrow a_m^1$. So $(a_0^0, a_m^1, a_q^0 A^0 a_0^0)$, $(a_h^0, a_m^1, a_q^0 A^0 a_h^0)$ and $(a_p^0, a_n^1 A^1 a_0^1, a_p^0)$ form a bad collection of cycles, a contradiction (in the case we are considering, it is easy to see that the digraph H_8^3 is illustrated in Figure 2 is a special case with $u_0 = a_0^0$, $u_1 = a_h^0$, $u_2 = a_p^0$, $u_3 = a_q^0$, $v_0 = a_0^1$, $v_1 = a_1^1$, $v_2 = a_m^1$, $v_3 = a_n^1$ and $t = 4$). The digraph H_8^3 contains two disjoint cycles of different lengths (u_2, v_3, v_0, u_2) and $(u_1, v_2, u_3, u_0, u_1)$. If $p < h < q$ then again $a_h^0 \rightarrow a_m^1$. So (a_0^0, a_1^1, a_1^0) , $(a_p^0 A^0 a_h^0, a_m^1, a_p^0)$ form a bad collection of cycles if $h > p+1$ and $(a_p^0, a_h^0, a_m^1, a_p^0)$, $(a_p^0, a_1^1, a_h^0, a_m^1, a_p^0)$, $(a_0^0, a_0^1, a_q^0 A^0 a_0^0)$ form a bad collection of cycles if $h = p+1$, a contradiction. If $q < h < t$ then $(a_m^1, a_h^0 A^0 a_0^0, a_m^1)$, $(a_p^0, a_n^1 A^1 a_0^1, a_p^0)$ form a bad collection of cycles if $a_m^1 \rightarrow a_h^0$ and $(a_m^1, a_q^0 A^0 a_h^0, a_m^1)$, $(a_0^1, a_p^0, a_n^1 A^1 a_0^1)$ form a bad collection of cycles if $a_h^0 \rightarrow a_m^1$, a contradiction. \square

Lemma 8. a_p^0 and a_q^0 cannot both be in-neighbors of a_0^1 .

Proof. Suppose, on the contrary, that a_p^0 and a_q^0 are both in-neighbors of a_0^1 . Since $d_D^+(a_0^1) \geq 3$, there exists a_h^0 and a_l^0 in A^0 with $0 < h < l < t$ such that $a_0^1 \rightarrow \{a_h^0, a_l^0\}$.

First, we assume that $0 < h < l < p$. It is clear that $\{a_h^0, a_l^0\} \rightarrow a_m^1$. Then $(a_0^1, a_h^0 A^0 a_p^0, a_0^1)$, $(a_0^1, a_l^0 A^0 a_p^0, a_0^1)$ and $(a_m^1, a_q^0 A^0 a_0^0, a_m^1)$ form a bad collection of cycles, a contradiction.

Next, we assume that $0 < h < p < l < q$. It is clear that $\{a_h^0, a_l^0\} \rightarrow a_m^1$. If $a_1^1 \rightarrow a_h^0$ then $(a_0^1, a_h^0 A^0 a_p^0, a_0^1)$ and $(a_0^1, a_1^1, a_h^0 A^0 a_p^0, a_0^1)$ form a bad collection of cycles, a contradiction. Thus, $a_h^0 \rightarrow a_1^1$. So $(a_0^1, a_1^1, a_0^0, a_0^1)$, $(a_0^1, a_h^0, a_1^1, a_0^0, a_0^1)$ and $(a_m^1, a_p^0 A^0 a_l^0, a_m^1)$ form a bad collection of cycles, a contradiction.

Next, we assume that $0 < h < p < q < l < t$. It is clear that $a_h^0 \rightarrow a_m^1$. If $a_l^0 \rightarrow a_m^1$ (resp., $a_m^1 \rightarrow a_l^0$), then $(a_l^0, a_m^1, a_p^0 A^0 a_l^0)$, $(a_l^0, a_m^1, a_q^0 A^0 a_l^0)$ and $(a_0^0, a_1^1, a_1^0, a_0^0)$ (resp., $(a_0^1, a_h^0 A^0 a_p^0, a_0^1)$, $(a_0^1, a_h^0 A^0 a_q^0, a_0^1)$ and $(a_m^1, a_l^0 A^0 a_0^0, a_m^1)$) form a bad collection of cycles, a contradiction.

Next, we assume that $p < h < l < q$. It is clear that $\{a_h^0, a_l^0\} \rightarrow a_m^1$. Then $(a_m^1, a_p^0 A^0 a_h^0, a_m^1)$, $(a_m^1, a_p^0 A^0 a_l^0, a_m^1)$ and $(a_0^0, a_0^1, a_1^1, a_0^0)$ form a bad collection of cycles, a contradiction.

Next, we assume that $p < h < p < l < t$. It is clear that $a_h^0 \rightarrow a_m^1$. If $a_l^0 \rightarrow a_1^1$ (resp., $a_1^1 \rightarrow a_l^0$), then $(a_0^0, a_0^1, a_1^1, a_0^0)$, $(a_0^0, a_0^1, a_l^0, a_1^1, a_0^0)$ and $(a_m^1, a_p^0 A^0 a_h^0, a_m^1)$ (resp., $(a_0^0, a_0^1, a_l^0 A^0 a_0^0)$, $(a_0^0, a_0^1, a_1^1, a_l^0 A^0 a_0^0)$ and $(a_m^1, a_p^0 A^0 a_h^0, a_m^1)$) form a bad collection of cycles, a contradiction.

Finally, we assume that $q < h < l < t$. If $a_p^0 \rightarrow a_1^1$ (resp., $a_q^0 \rightarrow a_1^1$), then $(a_0^0, a_1^1, a_h^0 A^0 a_0^0)$, $(a_0^0, a_1^1, a_l^0 A^0 a_0^0)$ and $(a_p^0, a_1^1 A^1 a_m^1, a_p^0)$ (resp., $(a_0^0, a_1^1, a_h^0 A^0 a_0^0)$, $(a_0^0, a_1^1, a_l^0 A^0 a_0^0)$ and $(a_q^0, a_1^1 A^1 a_m^1, a_q^0)$) form a bad collection of cycles, a contradiction. Thus, $a_1^1 \rightarrow \{a_p^0, a_q^0\}$. So, if $a_m^1 \rightarrow a_l^0$ (resp., $a_l^0 \rightarrow a_m^1$) then $(a_p^0, a_0^1, a_1^1, a_p^0)$, $(a_p^0 A^0 a_q^0, a_0^1, a_1^1, a_p^0)$ and $(a_0^0, a_m^1, a_l^0 A^0 a_0^0)$ (resp., $(a_l^0, a_m^1, a_p^0 A^0 a_l^0)$),




Figure 2: The digraph H_8^3

$(a_l^0, a_m^1, a_q^0 A^0 a_l^0)$ and $(a_0^0, a_0^1, a_1^1, a_0^0)$ form a bad collection of cycles, a contradiction. \square

3 Proof of Theorem 1

Let $D = (V, A)$ be a tournament of k cycles with $k \geq 2$ and minimum out-degree 3, having no disjoint cycles of different lengths. By Lemma 7 and Lemma 8, we have the following cases.

Case 1. $a_p^0 \rightarrow a_0^1$ and $a_1^1 \rightarrow a_q^0$.

Since $d_D^+(a_q^0) \geq 3$, there exists a_n^1 in A^1 with $1 < n < t$ such that $a_q^0 \rightarrow a_n^1$. It is clear that $a_n^1 \rightarrow a_0^0$. We again divide Case 1 into several subcases.

Subcase 1.1. $1 < n < m$.

If $m > n+1$ then $(a_0^0, a_0^1, a_1^1, a_0^0)$ and $(a_q^0, a_n^1 A^1 a_m^1, a_q^0)$ form a bad collection of cycles, a contradiction. So $m = n+1$. It follows that $(a_q^0, a_n^1, a_m^1, a_q^0)$ and $(a_0^0 A^0 a_p^0, a_0^1, a_1^1, a_0^0)$ form a bad collection of cycles, a contradiction.

Subcase 1.2. $m < n < t$.

Since $d_D^+(a_0^1) \geq 3$, there exists a_h^0 in A^0 with $h \notin \{0, p, q\}$ such that $a_0^1 \rightarrow a_h^0$.

First, we assume that $0 < h < p$. It is clear that $a_h^0 \rightarrow a_m^1$. If $a_n^1 \rightarrow a_h^0$ then (a_0^0, a_1^1, a_0^0) and $(a_n^1, a_h^0 A^0 a_q^0, a_n^1)$ form a bad collection of cycles, a contradiction. So, $a_h^0 \rightarrow a_n^1$. If $a_1^1 \rightarrow a_p^0$ then $(a_h^0, a_n^1, a_0^0 A^0 a_h^0)$, $(a_h^0, a_m^1 A^1 a_n^1, a_0^0 A^0 a_h^0)$ and $(a_1^0, a_1^1, a_p^0, a_1^0)$ form a bad collection of cycles, a contradiction. So, $a_p^0 \rightarrow a_1^1$. Thus, $(a_p^0, a_1^1 A^1 a_m^1, a_p^0)$, $(a_p^0, a_0^1, a_1^1 A^1 a_m^1, a_p^0)$ and $(a_h^0, a_n^1, a_0^0 A^0 a_h^0)$ form a bad collection of cycles, a contradiction.

Next, we assume that $p < h < q$. It is clear that $a_h^0 \rightarrow a_m^1$. If $h > p + 1$ then $(a_0^0, a_0^1, a_1^1, a_0^0)$ and $(a_m^1, a_p^0 A^0 a_h^0, a_m^1)$ form a bad collection of cycles, a contradiction. So $h = p + 1$. It follows that $(a_m^1, a_p^0, a_h^0, a_m^1)$ and $(a_0^0, a_0^1, a_q^0, a_n^1, a_0^0)$ form a bad collection of cycles, a contradiction.

Finally, we assume that $q < h < t$. If $a_p^0 \rightarrow a_1^1$ then $(a_0^0, a_0^1, a_h^0 A^0 a_0^0)$, $(a_0^0, a_0^1, a_q^0 A^0 a_0^0)$ and $(a_p^0, a_1^1 A^1 a_m^1, a_p^0)$ form a bad collection of cycles, a contradiction. So, $a_1^1 \rightarrow a_p^0$. It follows that $(a_p^0, a_0^1, a_1^1, a_p^0)$ and $(a_0^0, a_m^1, a_q^0, a_n^1, a_0^0)$ form a bad collection of cycles, a contradiction.

Case 2. $a_1^0 \rightarrow a_p^0$ and $a_q^0 \rightarrow a_0^1$.

Since $d_D^+(a_p^0) \geq 3$, there exists a_n^1 in A^1 with $1 < n < t$ such that $a_p^0 \rightarrow a_n^1$. Then $a_n^1 \rightarrow a_0^0$ because $d_D^+(a_0^0) = 3$. We again divide Case 1 into several subcases.

Subcase 2.1. $1 < n < m$.

If $m > n + 1$ then $(a_0^0, a_0^1, a_1^1, a_0^0)$ and $(a_p^0, a_n^1 A^1 a_m^1, a_p^0)$ form a bad collection of cycles, a contradiction. So $m = n + 1$. If $a_1^1 \rightarrow a_q^0$ then $(a_p^0, a_n^1, a_m^1, a_p^0)$ and $(a_0^0, a_0^1, a_1^1, a_q^0 A^0 a_0^0)$ form a bad collection of cycles, a contradiction. So, $a_q^0 \rightarrow a_1^1$. If $a_q^0 \rightarrow a_n^1$ then $(a_0^0, a_0^1, a_1^1, a_0^0)$ and $(a_q^0, a_n^1, a_m^1, a_p^0 A^0 a_q^0)$ form a bad collection of cycles, a contradiction. So, $a_n^1 \rightarrow a_q^0$.

Since $d_D^+(a_0^1) \geq 3$, there exists a_h^0 in A^0 with $h \notin \{0, p, q\}$ such that $a_0^1 \rightarrow a_h^0$.

First, we assume that $0 < h < p$. It is clear that $a_h^0 \rightarrow a_m^1$. If $a_h^0 \rightarrow a_1^1$ then $(a_p^0, a_n^1, a_m^1, a_p^0)$ and $(a_h^0, a_1^1, a_0^0, a_0^1, a_h^0)$ form a bad collection of cycles, a contradiction. So, $a_1^1 \rightarrow a_h^0$. If $a_n^1 \rightarrow a_h^0$ then $(a_0^0, a_0^1, a_1^1, a_0^0)$ and $(a_n^1, a_h^0, a_m^1, a_p^0, a_n^1)$ form a bad collection of cycles, a contradiction. So, $a_h^0 \rightarrow a_n^1$. If $a_1^1 \rightarrow a_p^0$ then $(a_1^1, a_p^0 A^0 a_q^0, a_1^1)$, $(a_0^0, a_0^1, a_p^0 A^0 a_q^0, a_0^0)$ and $(a_n^1, a_0^0 A^0 a_h^0, a_n^1)$ form a bad collection of cycles, a contradiction. So, $a_p^0 \rightarrow a_1^1$. First, we assume that $t = 4$. Let φ be the following mapping from $V(D)$ to $V(D_8^3)$: $a_0^0 \mapsto v_5$, $a_h^0 \mapsto v_1$, $a_p^0 \mapsto v_2$, $a_q^0 \mapsto v_4$, $a_0^1 \mapsto v_0$, $a_1^1 \mapsto w$, $a_n^1 \mapsto v_3$ and $a_m^1 \mapsto v$. Then it is not difficult to verify that φ is an isomorphism between D and D_8^3 . Now, we assume that $t > 4$. Then, there exists a_l^1 in A^1 with $l \notin \{0, 1, n, m\}$ such that $a_l^1 \rightarrow a_0^0$. If $1 < l < n$ then $(a_p^0, a_n^1, a_m^1, a_p^0)$ and $(a_0^0, a_0^1, a_1^1 A^1 a_l^1, a_0^0)$ form a bad collection of cycles, a contradiction. So, $m < l < t$. If $a_h^0 \rightarrow a_l^1$ (resp., $a_l^1 \rightarrow a_h^0$), then $(a_p^0, a_n^1, a_m^1, a_p^0)$ and $(a_h^0, a_l^1, a_0^0, a_0^1, a_h^0)$ (resp., $(a_0^0, a_0^1, a_1^1, a_0^0)$ and $(a_l^1, a_h^0, a_n^1, a_m^1 A^1 a_l^1)$) form a bad collection of cycles, a contradiction.

Next, we assume that $p < h < q$. It is clear that $a_h^0 \rightarrow a_m^1$. Then $(a_0^0, a_0^1, a_1^1, a_0^0)$, $(a_0^0, a_0^1 A^1 a_n^1, a_0^0)$ and $(a_m^1, a_p^0 A^0 a_h^0, a_m^1)$ form a bad collection of cycles, a contradiction.

Finally, we assume that $q < h < t$. If $a_h^0 \rightarrow a_1^1$ then $(a_p^0, a_n^1, a_m^1, a_p^0)$ and $(a_h^0, a_1^1, a_0^0, a_0^1, a_h^0)$ form a bad collection of cycles, a contradiction. So, $a_1^1 \rightarrow a_h^0$. Then $(a_p^0, a_n^1, a_m^1, a_p^0)$ and $(a_1^1, a_h^0 A^0 a_0^0, a_0^1, a_1^1)$ form a bad collection of cycles, a contradiction.

Subcase 2.2. $m < n < t$.

If $a_1^1 \rightarrow a_q^0$ then $(a_1^1, a_q^0, a_0^1, a_1^1)$ and $(a_0^0, a_m^1, a_p^0, a_n^1, a_0^0)$ form a bad collection of cycles, a contradiction. So, $a_q^0 \rightarrow a_1^1$. Then $(a_q^0, a_1^1 A^1 a_m^1, a_q^0)$, $(a_q^0, a_0^1 A^1 a_m^1, a_q^0)$ and $(a_p^0, a_n^1, a_0^0 A^0 a_p^0)$ form a bad collection of cycles, a contradiction.

The proof of Theorem 1 is complete.

References

- [1] M. Abreu, E. L. Aldred, M. Funk, B. Jackson, D. Labbate and J. Sheehan, Graphs and digraphs with all 2-factors isomorphic, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B*, 92 (2004), 395 – 404.
- [2] J. Bang-Jensen and G. Gutin, *Digraphs. Theory, Algorithms and Applications*, Springer, New York, 2001.
- [3] J. Bang-Jensen and F. Havet, Tournaments and semicomplete digraphs, In: *Classes of Directed Graphs* (J. Bang-Jensen and G. Gutin, eds), pp. 35 – 124, Springer, New York, 2018.
- [4] J. Bensmail, A. Harutyunyan, N. K. Le, B. Li and N. Lichiardopol, Disjoint cycles of different lengths in graphs and digraphs, *Electron. J. Combin.*, 24 (2017), Article P4.37.
- [5] Y. Gao and D. Ma, Disjoint cycles with different length in 4-arc-dominated digraphs, *Oper. Res. Lett.*, 41 (2013), 650 – 653.
- [6] Z. He, P. Cheng and Y. Gao, Disjoint cycles of different lengths in 3-regular digraphs, *Graphs Combin.*, 38 (3) (2022), Article 74.
- [7] M. A. Henning and A. Yeo, Vertex disjoint cycles of different length in digraphs, *SIAM J. Discrete Math.*, 26 (2012), 687 – 694.
- [8] L. X. Hung, D. D. Hieu and N. D. Tan, Vertex-disjoint cycles of different lengths in multipartite tournaments, *Discrete Math.*, 345 (2022), Article 112819.
- [9] L. X. Hung and N. D. Tan, Vertex-Disjoint Cycles of Different Lengths in Local Tournaments. *Graphs and Combinatorics*, Volume 39, Issue 5, Article 92 (2023).
- [10] N. Lichiardopol, Proof of a conjecture of Henning and Yeo on vertex-disjoint directed cycles, *SIAM J. Discrete Math.*, 28 (2014), 1618 – 1627.

- [11] R. M. Steiner, Disjoint cycles with length constraints in digraphs of large connectivity or large minimum degree, *SIAM J. Discrete Math.*, 36 (2) (2022), 1343 – 1362.
- [12] N. D. Tan, Vertex disjoint cycles of different lengths in d -arc-dominated digraphs, *Oper. Res. Lett.*, 42 (2014), 351 – 354.
- [13] N. D. Tan, On vertex disjoint cycles of different lengths in 3-regular digraphs, *Discrete Math.*, 338 (2015), 2485 – 2491.
- [14] N. D. Tan, On 3-regular digraphs without vertex disjoint cycles of different lengths, *Discrete Math.*, 340 (2017), 1933 – 1943.
- [15] N. D. Tan, On 3-regular digraphs of girth 4, *Discrete Math.*, 342 (2020), Article 111632.
- [16] N. D. Tan, Tournaments and bipartite tournaments without vertex disjoint cycles of different lengths, *SIAM J. Discrete Math.*, 35 (1) (2021), 485 – 494.
- [17] N. D. Tan, A decomposition for digraphs with minimum outdegree 3 having no vertex disjoint cycles of different lengths, *Discussiones Math. Graph Theory*, 43 (2023), 573 – 581.
- [18] C. Thomassen, Disjoint cycles in digraphs, *Combinatorica*, 3 (1983), 393 – 396.
- [19] A. Yeo, Semicomplete multipartite digraphs, In: *Classes of Directed Graphs* (J. Bang-Jensen and G. Gutin, eds), pp. 297 – 340, Springer, New York, 2018.